

k-pseudo similar intuitionistic fuzzy matrices

P. JENITA, E. KARUPPUSAMY, D. THANGAMANI

Received 4 January 2017; Revised 2 February 2017; Accepted 27 March 2017

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we shall define k-pseudo similarity (right k-pseudo similar or left k-pseudo similar) for intuitionistic fuzzy matrices and prove that, for a pair of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices $A, B \in (IF)_n$, if A is said to be right (left) k-pseudo similar to B then A^s is said to be right (left) k-pseudo similar to B^s for any integer $s \geq 1$, but the converse is not true which is illustrated by an example. Also prove that, A is said to be right (left) k-pseudo similar to B if and only if B^T is said to be left (right) k-pseudo similar to A^T . We exhibit that the k-pseudo similarity on A and B preserve k-regularity of the intuitionistic fuzzy matrices A and B . As a special case, for $k = 1$ it reduces to pseudo similar intuitionistic fuzzy matrices [3].

2010 AMS Classification: 03E72, 15B15

Keywords: Intuitionistic fuzzy matrix (IFM), k-pseudo similar, k-regular fuzzy matrix, k-g inverse.

Corresponding Author: P. Jenita(sureshjenita@yahoo.co.in)

1. INTRODUCTION

We deal with the fuzzy matrices that is the matrices over the fuzzy algebra with support $[0, 1]$ under the max-min operations $\{+, \cdot\}$ defined as $a + b = \max\{a, b\}$ and $a \cdot b = \min\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in \{F : F = [0, 1]\}$. Let F_{mn} the set of all $m \times n$ fuzzy matrices over the fuzzy algebra F . A matrix $A \in F_{m \times n}$ is said to be regular if there exists X such that $AXA = A$; X is called a generalized (g^-) inverse of A and is denoted by A^- . A development of theory of fuzzy matrices analogous to that of Boolean matrices is made by Kim and Roush [5]. Atanassov has introduced and developed the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets [1]. A study on regularity and various g-inverse of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices over intuitionistic fuzzy algebra are discussed in [10]. Basic properties of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices as a generalization of the results on fuzzy matrices have been derived by Pal and Khan [4]. Meenakshi and Gandhimathi have studied on regularity of

intuitionistic fuzzy matrices [8]. In [11], some properties on both idempotent intuitionistic fuzzy matrices and idempotent intuitionistic fuzzy matrices of T-type are discussed. In [12], a problem of reducing intuitionistic fuzzy matrices is examined and some useful properties are obtained with respect to nilpotent intuitionistic fuzzy matrices. In [6], some properties of a transitive fuzzy matrix are examined and the canonical form of the transitive fuzzy matrix is given using the properties also obtained a canonical form of the transitive intuitionistic fuzzy matrix. In [13], szpilrajn's theorem on ordering is generalized to intuitionistic fuzzy orderings. In [14], Riyaz Ahmad Padder and Murugadas have introduced the max-max operations on intuitionistic fuzzy matrices to study the conditions for convergence of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices. In [2], Cho has discussed the consistency of fuzzy matrix equations. Recently, Meenakshi and Jenita have introduced the concept of k-regular fuzzy matrix as a generalization of regular fuzzy matrix [9]. Further to learn about fuzzy matrix theory and applications one may refer [7]. In this paper, we have introduced the concept of k-pseudo similar intuitionistic fuzzy matrices(IFM) as a generalization of pseudo similar intuitionistic fuzzy matrices [3].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper, we are concerned with fuzzy matrices, that is matrices over a fuzzy algebra FM(FN) with support $[0, 1]$, under maxmin(minmax) operations and the usual ordering of real numbers. Let $(IF)_{m \times n}$ be the set of all intuitionistic fuzzy matrices of order $m \times n$, $F_{m \times n}^M$ be the set of all fuzzy matrices of order $m \times n$, under the maxmin composition and $F_{m \times n}^N$ be the set of all fuzzy matrices of order $m \times n$, under the minmax composition.

