

## Soft topological soft modules

FAWZAN SIDKY, M. E. EL-SHAFEI, M. K. TAHAT

Received 17 June 2020; Revised 15 July 2020; Accepted 27 July 2020

---

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we have proposed a refinement for the concept of soft mapping along with a new definition of the soft element of soft sets to discuss the soft continuity of soft mapping over soft sets. Moreover, we have introduced the concept of soft modules over soft rings and studied the soft continuity of soft module operations over soft topological spaces to proceed with the main goal of this paper. Introducing the concept of soft topological soft modules.

2010 AMS Classification: Primary 13Jxx

Keywords: Soft sets, Soft topology, Soft modules, Soft topological modules. Soft topological soft modules

Corresponding Author: M. K. Tahat ([just.tahat@gmail.com](mailto:just.tahat@gmail.com))

---

### INTRODUCTION

In 1999, Molodtsov [17] proposed the soft set theory as a new approach to managing uncertainties as he introduced the concept of the soft set to be a set associated with a set of parameters applied in several directions, that makes it a comprehensive extension for the theories of fuzzy sets [28] and rough sets [19].

Shabir and Naz [23] studied the soft topological structures by adding the notion of soft topology, which has been extensively studied and investigated by several authors like [6, 8, 18, 20, 21, 22].

In [11], the authors defined new types of belong and non-belong relations and utilized them to define strong types of soft separation axioms. Then, Al-shami and El-Shafei [4, 10] presented two applications of these relations on the fields of soft separation axioms and decision-making problems. The interrelations between soft topological space and its parametric topological spaces were investigated in [5]. Recently, the concepts of soft topological ordered spaces and sum of soft topological spaces have been introduced in [3] and [6], respectively.

Some of authors went to study the algebraic side of soft set theory. For instance, Acar et al. [1] studied the soft algebraic structures of rings by introducing the idea of soft rings, which studied later by [27]. And others went to examine the connection between the soft topological

---

structures and the soft algebraic structures such as the concept of soft topological soft groups and rings [25] and the concept of soft topological rings [26]. To promote this type of study, our motive is to complete the gaping in the studies of the connections between the soft topological space and the group, rings, and module theories by studying the combination between the modules and the soft topological spaces and introduce the concept of soft topological soft modules. To contribute to the completion of the vision of the main basic concepts in the topological algebra field in the sense of the soft theory.

In Section 1, we present well-known results of the essential preliminaries related to soft set and soft topological spaces. In Section 2, we propose a refinement for the concept of soft mapping along with a new definition of the soft element of soft sets to discuss the soft continuity of soft mapping over soft sets. In Section 3, we introduce the concept of soft modules over soft rings. In Section 4, we introduce the concept of soft topological soft modules over soft topological rings and studied some of their properties.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some basic concepts and results which we will use in this paper. Throughout this paper,  $R, X, Y$ , and  $Z$  are assumed to be initially universal sets and  $E$  assumed to be the fixed set of parameters.

**Definition 1.1** ([16]). A soft set  $F_A$  over  $X$  is defined to be a mapping  $F_A : A \rightarrow P(X)$ , where  $A$  is a subset of the fixed parameters set  $E$ . If  $A = E$ , we put  $F_E = F$ .

Let  $S(X)$  denotes the class of all soft sets over  $X$ . Let  $F_A \in S(X)$ . We may write  $F_A = \{(a, F_A(a)) \mid a \in A\}$ . If  $F_A$  is defined such that  $F_A(a) = \phi, \forall a \in A$ , then  $F_A$  is called a null soft set over  $X$  and denoted by  $\tilde{\phi}_A$ . And if  $F_A$  is defined such that  $F_A(a) = X, \forall a \in A$ , then  $F_A$  is called an absolute soft set over  $X$ , and denoted by  $\tilde{X}_A$  (See [16]). If  $F_A(a)$  is a singleton set for each  $a \in A$ , then  $F_A$  is called a singleton soft set over  $X$ . We denote the class of all singleton soft sets over  $X$  by  $\hat{X}$ . Note that if  $X \subseteq Y$ , then  $\hat{X} \subseteq \hat{Y}$ .

We will use the notations  $\hat{n}, \hat{m}, \hat{k}, \dots$  to denote singleton soft sets.

**Definition 1.2** ([12, 16]). Let  $F_A, G_B \in S(X)$ .

- (i)  $F_A$  is called a soft subset of  $G_B$ , denoted by  $F_A \subseteq G_B$ , if  $A \subseteq B$  and  $F_A(a) \subseteq G_B(a), \forall a \in A$ .
- (ii)  $F_A$  is called equal to  $G_B$ , denoted by  $F_A = G_B$ , if  $F_A \subseteq G_B$  and  $G_B \subseteq F_A$ .
- (iii) The intersection of  $F_A$  and  $G_B$  is defined to be the soft set  $(F \cap G)_{A \cap B} \in S(X)$ , such that  $(F \cap G)_{A \cap B}(a) = F_A(a) \cap G_B(a), \forall a \in A \cap B$ .
- (iv) The union of  $F_A$  and  $G_B$  is defined to be the soft set  $(F \cup G)_{A \cup B} \in S(X)$ , such that for all  $a \in A \cup B$

$$(F \cup G)_{A \cup B}(a) = \begin{cases} F_A(a) \cup G_B(a), & \text{if } a \in A \cap B \\ F_A(a), & \text{if } a \in A \setminus B \\ G_B(a), & \text{if } a \in B \setminus A. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.3** ([7]). Let  $F_A \in S(X)$  and  $G_B \in S(Y)$ . Then the Cartesian product of  $F_A$  and  $G_B$  is defined to be the soft set  $(F \times G)_{A \times B} \in S(X \times Y)$  such that  $(F \times G)_{A \times B}(a, b) = F_A(a) \times G_B(b), \forall (a, b) \in A \times B$ .

**Definition 1.4.**

- 
- (i) Let  $G$  be a group. A soft set  $F_A \in S(G)$  is called a soft group (over  $G$ ), if  $F_A(a) \leq G$ ,  $\forall a \in A$  (See [2]).
  - (ii) Let  $R$  be a ring. A soft set  $F_A \in S(R)$  is called a soft ring (over  $R$ ), if  $F_A(a)$  is a subring of  $R$ ,  $\forall a \in A$  (See [1]).
  - (iii) Let  $M$  be a left (right)  $R$ -module. A soft set  $F_A \in S(M)$  is called a left (right) soft  $R$ -module (over  $M$ ), if  $F_A(a)$  is a  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ , for all  $a \in A$  (See [24]).

**Definition 1.5.**

- (i) Let  $G$  be a group and  $F_A \in S(G)$  a soft group. Then a soft subset  $G_B$  of  $F_A$  is called a soft subgroup of  $F_A$ , if  $G_B(b) \leq F_A(b)$ ,  $\forall b \in B$  (See [2]).
- (ii) Let  $R$  be a ring and  $F_A \in S(R)$  a soft ring. Then a soft subset  $G_B$  of  $F_A$  is called a soft subring of  $F_A$ , if  $G_B(b)$  is a subring of  $F_A(b)$ ,  $\forall b \in B$  (See [1]).
- (iii) Let  $M$  be a left (right)  $R$ -module and  $F_A \in S(M)$  a left (right) soft  $R$ -module. Then a soft subset  $G_B$  of  $F_A$  is called a left (right) soft  $R$ -submodule of  $F_A$ , if  $G_B(b)$  is a left (right)  $R$ -submodule of  $F_A(b)$ ,  $\forall b \in B$  (See [24]).

**Definition 1.6** ([25]). Suppose that  $X$  is a ring and  $F, H \in S(X)$ . The soft sets  $FH$ ,  $F + H$ ,  $F - H$ ,  $-F \in S(X)$  are defined as follows: for all  $e \in E$ ,

- (i)  $(FH)(e) = F(e) \cdot H(e) = \{xy \mid x \in F(e), y \in H(e)\}$ ,
- (ii)  $(F + H)(e) = F(e) + H(e) = \{x + y \mid x \in F(e), y \in H(e)\}$ ,
- (iii)  $(F - H)(e) = F(e) - H(e) = \{x - y \mid x \in F(e), y \in H(e)\}$ ,
- (iv)  $-F(e) = -(F(e)) = \{-x \mid x \in F(e)\}$ .

**Definition 1.7** ([14]). (1) If  $R$  and  $S$  are rings, then a mapping  $f : R \rightarrow S$  is called ring homomorphism, if for all  $a, b \in R$

- (i)  $f(a + b) = f(a) + f(b)$ ,  $\forall a, b \in R$
  - (ii)  $f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$ ,  $\forall ab \in R$
  - (iii)  $f(1_R) = 1_S$ .
- (2) If  $f : R \rightarrow S$  is a one-to-one and onto ring homomorphism, then  $f$  is called a ring isomorphism.
- (3) Let  $f : R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism (isomorphism),  $\tau$  a topology on  $R$  and  $\nu$  a topology on  $S$ . If  $f(H) \in \nu$ ,  $\forall H \in \tau$ , then  $f$  is called an open ring homomorphism (isomorphism).

**Definition 1.8** ([9]). Let  $F_A$  and  $G_B$  be soft rings over rings  $X$  and  $Y$  individually. A soft mapping  $(\psi, \varphi) : F_A \rightarrow G_B$  is called a soft ring homomorphism (resp. isomorphism), if  $\psi$  is a ring homomorphism (resp. isomorphism) and  $\varphi$  is a bijection.

Similarly, one can define soft ring monomorphism (epimorphism). Note that a soft ring  $F_A$  over a ring  $X$  is called a softly homomorphic (resp. softly isomorphic) to a soft ring  $G_B$  over a ring  $Y$  if and only if there must be a soft ring homomorphism (resp. isomorphism) from  $F_A$  to  $G_B$  and it denoted by  $F_A \sim G_B$  (resp.  $F_A \cong G_B$ )

**Definition 1.9** ([23]).

- (1) Let  $\tau$  be a family of soft sets in  $S(X)$  such that
  - (i)  $\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{X} \in \tau$ ,
  - (ii)  $\tau$  is closed under finite intersections,
  - (iii)  $\tau$  is closed under arbitrary union.

Then  $\tau$  is called a soft topology on  $X$  and the pair  $(X, \tau)$  is called a soft topological space (in short, S.T.S).

(2) Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a S.T.S. A soft set  $H \in S(X)$  is called soft open, if  $H \in \tau$ .

**Definition 1.10** ([13]). Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an S.T.S and  $G \subseteq X$ . The soft topology  $\tau_G = \{\tilde{G} \cap H \mid H \in \tau\}$  on  $G$  is called a soft relative topology generated by the set  $G$  and the soft topological space  $(G, \tau_G)$  is called a soft subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ .

Throughout this paper, we will deal with soft topological spaces defined over a soft set  $F \in S(X)$ . Thus, we will recall the following definition for soft topology.