If $A = (a_{ij}) \in (IF)_{m \times n}$, then $A = (\langle a_{ij\mu}, a_{ij\vartheta} \rangle)$, where $a_{ij\mu}$ and $a_{ij\vartheta}$ are the membership values and non membership values of a_{ij} in A respectively with respect to the fuzzy sets μ and ϑ , maintaining the condition $0 \leq a_{ij\mu} + a_{ij\vartheta} \leq 1$.

We shall follow the matrix operations on intuitionistic fuzzy matrices as defined in [8].

For $A, B \in (IF)_{m \times n}$,

$$A + B = (\langle \max \{a_{ij\mu}, b_{ij\mu}\}, \min \{a_{ij\vartheta}, b_{ij\vartheta}\} \rangle),$$

$$AB = \left(\left\langle \max_k \min \{a_{ik\mu}, b_{kj\mu}\}, \min_k \max \{a_{ik\vartheta}, b_{kj\vartheta}\} \right\rangle \right).$$

Let us define the order relation on $(IF)_{m \times n}$ as:

$$A \leq B \Leftrightarrow a_{ij\mu} \leq b_{ij\mu} \text{ and } a_{ij\vartheta} \geq b_{ij\vartheta}, \text{ for all } i \text{ and } j.$$

In this work, we shall represent $A \in (IF)_{m \times n}$ as Cartesian product of fuzzy matrices.

For $A = (a_{ij}) \in (IF)_{m \times n}$. Let $A = (a_{ij}) = (\langle a_{ij\mu}, a_{ij\vartheta} \rangle) \in (IF)_{m \times n}$. We define $A_\mu = (a_{ij\mu}) \in F_{m \times n}^M$ as the membership part of A and $A_\vartheta = (a_{ij\vartheta}) \in F_{m \times n}^N$ as the non-membership part of A . Thus A is written as the Cartesian product A_μ and A_ϑ , $A = \langle A_\mu, A_\vartheta \rangle$ with $A_\mu \in F_{m \times n}^M, A_\vartheta \in F_{m \times n}^N$.

Definition 2.1 ([8]). For $A, B \in (IF)_{m \times n}$, if $A = \langle A_\mu, A_\vartheta \rangle$ and $B = \langle B_\mu, B_\vartheta \rangle$, then $A + B = \langle A_\mu + B_\mu, A_\vartheta + B_\vartheta \rangle$.

Definition 2.2 ([8]). For $A \in (IF)_{m \times p}, B \in (IF)_{p \times n}$ if $A = \langle A_\mu, A_\vartheta \rangle$ and $B = \langle B_\mu, B_\vartheta \rangle$, then

- (i) $AB = \langle A_\mu B_\mu, A_\vartheta B_\vartheta \rangle$, where $A_\mu B_\mu$ is the max min product in $F_{m \times n}^M$ and $A_\vartheta B_\vartheta$ is the min max product in $F_{m \times n}^N$,
- (ii) $A^T = \langle A_\mu^T, A_\vartheta^T \rangle$.

Definition 2.3 ([8]). A matrix $A \in (IF)_n$ is said to be invertible, if there exists $X \in (IF)_n$ such that $AX = XA = I_n = \langle I_n^M, I_n^N \rangle$, where I_n is the identity matrix in $(IF)_n$.

Definition 2.4 ([8]). A square intuitionistic fuzzy matrix is called intuitionistic fuzzy permutation matrix, if every row and column contains exactly one $\langle 1, 0 \rangle$ and all the other entries are $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$. Let P_n be the set of all $n \times n$ permutation matrices in $(IF)_n$.

Definition 2.5 ([4]). An $A \in (IF)_{m \times n}$ is said to be regular, if there exists $X \in (IF)_{m \times n}$ satisfying $AXA = A$. In this case, X is called a generalized inverses (g-inverse) of A and is denoted by \bar{A} .

Let $A\{1\}$ be the set of all g-inverses of A .