**Definition 1.11** ([8]). Let  $F \in S(X)$ . Let  $\tau$  be a family of soft subsets of  $F$ . Then

- (1)  $\tau$  is called a soft topology on  $F$  if
  - (i)  $F, \tilde{\phi} \in \tau$ ;
  - (ii)  $\tau$  is closed under the finite intersection;
  - (iii)  $\tau$  is closed under the arbitrary union.
- (2) The soft topology  $\tau$  and soft set  $F$  together called the soft topological space (in short S.T.S) and denoted by  $(F, \tau)$ .
- (3)  $V \in S(X)$  is called a soft open set if  $V \in \tau$  and  $\tau$  is a soft topology on  $F$ .

Let  $F \in S(X)$  and the set  $G = \{F(e) \mid \forall e \in E\}$ . Note that  $\tilde{G} = F$  and  $\tau_F = \tau_{\tilde{G}}$  which is a soft subspace of  $\tau$ . In other words, the soft topological space  $(F, \tau)$  defined in (Çağman et al. 2011, [8]) is equivalent to the soft topological subspace  $(\tilde{G}, \tau_{\tilde{G}})$  of  $(X, \tau)$ .

Note that, the existence of tow definitions for the same concept of soft topology that conflicts the reader. To avoid this confliction from now on, we will refer the definition of (Çağman et al. 2011, [8]) for the soft topology  $\tau$  defined over a soft set  $F$ , as a soft subspace generated by an arbitrary soft set  $F$  defined over the universal set  $X$ , denoted by  $(F, \tau_F)$ .

**Proposition 1.12** ([25]). Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is an S.T.S and  $H, G \in S(X)$  such that  $H \subseteq G$ . Then  $\tau_H = (\tau_G)_H$ .

Note that if  $\tau$  and  $\nu$  are two soft topological spaces on  $X$ , then the soft intersection  $\tau \cap \nu$  of  $\tau$  and  $\nu$  is defined by  $\tau \cap \nu = \{V \cap W \mid V \in \tau, W \in \nu\}$ .

**Proposition 1.13** ([25]). Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(X, \nu)$  are soft topological spaces and  $F, H \in S(X)$ . If  $U \in \tau_F$  and  $V \in \nu_H$ , then  $U \cap V \in (\tau \cap \nu)_{F \cap H}$ .

**Definition 1.14** ([23]). Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an S.T.S. If  $\tau = \{\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{X}\}$ , then  $\tau$  is called soft indiscreet topology on  $X$  and if  $\tau$  is the collection of all soft subsets  $F \in S(X)$ , then  $\tau$  is called the soft discrete topology on  $X$ .

**Proposition 1.15.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an S.T.S.

- (1)  $\tau^e = \{H(e) \mid H \in \tau\}$  is a topology on  $X$  for each  $e \in E$  (See [23]).
- (2)  $\tau^* = \{F \in S(X) \mid F(e) \in \tau^e, \forall e \in E\}$  is a soft topology on  $X$  and  $(\tau^*)^e = \tau^e \forall e \in E$  (See [18]).

**Definition 1.16** ([13]). Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an S.T.S and  $G \subseteq X$ . The soft topology  $\tau_G = \{\tilde{G} \cap H \mid H \in \tau\}$  on  $G$  is called a soft relative topology generated by the set  $G$  and the soft topological space  $(G, \tau_G)$  is called a soft subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 1.17.** [27]

- 
- (1) Let  $G$  be an additive group. Then the topological space  $(G, \tau)$  is called a topological group, if the mapping  $(x, y) \mapsto x - y$  from  $(G \times G, \tau \times \tau)$  to  $(G, \tau)$  is continuous.
  - (2) Let  $R$  be a ring. Then the topological space  $(R, \tau)$  is called a topological ring (in short, T.R), if
    - (i) The mapping  $(x, y) \mapsto x - y$  from  $(R \times R, \tau \times \tau)$  to  $(R, \tau)$  is continuous, and
    - (ii) The mapping  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$  from  $(R \times R, \tau \times \tau)$  to  $(R, \tau)$  is continuous.

**Definition 1.18** ([27]). Let  $R$  be a ring,  $M$  a left (right)  $R$ -module and  $(R, \nu)$  a topological ring. Then a topological space  $(M, \tau)$  is called left (right) topological  $R$ -module, if

- (i) The mapping  $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$  from  $(M \times M, \tau \times \tau)$  to  $(M, \tau)$  is continuous,
- (ii) The mapping  $x \mapsto -x$  from  $(M, \tau)$  to  $(M, \tau)$  is continuous.
- (iii) The mapping  $(r, x) \mapsto rx$  from  $(R \times M, \nu \times \tau)$  to  $(M, \tau)$  is continuous.

**Definition 1.19** ([25]). Suppose that  $F \in S(X)$  is a soft ring and  $(X, \tau)$  is a soft topological space. Then  $(F, \tau_F)$  is called soft topological soft ring over  $X$  and denoted by S.T.S.R, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) the soft mapping  $\tilde{f} : (F \times F, \tau_F \times \tau_F) \rightarrow (F, \tau_F)$  is soft continuous, where

$$f : X \times X \rightarrow X$$

$$(x, y) \mapsto x + y,$$

- (ii) the soft mapping  $\tilde{j} : (F, \tau_F) \rightarrow (F, \tau_F)$  is soft continuous, where

$$j : X \rightarrow X$$

$$x \mapsto -x,$$

- (iii) the soft mapping  $\tilde{g} : (F \times F, \tau_F \times \tau_F) \rightarrow (F, \tau_F)$  is soft continuous, where

$$g : X \times X \rightarrow X$$

$$(x, y) \mapsto xy.$$

## 2. ON SOFT MAPPING

In literature, (Kharal and Ahmad, [15]) introduced the mapping between two classes of a soft set where the image of the soft set is soft set, but in their work, the behavior of the mapping is unpredictable, where the co-domain of mapping can not be restricted on a particular soft set. In this section, we will propose a refinement for the soft mapping that has been suggested by (Kharal and Ahmad, [15]).

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $F_A \in S(X)$  and  $G_B \in S(Y)$ . Let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ . The pair  $(\psi, \varphi)$  is called a soft mapping from  $F_A$  to  $G_B$ , if

$$\psi(F_A(a)) = G_B(\varphi(a)) \text{ and } \psi^{-1}(G_B(\varphi(a))) = \bigcup_{\varphi(a')=\varphi(a)} F_A(a'), \forall a \in A.$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $F_A \in S(X)$  and  $G_B \in S(Y)$  and  $(\psi, \varphi) : F_A \rightarrow G_B$ . Let  $H_C \in S(X)$  and  $K_D \in S(Y)$  such that  $H_C \subseteq F_A$  and  $K_D \subseteq G_B$ . Then

- (i) the image of  $H_C$  under  $(\psi, \varphi)$  is the soft set  $((\psi, \varphi)(H_C))_{\varphi(C)} \in S(Y)$ , such that

$$((\psi, \varphi)(H_C))_{\varphi(C)}(\varphi(c)) = \bigcup_{\varphi(c')=\varphi(c)} \psi(H_C(c')), \forall c \in C,$$

(ii) the inverse image of  $K_D$  under  $(\psi, \varphi)$  is the soft set  $\left((\psi, \varphi)^{-1}(K_D)\right)_{\varphi^{-1}(D)} \in S(X)$ , such that

$$\left((\psi, \varphi)^{-1}(K_D)\right)_{\varphi^{-1}(D)}(a) = \psi^{-1}(K_D(\varphi(a))), \forall a \in \varphi^{-1}(D).$$

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $F_A \in S(X)$  and  $G_B \in S(Y)$  and  $(\psi, \varphi) : F_A \rightarrow G_B$  be a soft mapping.

- (i)  $(\psi, \varphi) : F_A \rightarrow G_B$  is called surjective, if  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are surjective.
- (ii)  $(\psi, \varphi)$  is called injective, if  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are injective.
- (iii)  $(\psi, \varphi) : F_A \rightarrow G_B$  is called bijective, if it is both injective and surjective.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $F_A \in S(X)$  and  $G_B \in S(Y)$ . Then  $(\psi, \varphi) : F_A \rightarrow G_B$  is injective if and only if

- (i)  $\varphi$  is injective,
- (ii)  $\hat{x}, \hat{y} \subseteq F_A; (\psi, \varphi)(\hat{x}) = (\psi, \varphi)(\hat{y}) \Rightarrow \hat{x} = \hat{y}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $(\psi, \varphi)$  is injective. Let  $\hat{x}, \hat{y} \subseteq F_A$  such that  $(\psi, \varphi)(\hat{x}) = (\psi, \varphi)(\hat{y})$ . Then  $\psi(x_a) = \psi(y_a), \forall a \in A$ . Thus  $x_a = y_a, \forall a \in A$ . So  $\hat{x} = \hat{y}$ .

Suppose (i) and (ii) hold. Let  $x, y \in X$  such that  $\psi(x) = \psi(y)$ . Let  $\hat{u}, \hat{v} \subseteq F_A$  such that  $u_a = x, v_a = y, a \in A$  and  $u_{a'} = v_{a'}, \forall a' \in A \setminus \{a\}$ . Then  $\psi(u_{a''}) = \psi(v_{a''}), \forall a'' \in A$ . Since  $\varphi$  is injective,  $(\psi, \varphi)(\hat{u}) = (\psi, \varphi)(\hat{v})$ . Thus  $\hat{u} = \hat{v}$ . So  $u_a = v_a$  which implies that  $x = y$ . Hence  $\psi$  is injective.  $\square$

Note that if  $A = B = E$  and  $\varphi = id_E$ , then  $(\psi, \varphi) : F \rightarrow G$  is injective if and only if  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in F; (\psi, \varphi)(\bar{x}) = (\psi, \varphi)(\bar{y}) \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y}$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $F_A \in S(X)$ ,  $G_B \in S(Y)$  and  $H_C \in S(Z)$ . Let  $(\psi, \varphi) : F_A \rightarrow G_B$  and  $(\alpha, \beta) : G_B \rightarrow H_C$ . Then the composition of  $(\psi, \varphi)$  and  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , denoted by  $(\alpha, \beta)(\psi, \varphi)$  is defined to be the soft mapping  $(\alpha\psi, \beta\varphi)$  from  $F_A$  to  $H_C$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $F_A \in S(X)$ ,  $G_B \in S(Y)$ . Let  $(\psi, \varphi) : F_A \rightarrow G_B$  be a bijection. Then the inverse of  $(\psi, \varphi)$ , denoted by  $(\psi, \varphi)^{-1}$ , is defined to be the soft map  $(\psi^{-1}, \varphi^{-1})$  from  $G_B$  to  $F_A$ .

If  $A = B = E$ ,  $\varphi = id_E$  and  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  is bijective, then  $\tilde{\psi} : F \rightarrow G$  has the inverse  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1} : G \rightarrow F$ . Note that  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1} = \tilde{\psi}^{-1}, \tilde{\psi}^{-1}\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{I}_X$  (from  $F$  to  $F$ ) and  $\tilde{\psi}\tilde{\psi}^{-1} = \tilde{I}_Y$  (from  $G$  to  $G$ ).