Definition 2.6 ([3]). $A \in (IF)_m$ and $B \in (IF)_n$ are said to be pseudo similar, denoted by $A \cong B$, if there exist $X \in (IF)_{mn}$ and $Y \in (IF)_{nm}$ such that

$$A = XBY, B = YAX \text{ and } XYX = X.$$

Lemma 2.7 ([3]). Let $A \in (IF)_m$ and $B \in (IF)_n$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $A \cong B$,
- (2) there exist $X \in (IF)_{mn}, Y \in (IF)_{nm}$ such that $A = XBY, B = YAX, XYX = X$ and $YXY = Y$,
- (3) There exist $X \in (IF)_{mn}, Y \in (IF)_{nm}$ such that $A = XBY, B = ZAX, XYX = X = XZX$.

Theorem 2.8 ([8]). Let $A \in (IF)_{m \times n}$ be of the form $A = \langle A_\mu, A_\vartheta \rangle$. Then A is regular $\Leftrightarrow A_\mu$ is regular in $F_{m \times n}^M$ under max-min composition and A_ϑ is regular in $F_{m \times n}^N$ under min-max composition. $A_\mu = (a_{ij\mu}) \in F_{m \times n}^M$ as the membership part of A and $A_\vartheta = (a_{ij\vartheta}) \in F_{m \times n}^N$ as the non-membership part of A .

3. K-PSEUDO SIMILAR INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY MATRICES

Definition 3.1. A matrix $A \in (IF)_n$, is said be right k-regular, if there exists a matrix $X \in (IF)_n$ such that $A^k X A = A^k$, for some positive integer k .

In this case, X is called a right k-g-inverse of A .

$$\text{Let } A_r\{1^k\} = \{X/A^k X A = A^k\}.$$

Definition 3.2. A matrix $A \in (IF)_n$, is said be left k-regular, if there exists a matrix $Y \in (IF)_n$ such that $Y A^k = A^k$, for some positive integer k .

In this case, Y is called a left k-g-inverse of A .

$$\text{Let } A_\ell\{1^k\} = \{Y/Y A^k = A^k\}.$$

In general, right k-regular is different from left k-regular. Then a right k-g-inverse need not be a left k-g-inverse (refer to Example 3.4). Thus forth we call a right k-regular (or) left k-regular IFM as a k-regular IFM.

Example 3.3. Let us consider $A = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.3, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.2, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix} \in (IF)_2$, where $A_\mu = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix} \in F_2^M$ and $A_\vartheta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in F_2^N$. Since each row of A_μ cannot be expressed as linear combination of the other row, by Definition 2.5 of (5), the rows are linearly independent. Then by Definition 2.6 of (2), they form a standard basis for the row space of A_μ .

For both permutation matrices $P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $A_\mu P_1 A_\mu = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & 0 \\ 0.3 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix} \neq A_\mu$ and $A_\mu P_2 A_\mu = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & 0.2 \\ 0.5 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix} \neq A_\mu$. Thus A_μ is not regular by step 3 in Algorithm 1 of (2). Namely, A_μ is regular iff $A_\mu P A_\mu = A_\mu$, for some permutation matrix P . Since A_ϑ is idempotent, A_ϑ itself is a g-inverse of A_ϑ , A_ϑ is regular under min max composition. So by Theorem 2.8, A is not regular.

For this A , $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.3, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.2, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$. For $X = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.2, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$, $A^2 X A = A^2 = A X A^2$ holds. Hence A is 2-regular.

Example 3.4. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$. Then A_μ is not regular (See [9]). Thus by Theorem 2.8, A is not regular.

For this A , $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$, $A^3 = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$.

For $X = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$, $A^3 X A = A^3 \neq A X A^3$ holds. So X is a right 3-g inverse but X is not a 3-g inverse of A .

Theorem 3.5. Let $A = \langle A_\mu, A_\vartheta \rangle \in (IF)_n$. Then A is right k-regular IFM $\Leftrightarrow A_\mu, A_\vartheta \in F_n$ are right k-regular.