**Remark 2.7.** Let  $F_A \in S(X)$ ,  $G_B \in S(Y)$  and  $(\psi, \varphi) : F_A \rightarrow G_B$ .

- (1) If  $H_C \subseteq F_A$ , then  $((\psi, \varphi)(H_C))_{\varphi(C)} \subseteq G_B$  and  $(\psi, \varphi)(F_A) = G_B|_{\varphi(A)}$ .
- (2) If  $K_D \subseteq G_B$  and  $\varphi$  is injective, then  $\left((\psi, \varphi)^{-1}(K_D)\right)_{\varphi^{-1}(D)} \subseteq F_A$ .
- (3) We denote the restriction of  $\psi$  over  $\bigcup \{F_A(a') \mid a' \in A, \varphi(a') = \varphi(a)\}$  by  $\psi_{\varphi(a)}$ . So, it is clear that  $\psi_{\varphi(a)} : \bigcup_{\varphi(a')=\varphi(a)} F_A(a') \rightarrow G_B(\varphi(a))$  is a mapping,  $\forall a \in A$ .

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $F_A \in S(X)$  such that  $F_A(a) \neq \phi, \forall a \in A$ . A soft element in  $F_A$  is defined to be a collection of elements  $x_a$ , where  $a \in A$ , such that  $x_a \in F_A(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ , and written as  $\bar{x} = (x_a)_{a \in A} \in F_A$ . If  $x \in X$  and  $(x_a)_{a \in A} \in F_A$  such that  $x_a = x \in F_A(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ , then we put  $\tilde{x} \in F_A$ .

Note that each soft element  $(x_a)_{a \in A}$  in  $F_A \in S(X)$  corresponds to a singleton soft set  $\hat{x} = \{(a, \{x_a\}) \mid a \in A\}$  of  $F_A$ .

Let  $F_A \in S(X)$ ,  $G_B \in S(Y)$ . Let  $(\psi, \varphi) : F_A \rightarrow G_B$  such that  $\varphi$  is a bijection. Then the soft image of  $\bar{x} = (x_a)_{a \in A} \in F_A$  under  $(\psi, \varphi)$  is defined to be the soft element  $(\psi, \varphi)(\bar{x}) = (\psi(x_a))_{\varphi(a) \in B} \in G_B$ . Which corresponds to the soft subset  $\{(\varphi(a), \{\psi(x_a)\}) \mid a \in A\}$  of  $G_B$ . If  $A = B = E$  and  $\varphi = id_E$ , then  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) = (\psi(x_e))_{e \in E} \in G$ ,  $\forall \bar{x} \in F$  and the inverse image of  $\bar{y} \in G$  is the soft set  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\bar{y}) \in S(X)$  such that  $(\psi, \varphi)^{-1}(\bar{y})(e) = \psi^{-1}(y_e), \forall e \in E$ .

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an S.T.S. Let  $\bar{x} = (x_e)_{e \in E} \in \tilde{X}$ . A soft subset  $U_{\bar{x}}$  of  $\tilde{X}$  is called a soft neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$ , if there exists  $H \in \tau$  such that  $\bar{x} \in H \subseteq U_{\bar{x}}$ . A soft neighborhood  $U_{\bar{x}}$  of  $\bar{x} \in \tilde{X}$  is called open, if  $U_{\bar{x}} \in \tau$ .

**Proposition 2.10.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an S.T.S. Let  $\bar{x} = (x_e)_{e \in E} \in \tilde{X}$  and  $U \in S(X)$ .

(1) If  $U$  is a soft (open) neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$  in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $U(e)$  is an (open) neighborhood of  $x_e$  in  $(X, \tau^e)$  for all  $e \in E$ .

(2) If  $U(e)$  is an (open) neighborhood of  $x_e$  in  $(X, \tau^e)$  for all  $e \in E$ , then  $U$  is a soft (open) neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$  in  $(X, \tau^*)$ .

*Proof.* The proof come out directly from definition of soft neighborhood and the constructions of  $\tau^*$  and  $\tau^e$  □

**Definition 2.11.** Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \nu)$  are two S.T.S's and  $\tilde{f} : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$  be a soft mapping. Then  $\tilde{f}$  is called soft continuous, if for every  $\bar{x} \in \tilde{X}$  and every soft open neighborhood  $U_{\tilde{f}(\bar{x})}$  of  $\tilde{f}(\bar{x})$ , there exists a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{x}}$  of  $\bar{x}$  such that  $\tilde{f}(\bar{x}) \in \tilde{f}(U_{\bar{x}}) \subseteq U_{\tilde{f}(\bar{x})}$ .

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $F \in S(X)$  and  $G \in S(Y)$ . Let  $(F, \tau)$  and  $(G, \nu)$  be soft subspace of  $(X, \omega)$  and  $(Y, \Omega)$ , respectively. Let  $\tilde{f} : F \rightarrow G$ .

- (i)  $\tilde{f}$  is called soft continuous, if for every  $\bar{x} \in F$  and every soft open neighborhood  $U_{\tilde{f}(\bar{x})}$  of  $\tilde{f}(\bar{x})$ , there exists a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{x}}$  of  $\bar{x}$  such that  $\tilde{f}(\bar{x}) \in \tilde{f}(U_{\bar{x}}) \subseteq U_{\tilde{f}(\bar{x})}$ .
- (ii)  $\tilde{f}$  is called soft homeomorphism, if  $\tilde{f}$  is a bijection and  $\tilde{f}$  and  $\tilde{f}^{-1}$  are soft continuous.
- (iii)  $\tilde{f}$  is called soft open, if  $\tilde{f}(H) \in \nu$  for every  $H \in \tau$ .