Proof. Let $A = \langle A_\mu, A_\vartheta \rangle \in (IF)_n$. Since A is right k-regular IFM, there exists $X \in (IF)_n$, such that $A^k X A = A^k$.

Let $X = \langle X_\mu, X_\vartheta \rangle \in (IF)_n$ with $X_\mu, X_\vartheta \in F_n$. Then by Definition 2.2, $A^k X A = A^k$. Thus $\langle A_\mu, A_\vartheta \rangle^k \langle X_\mu, X_\vartheta \rangle \langle A_\mu, A_\vartheta \rangle = \langle A_\mu, A_\vartheta \rangle^k$,
 $\langle A_\mu^k, A_\vartheta^k \rangle \langle X_\mu, X_\vartheta \rangle \langle A_\mu, A_\vartheta \rangle = \langle A_\mu^k, A_\vartheta^k \rangle$,
 $\langle A_\mu^k X_\mu A_\mu, A_\vartheta^k X_\vartheta A_\vartheta \rangle = \langle A_\mu^k, A_\vartheta^k \rangle$,
 $A_\mu^k X_\mu A_\mu = A_\mu^k$ and $A_\vartheta^k X_\vartheta A_\vartheta = A_\vartheta^k$.

So $A_\mu, A_\vartheta \in F_n$ are right k-regular.

Conversely, suppose $A_\mu, A_\vartheta \in F_n$ are right k-regular. Then $A_\mu^k X_\mu A_\mu = A_\mu^k$ and $A_\vartheta^k X_\vartheta A_\vartheta = A_\vartheta^k$, for some $X_\mu, X_\vartheta \in F_n$. Thus X_μ is a right k-g inverse of A_μ and X_ϑ is a right k-g inverse of A_ϑ .

Now let us define the IFM $Z = \langle V, W \rangle$, where V is a right k-g inverse of A_μ and W is a right k-g inverse of A_ϑ . We claim that Z is a right k-g inverse of A . Then by

Definition 2.2,

$$A^k Z A = \langle A_\mu, A_\vartheta \rangle^k \langle V, W \rangle \langle A_\mu, A_\vartheta \rangle = \langle A_\mu^k V A_\mu, A_\vartheta^k W A_\vartheta \rangle = \langle A_\mu^k, A_\vartheta^k \rangle = A^k.$$

Thus A is right k-regular IFM. So the proof is done. \square

Theorem 3.6. Let $A = \langle A_\mu, A_\vartheta \rangle \in (IF)_n$. Then A is left k-regular IFM $\Leftrightarrow A_\mu, A_\vartheta \in F_n$ are left k-regular.

Proof. This can be proved along the same lines as that of Theorem 3.5. \square

Definition 3.7. $A \in (IF)_n$ is said to be right k-pseudo similar to $B \in (IF)_n$, denoted by $A \cong_r^k B$, if there exist $X, Y \in (IF)_n$ such that $A = XBY, B = YAX^k, X^k YX = X^k$ and $YXY = Y$.

Definition 3.8. $A \in (IF)_n$ is said to be left k-pseudo similar to $B \in (IF)_n$, denoted by $A \cong_\ell^k B$, if there exist $X, Y \in (IF)_n$ such that $A = X^k BY, B = YAX, XYX^k = X^k$ and $YXY = Y$.

Remark 3.9. In particular for k=1, Definitions 3.7 and 3.8 are identical. Then k-pseudo similar is reduced to Lemma 2.7. However, both right and left k-pseudo similarity of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices are not symmetric as in the case of pseudo similarity of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices.

Lemma 3.10. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$. If $A \cong_r^k B$, then we have the following:

- (1) $A^s = XB^s Y$, for any integer $s \geq 1$,
- (2) $BYX = YXB = B$,
- (3) $AXY = XYA = A$,
- (4) $B^s = YA^s X$, for any integer $s \geq 1$.

Proof. Since $A \cong_r^k B, A = XBY, B = YAX^k, X^k YX = X^k$ and $YXY = Y$.

- (1) Since $A = XBY, A^2 = (XBY)(XBY) = X(BYX)BY$. Thus

$$BYX = (YAX^k)YX = YA(X^k YX) = YAX^k = B.$$

So, $A^2 = (XBY)(XBY) = X(BYX)BY = XBBY = XB^2 Y$. Hence in general, $A^s = XB^s Y$, for any integer $s \geq 1$.

- (2) $YXB = YX(YAX^k) = (YXY)AX^k = YAX^k = B$

and $BYX = (YAX^k)YX = YA(X^k YX) = YAX^k = B$.

- (3) $AXY = (XBY)XY = XB(YXY) = XBY = A$

and $XYA = XY(XBY) = X(YXB)Y = XBY = A$.

- (4) Clearly, $B = YXB$. Then $B^s = YXB^s$. Thus $B^s = YX(B^s YX) = Y(XB^s Y)X = YA^s X$. \square

Lemma 3.11. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$. If $A \cong_\ell^k B$. Then we have the following:

- (1) $B^s = YA^s X$, for any integer $s \geq 1$,
- (2) $AXY = XYA = A$,
- (3) $BYX = YXB = B$,
- (4) $A^s = XB^s Y$, for any integer $s \geq 1$.

Proof. This can be proved as that of Lemma 3.10 and then omitted. \square

Theorem 3.12. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$ such that $A \cong_r^k B$. A is right(left) k -regular $\Leftrightarrow B$ is right(left) k -regular.

Proof. Since $A \cong_r^k B, A = XBY, B = YAX^k, X^kYX = X^k$ and $YXY = Y$. When the positive integers k and s are same in Lemma 3.10, we have

$$A^k = XB^kY, BYX = YXB = B, AXY = XYA = A$$

and

$$B^k = YA^kX, \text{ for any integer } k \geq 1.$$

Let A be right k -regular, i.e., $A^kGA = A^k$. Then G is a right k -g-inverse of A . Choose $U = YGX$. We claim that U is a right k -g-inverse of B . Then

$$\begin{aligned} B^kUB &= (YA^kX)(YGX)B = Y(A^kXY)G(XB) \\ &= YA^kG(XBYX) = YA^kG(AX) \\ &= Y(A^kGA)X = YA^kX = B^k. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that B is right k -regular, i.e., $B^kUB = B^k$. Then U is a right k -g-inverse of B . Choose $G = XUY$. We prove that G is a right k -g-inverse of A . Then

$$\begin{aligned} A^kGA &= (XB^kY)(XUY)(XBY) \\ &= X(B^kYX)U(YBX)Y \\ &= XB^kUBY = XB^kY \\ &= A^k. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, A is left k -regular $\Leftrightarrow B$ is left k -regular can be proved in the same manner. Thus the proof is done. \square

Theorem 3.13. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$ such that $A \cong_l^k B$. Then A is right(left) k -regular $\Leftrightarrow B$ is right(left) k -regular.

Proof. This can be proved as that of Theorem 3.12 and then omitted. \square

Remark 3.14. For $k = 1$, Theorems 3.12 and 3.13 reduces to the following.

Theorem 3.15 ([3]). Let $A \in (IF)_m$ and $B \in (IF)_n$ such that $A \cong B$. Then A is a regular matrix $\Leftrightarrow B$ is a regular matrix.

Lemma 3.16. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$ and suppose $A \cong_r^k B$. Then there exist $X, Y \in (IF)_n$ such that $A = XBY, B = YAX^k$ and XY is k -potent.

Proof. Since $A \cong_r^k B, A = XBY, B = YAX^k, X^kYX = X^k$ and $YXY = Y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (XY)^k &= (XY)^{k-1}XY \\ &= (XY)^{k-2}XYXY \\ &= (XY)^{k-2}X(YXY) \\ &= (XY)^{k-2}XY \\ &= \dots\dots \\ &= XY. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is done. \square

Remark 3.17. The converse of the above Lemma need not be true. This is illustrated in the following.