**Proposition 2.13.** Let  $F \in S(X)$  and  $G \in S(Y)$ . Let  $(F, \tau)$  and  $(G, \nu)$  be soft subspace of  $(X, \omega)$  and  $(Y, \Omega)$ , respectively.

(1) If the soft mapping  $\tilde{f} : (F, \tau) \rightarrow (G, \nu)$  is soft continuous, then  $f_e : (F(e), \tau^e) \rightarrow (G(e), \nu^e)$  is continuous for every  $e \in E$ .

(2) If the mapping  $f_e : (F(e), \tau^e) \rightarrow (G(e), \nu^e)$  is continuous for every  $e \in E$ , then  $\tilde{f} : (F, \tau^*) \rightarrow (G, \nu^*)$  is soft continuous.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\tilde{f} : (F, \tau) \rightarrow (G, \nu)$  be a soft continuous and  $\bar{x} \in F$ . Then for every  $\bar{x} \in F$  and every soft open neighborhood  $U_{\tilde{f}(\bar{x})}$  of  $\tilde{f}(\bar{x})$ , there exists a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{x}}$  of  $\bar{x}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(\bar{x}) \in \tilde{f}(U_{\bar{x}}) &\subseteq U_{\tilde{f}(\bar{x})} \\ \Rightarrow f(x_e) \in f(U_{\bar{x}}(e)) &\subseteq U_{f(x_e)} \quad \forall e \in E \end{aligned}$$

But by Proposition 2.10 (1),  $U_{\bar{x}}(e)$  is an open neighborhood of  $x_e$  in  $(F(e), \tau^e)$  and  $U_{f(x_e)}$  is an open neighborhood of  $f(x_e)$  in  $(G, \nu)$  for all  $e \in E$ . Thus  $f_e$  is continuous for all  $e \in E$ .

(2) Let  $f_e : (F(e), \tau^e) \rightarrow (G(e), \nu^e)$  be continuous for all  $e \in E$ . Let  $\bar{x}$  and  $U_{\tilde{f}(\bar{x})}$  be a soft open neighborhood of  $\tilde{f}(\bar{x})$  in  $(G, \nu^*)$ . Then Proposition 2.10 (1), it follows that  $U_{\tilde{f}(\bar{x})}(e)$

is an open neighborhood of  $f(x_e)$  in  $(G(e), \nu^e)$  for all  $e \in E$ . Put  $U_{\tilde{f}(\bar{x})}(e) = U_{f(x_e)}$  for all  $e \in E$ . Then there exists an open neighborhood  $U_{x_e}$  of  $x_e$  in  $(F(e), \tau^e)$  such that

$$f_e(x_e) \in f_e(U_{x_e}) \subseteq U_{f_e(x_e)}, \quad \forall e \in E.$$

Let  $U \in S(X)$  such that  $U(e) = U_{x_e}, \forall e \in E$ . Then  $U$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$  in  $(F, \tau^*)$  and  $\tilde{f}(\bar{x}) \in \tilde{f}(U) \subseteq U_{\tilde{f}(\bar{x})}$ . Thus  $\tilde{f}$  is soft continuous.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.14.** *Let  $F \in S(X)$  and  $G \in S(Y)$ . Let  $(F, \tau)$  and  $(G, \nu)$  be soft subspace of  $(X, \omega)$  and  $(Y, \Omega)$ , respectively. Let  $\tilde{\psi} : F \rightarrow G$ . Let  $\tilde{\psi}(\tau) = \{\tilde{\psi}(H) \mid H \in \tau\}$  and  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\nu) = \{\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(D) \mid D \in \nu\}$ .*

(1) *If  $\tilde{\psi}$  is injective, then  $\tilde{\psi}(\tau)$  is a soft topology on  $\tilde{\psi}(F)$ .*

(2)  *$\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\nu)$  is a soft topology on  $F$ .*

(3) *The soft mapping  $\tilde{\psi} : F \rightarrow G$  is soft continuous if and only if for each  $\bar{x} \in F$  and each soft neighborhood  $U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})}$  of  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})$  in  $\nu$ , the soft set  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})})$  is a soft open in  $\tau$ .*

(4) *Let  $\bar{x} \in F$  and  $U_{\bar{x}} \in S(X)$ . Let  $\tilde{\psi}$  be injective. Then  $U_{\bar{x}}$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$  in  $(F, \tau)$  if and only if  $\tilde{\psi}(U_{\bar{x}})$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})$  in  $(\tilde{\psi}(F), \tilde{\psi}(\tau))$ . (So, we write in this case  $\tilde{\psi}(U_{\bar{x}}) = U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})}$ ).*

(5) *Let  $\bar{y} \in G$  and  $U_{\bar{y}} \in S(Y)$ . Let  $\tilde{\psi}$  be surjective. Then  $U_{\bar{y}}$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{y}$  in  $(G, \nu)$  if and only if  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{\bar{y}})$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{x} \in F$  with  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) = \bar{y}$  in  $(F, \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\nu))$ . (So, we write in this case  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{\bar{y}}) = U_{\bar{x}}$ , such that  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) = \bar{y}$ ).*

*Proof.* (1) and (2) can easily be proved by checking the condition of soft topology.