Example 3.18. Let us consider $X = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.3, 0.3 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.2, 0.2 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$
 and $Y = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0, 1 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$. For $A = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0, 1 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0.2, 0.3 \rangle & \langle 0.2, 0.3 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$
 and $B = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0, 1 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0.2, 0.3 \rangle & \langle 0.2, 0.3 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$, $A = XBY$, $B = YAX^2$ and $(XY)^2 = XY$. Then XY is 2-potent, but $X^2YX \neq X^2$ and $YXY \neq Y$. Here A is not right 2-pseudo similar to B .

Lemma 3.19. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$. If $A \cong_{\ell}^k B$, then there exist $X, Y \in (IF)_n$ such that $A = X^kBY$, $B = YAX$ and YX is k -potent.

Proof. Since $A \cong_{\ell}^k B$, $A = X^kBY$, $B = YAX$, $XYX^k = X^k$ and $YXY = Y$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (YX)^k &= (YX)^{k-1} YX \\ &= (YX)^{k-2} YXYX \\ &= (YX)^{k-2} (YXY) X \\ &= (YX)^{k-2} YX \\ &= \dots\dots \\ &= YX. \end{aligned}$$

So the proof is done. □

Theorem 3.20. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $A \cong_r^k B$,
- (2) $B^T \cong_{\ell}^k A^T$,
- (3) $PAP^T \cong_r^k PBP^T$, for some permutation matrix $P \in (IF)_n$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) : This is direct by taking transpose on both sides and by using $(A^T)^T = A$ and $(AX)^T = X^T A^T$.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) : Suppose $A \cong_r^k B$. Then $A = XBY$, $B = YAX^k$, $X^kYX = X^k$ and $YXY = Y$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } A &= XBY, \\ PAP^T &= PXBYP^T = (PXP^T) (PBP^T) (PYP^T). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } B &= YAX^k, \\ PBP^T &= PYAX^kP^T = (PYP^T) (PAP^T) (PX^kP^T) \\ &= (PYP^T) (PAP^T) (PXP^T)^k. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } X^kYX &= X^k, \quad PX^kP^T = PX^kYXP^T. \text{ Thus} \\ PX^kP^T &= (PX^kP^T) (PYP^T) (PXP^T). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand, $(PXP^T)^k = (PXP^T)^k (PYP^T) (PXP^T)$. Since $Y = YXY$, $PYP^T = PYXYP^T$. Thus

$$PYP^T = (PYP^T) (PXP^T) (PYP^T). \tag{3.4}$$

So $PAP^T \cong_r^k PBP^T$.

Conversely, suppose $PAP^T \cong_r^k PBP^T$. Pre multiply by P^T and post multiply by P in Equations (3.1) to (3.4), we get $A = XBY$, $B = YAX^k$, $X^kYX = X^k$ and $YXY = Y$. Then $A \cong_r^k B$. Thus the proof is done. □

Example 3.21. The above Theorem 3.20 is illustrated in this example.

Let us consider $A = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$, $X = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$ and $Y = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$. Here $X \neq XYX$.

For this X , $X^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\text{Now } A = XBY = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = YAX^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X^2 = X^2YX = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$Y = YXY = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $A \cong_r^k B$.

For a given A and B,

$$A^T = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, B^T = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X^T = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.4 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, Y^T = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(X^T)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}. \text{ For this } X^T, Y^T \in (IF)_2,$$

$$A^T = Y^T B^T X^T = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B^T = (X^T)^2 A^T Y^T = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(X^T)^2 = X^T Y^T (X^T)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Y^T = Y^T X^T Y^T = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus $B^T \cong_l^k A^T$.

Consider a intuitionistic fuzzy permutation matrix $P = \langle P_\mu, P_\theta \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0, 1 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$.

For this P , $P^T = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0, 1 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$. On the other hand,

$$PAP^T = X (PB P^T) Y = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$PB P^T = Y (PAP^T) X^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}.$$

So $PAP^T \cong_r^k PB P^T$ for some permutation matrix $P \in (IF)_n$.

Theorem 3.22. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $A \cong_{\ell}^k B$,
- (2) $B^T \cong_r^k A^T$,
- (3) $PAP^T \cong_{\ell}^k PBP^T$, for some permutation matrix $P \in (IF)_n$.

Proof. Proof of the theorem is similar to Theorem 3.20 and hence omitted. □

Theorem 3.23. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$. If $A \cong_r^k B$, then $A^s \cong_r^k B^s$, for any integer $s \geq 1$.

Proof. Suppose $A \cong_r^k B$. Then $A = XBY, B = YAX^k, X^kYX = X^k$ and $YXY = Y$.

Prove that, $A^s \cong_r^k B^s$. By Lemma 3.10(1), $A^s = XB^sY$, for any integer $s \geq 1$.

Next prove that, $B^s = YA^sX^k$.

By Lemma 3.10(2), $BYX = YXB = B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B^s &= YXB^s = YXB^{s-1}B = YXB^{s-1}(YAX^k) \\ &= Y(XB^{s-1}Y)AX^k = Y(A^{s-1})AX^k \\ &= YA^sX^k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $A^s \cong_r^k B^s$, for any integer $s \geq 1$. So the proof is done. □

Remark 3.24. The converse of the above theorem need not be true. This is illustrated in the following.

Example 3.25. Let us consider $X = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$

and $Y = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$. For $X^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$,
 $XYX \neq X, X^2YX = X^2$ and $YXY = Y$.

For $A = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.3, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$,
 $A^2 = XB^2Y$ and $B^2 = YA^2X^2$.

Then A^2 is right 2-pseudo similar to B^2 . But $A \neq XBY$ and $B = YAX^2$. Here A is not right 2-pseudo similar to B.

Theorem 3.26. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$. If $A \cong_{\ell}^k B$, then $A^s \cong_{\ell}^k B^s$, for any integer $s \geq 1$.

Proof. This is similar to Theorem 3.23 and then omitted. □

Theorem 3.27. Let $A, B, C \in (IF)_n$. If $A \cong_r^k B$ and $B \cong_r^k C$, then $A \cong_r^k C$ and if there exist matrices X, Y, Z and L with $Y \in X \{1_r^k\}, Z \in L \{1_r^k\}, X \in Y \{1\}, L \in Z \{1\}$, and $XL = LX$ satisfying any one of the following:

- (1) $LZY = Y$,
- (2) $ZYX = Z$,
- (3) $XLZ = X$,
- (4) $YXL = L$.

Proof. Since $A \cong_r^k B, A = XBY, B = YAX^k, X^kYX = X^k$ and $YXY = Y$. Since $B \cong_r^k C, B = LCZ, C = ZBL^k, L^kZL = L^k$ and $ZLZ = Z$. Then,

$$A = XBY = X(LCZ)Y = (XL)C(ZY).$$

and

$$C = ZBL^k = Z(YAX^k)L^k = (ZY)A(X^kL^k) = (ZY)A(XL)^k.$$

To prove, $A \cong_r^k C$, it is enough to prove that $ZY \in (XL)\{1_r^k\}$ and $XL \in (ZY)\{1\}$.

Suppose (1) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (XL)^k(ZY)(XL) &= X^kL^k(ZY)(XL) = X^kL^{k-1}(LZY)(XL) \\ &= X^kL^{k-1}(Y)(XL) = L^{k-1}X^k(Y)(XL) \\ &= L^{k-1}(X^kYX)L = L^{k-1}X^kL \\ &= (XL)^k \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(ZY)(XL)(ZY) = ZYX(LZY) = ZYXY = Z(YXY) = ZY.$$

Suppose (2) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (XL)^k(ZY)(XL) &= (XL)^k(ZYX)L \\ &= (XL)^kZY = X^kL^kZL \\ &= X^kL^k = (XL)^k \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(ZY)(XL)(ZY) = (ZYX)LZY = ZLZY = (ZLZ)Y = ZY.$$