(3)  $\tilde{\psi} : F \rightarrow G$  is soft continuous if and only if for every  $\bar{x} \in F$  and every soft open neighborhood  $U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})}$  of  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})$ , there exists a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{x}}$  of  $\bar{x}$  such that  $\tilde{\psi}(U_{\bar{x}}) \subseteq U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})}$ . That implies  $\tilde{\psi} : F \rightarrow G$  is soft continuous if and only if for every soft open neighborhood  $U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})}$  of  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})$ , there exists a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{x}}$  of  $\bar{x}$ , such that  $\bar{x} \in U_{\bar{x}} \subseteq \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})})$ . That implies  $\tilde{\psi} : F \rightarrow G$  is soft continuous if and only  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})})$  is soft open  $\tau$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  Suppose  $U_{\bar{x}}$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$  in  $(F, \tau)$ . Then there exists  $U \in \tau$  such that  $\bar{x} \in U \subseteq U_{\bar{x}}$ . Thus  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) \in \tilde{\psi}(U) \subseteq \tilde{\psi}(U_{\bar{x}})$ . But  $\tilde{\psi}(U) \in \tilde{\psi}(\tau)$ . So  $\tilde{\psi}(U_{\bar{x}})$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})$  in  $(\tilde{\psi}(F), \tilde{\psi}(\tau))$ .

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose  $\tilde{\psi}(U_{\bar{x}})$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})$  in  $(\tilde{\psi}(F), \tilde{\psi}(\tau))$ . Then there exists  $\tilde{\psi}(V) \in \tilde{\psi}(\tau)$ , where  $V \in \tau$  such that  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) \in \tilde{\psi}(V) \subseteq \tilde{\psi}(U_{\bar{x}})$ . Since  $\tilde{\psi}$  is injective,  $\bar{x} \in V \subseteq U_{\bar{x}}$ . Since  $\tilde{\psi}(U_{\bar{x}}) \in \tilde{\psi}(\tau)$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  is injective, we have  $U_{\bar{x}} \in \tau$ . Thus  $U_{\bar{x}}$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$  in  $(F, \tau)$ .

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  Suppose  $U_{\bar{y}}$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{y}$  in  $(G, \nu)$ . Then  $U_{\bar{y}} \in \nu$  and there exists  $K \in \nu$  such that  $\bar{y} \in K \subseteq U_{\bar{y}}$ . Thus  $\bar{x} \in \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(K) \subseteq \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{\bar{y}})$ , where  $\bar{x} \in F$  such that  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) = \bar{y}$ . But  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(K), \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{\bar{y}}) \in \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\nu)$ . So  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{\bar{y}})$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$  in  $(F, \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\nu))$  such that  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) = \bar{y}$ .

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{\bar{y}})$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$  with  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) = \bar{y}$  in  $(F, \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\nu))$ . Since  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{\bar{y}}) \in \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\nu)$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  is surjective, we have  $U_{\bar{y}} \in \nu$ . Then there exists  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(D) \in \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\nu)$ , where  $D \in \nu$  such that  $\bar{x} \in \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(D) \subseteq \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{\bar{y}})$ . Thus  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) \in D \subseteq U_{\bar{y}}$ . So  $U_{\bar{y}}$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) = \bar{y}$  in  $(G, \nu)$ .  $\square$



---

### 3. SOFT MODULES OVER SOFT RINGS

In algebra, the construction of modules mainly depends on the rings. In literature (Sun et al. [24]) defined the modules in soft setting by assuming the initial universal set  $X$  is a module over an arbitrary ring  $R$  and any soft set  $F \in S(X)$  is called a soft modules if  $F(e)$  is submodule of  $X$  for each parameter  $e \in E$ . To be more convenient with the soft set theory we will introduce a new definition for the soft module that provides a natural extension of modules in algebra where we will use the soft ring instead of rings to define soft modules.

From now on,  $R$  assumed to be a ring and  $Y, Z$  be left  $R$ -modules.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $F \in S(R)$  be a soft ring and  $M \in S(Y)$ . Then  $M$  is called a soft left  $F$ -module (over  $Y$ ), if and only if  $M(e)$  is a left  $F(e)$ -submodule of  $Y$  for all  $e \in E$ .

Similarly, one can define soft right  $F$ -module. Note that  $M \in S(Y)$  is a soft  $\tilde{R}$ -module if and only if  $M$  is a soft  $R$ -module.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$ ,  $F(e_1) = R$  and  $F(e_2) = \{(0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 2)\}$ . Then  $F$  is a soft ring over  $R$ . Let  $Y = R$  and  $M \in S(Y)$  such that  $M(e_1) = R$  and  $M(e_2) = F(e_2)$ . Then  $M$  is a soft  $F$ -module over  $Y$ .

Let  $M, N \in S(Y)$  be soft  $F$ -modules. Since  $M(e) \cap N(e)$  is a  $F(e)$ -submodule of  $Y$  for all  $e \in E$ , then  $M \cap N$  is a soft  $F$ -module over  $Y$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $M, N \in S(Y)$  be soft  $F$ -modules. Then  $M$  is called a soft  $F$ -submodule of  $N$ , if  $M(e)$  is a  $F(e)$ -submodule of  $N(e)$  for all  $e \in E$ .

**Remark 3.4.** If  $M$  is a  $R$ -submodule of  $N$ , then we write  $M \leqslant_R N$  and if  $M$  is a soft  $F$ -submodule of  $N$ , then we write  $M \leqslant_F N$ .

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $M \in S(Y)$  and  $N \in S(Z)$  be soft  $F$ -modules. The soft mapping  $\tilde{\psi} : M \rightarrow N$  is called soft  $F$ -homomorphism, if  $\psi : Y \rightarrow Z$  is  $R$ -homomorphism.

Similarly, one can define  $F$ -monomorphisms,  $F$ -epimorphisms and  $F$ -isomorphisms.