Suppose (3) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (XL)^k(ZY)(XL) &= (XL)^{k-1}(XL)(ZY)(XL) \\ &= (XL)^{k-1}(XLZ)(YXL) \\ &= (XL)^{k-1}XYXL = L^{k-1}X^{k-1}XYXL \\ &= L^{k-1}X^kYXL = L^{k-1}X^kL \\ &= (XL)^k \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(ZY)(XL)(ZY) = ZY(XLZ)Y = ZYXY = Z(YXY) = ZY.$$

Suppose (4) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (XL)^k(ZY)(XL) &= (XL)^kZ(YXL) \\ &= (XL)^kZL = X^kL^kZL = X^kL^k \\ &= (XL)^k \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(ZY)(XL)(ZY) = Z(YXL)ZY = ZLZY = (ZLZ)Y = ZY.$$

Thus the proof is done. \square

Theorem 3.28. Let $A, B, C \in (IF)_n$. If $A \cong_\ell^k B$ and $B \cong_\ell^k C$, then $A \cong_\ell^k C$ and if there exist matrices X, Y, Z and L with $Y \in X\{1_\ell^k\}$, $Z \in L\{1_\ell^k\}$, $X \in Y\{1\}$, $L \in Z\{1\}$, and $XL = LX$ satisfying any one of the following:

- (1) $LZY = Y$,
- (2) $ZYX = Z$,
- (3) $XLZ = X$,
- (4) $YXL = L$.

Proof. This is similar to that of Theorem 3.27 and then omitted. \square

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the concept of k-regular intuitionistic fuzzy matrix as a generalization of regular intuitionistic fuzzy matrix is introduced. k-pseudo similar intuitionistic fuzzy matrix is defined and the properties are discussed.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and System* 20 (1986) 87–96.
- [2] H. H. Cho, Regular fuzzy matrices and fuzzy equations, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 105 (1999) 445–451.
- [3] T. Gandhimathi and AR. Meenakshi, Pseudo similar intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, *Applied and Computational Mathematics*, 4 (1-2) (2015) 15–19.
- [4] S. Khan and Anita paul, The Generalised inverse of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, *Journal of Physical Science II* (2007) 62–67.
- [5] K. H. Kim and F. W. Roush, On generalized fuzzy matrices, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 4 (1980) 293–375.
- [6] H. Y. Lee and N. G. Jeong, Canonical form of a transitive intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, *Honam Mathem. J.* 27 (4) (2005) 543–550
- [7] AR. Meenakshi, *Fuzzy Matrix Theory and Applications*, MJP Publishers, Chennai 2008.
- [8] AR. Meenakshi and T. Gandhimathi, On regular intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, *International Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics* 19 (2) (2011) 599–605.
- [9] AR. Meenakshi and P. Jenita, Generalized regular fuzzy matrices, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems* 8 (2) (2011) 133–141.
- [10] Riyaz Ahmad Padder and P. Murugadas, On idempotent intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, of T-type, *International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems* 16 (3) (2016) 181–187.
- [11] Riyaz Ahmad Padder and P. Murugadas, Reduction of a nilpotent intuitionistic fuzzy matrix using implication operator, *Application of Applied Mathematics* 11 (2) (2016) 614–631.
- [12] Riyaz Ahmad Padder and P. Murugadas, Generalization of Szpilrajn’s theorem on intuitionistic fuzzy matrix, *Journal of Mathematics and Informatics* 6 (2016) 7–14.
- [13] Riyaz Ahmad Padder and P. Murugadas, Max-max operations on intuitionistic fuzzy matrix, *Ann. Fuzzy Math.Inform.* 12 (6) (2016) 757–766.
- [14] M. Pal, S. K. Khan and A. K. Shyamal, Intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets* 8 (2) (2002) 51–62.

P. JENITA (sureshjenita@yahoo.co.in)

Assistant Professor, Post Graduate and Research, Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College, Coimbatore - 641018

E. KARUPPUSAMY (samy.mathematics@gmail.com)

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Sri Krishna College of Engineering and Technology, Coimbatore - 641008

D. THANGAMANI (dthangamani.94@gmail.com)

Scholar, Government Arts College, Coimbatore - 641018