**Remark 3.6.** Let  $M \in S(Y)$  and  $N \in S(Z)$  be soft  $F$ -modules and  $\tilde{\psi} : M \rightarrow N$  be a soft  $F$ -homomorphism. Then  $\psi_e : M(e) \rightarrow N(e)$  is  $F(e)$ -homomorphism for all  $e \in E$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $M, M' \in S(Y)$  and  $N, N' \in S(Z)$  be soft  $F$ -modules. Let  $\tilde{\psi} : M \rightarrow N$  be a soft  $F$ -homomorphism. If  $M' \leqslant_F M$  and  $N' \leqslant_F N$ , then  $\tilde{\psi}(M') \leqslant_F N$  and  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(N') \leqslant_F M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $e \in E$ . Then we have

$$\left(\tilde{\psi}(M')\right)(e) = \psi\left(M'(e)\right) \leqslant_{F(e)} \psi\left(M(e)\right) \leqslant_{F(e)} N(e).$$

Thus  $\tilde{\psi}(M') \leqslant_F N$ . Also, we have

$$\left(\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(N')\right)(e) = \psi^{-1}\left(N'(e)\right) \leqslant_{F(e)} \psi^{-1}\left(N(e)\right) = M(e).$$

So  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(N') \leqslant_F M$ . □

**Remark 3.8.** (1) Let  $M \in S(Y)$  be a soft  $F$ -module. Let  $\bar{r}, \bar{s} \in F$  and  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in M$ . Then

- (i) The addition of  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  is defined to be the soft element  $\bar{x} + \bar{y} = (x_e + y_e)_{e \in E} \in M$ ,

- 
- (ii) The multiplication of  $\bar{r}$  and  $\bar{s}$  is defined to be the soft element  $\bar{r}\bar{s} = (r_e s_e)_{e \in E} \in F$ ,
  - (iii) The multiplication of  $\bar{r}$  and  $\bar{x}$  is defined to be the soft element  $\bar{r}\bar{x} = (r_e x_e)_{e \in E} \in M$  and
  - (iv) The additive inverse of  $\bar{x}$  is defined to be the soft element  $-\bar{x}$  such that  $-\bar{x} = (-x_e)_{e \in E}$ .
- (2) Let  $M \in S(Y)$ . Then  $M$  is a soft  $F$ -module if and only if  $M$  is closed with respect to addition of soft elements and multiplication by soft elements in  $F$ .
- (3) Let  $M \in S(Y)$  and  $N \in S(Z)$  be soft  $F$ -modules and let  $\tilde{\psi} : M \rightarrow N$  be a soft  $F$ -homomorphism. Then  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) = \tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) + \tilde{\psi}(\bar{y})$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{r}\bar{x}) = \bar{r}\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})$ ,  $\forall \bar{x}, \bar{y} \in M, \bar{r} \in F$ .

#### 4. SOFT TOPOLOGICAL SOFT MODULES

In literature, the concept of a topological module is a module that is defined in a topological setting under certain conditions. This section will introduce the concept of the soft topological soft module as a hybrid of soft algebraic and soft topological structures.

Let  $R$  be a ring,  $Y$  and  $Z$  be left  $R$ -modules.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(F, \nu_F)$  be an S.T.S.R over  $(R, \nu)$ ,  $M \in S(Y)$  be a soft  $F$ -module and  $(M, \tau_M)$  a soft subspace of  $(Y, \tau)$ . Then  $(M, \tau_M)$  is called (left) soft topological soft module over  $(F, \nu_F)$  (or soft topological soft  $F$ -module), denoted by S.T.S.M, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\tilde{f} : (M \times M, \tau_M \times \tau_M) \rightarrow (M, \tau_M)$  is soft continuous, where

$$\begin{aligned} f : Y \times Y &\rightarrow Y \\ (x, y) &\mapsto x + y, \end{aligned}$$

- (ii)  $\tilde{j} : (M, \tau_M) \rightarrow (M, \tau_M)$  is soft continuous, where

$$\begin{aligned} j : Y &\rightarrow Y \\ x &\mapsto -x, \end{aligned}$$

- (iii)  $\tilde{g} : (F \times M, \nu_F \times \tau_M) \rightarrow (M, \tau_M)$  is soft continuous, where

$$\begin{aligned} g : R \times Y &\rightarrow Y \\ (r, y) &\mapsto ry. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can define right soft topological soft module over  $(F, \nu_F)$ .

**Remark 4.2.** (1) Every S.T.S.M is an S.T.S.G.

- (2) Let  $G$  be a soft subset of  $F$  and  $K, D$  be soft subsets of  $M$ , where  $M \in S(Y)$  is a soft  $F$ -module over  $Y$ . Then the addition of  $K$  and  $D$  and the multiplication of  $G$  and  $K$  are defined to be the soft subsets  $K + D, GK$ , respectively such that  $(K + D)(e) = K(e) + D(e)$ ,  $(GK)(e) = G(e)K(e)$ ,  $\forall e \in E$ . Note that if  $\tilde{r} \in G$ ,  $\tilde{x} \in K$  and  $\tilde{y} \in D$ , then  $\tilde{x} + \tilde{y} \in K + D$  and  $\tilde{r}\tilde{x} \in GK$ .
- (3) Let  $K$  be a soft subset of  $M$ . Then  $-K$  is defined to be a soft subset of  $M$  such that  $(-K)(e) = -(K(e))$ ,  $\forall e \in E$ .
- (4) Let  $\tilde{\psi} : M \rightarrow N$  be a soft  $F$ -homomorphism with  $M \in S(Y)$ ,  $N \in S(Z)$ . Let  $G \subseteq F$  and  $U, V \subseteq M$ . Then  $\tilde{\psi}(U + V) = \tilde{\psi}(U) + \tilde{\psi}(V)$ ,  $\tilde{\psi}(-U) = -\tilde{\psi}(U)$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(GU) = G\tilde{\psi}(U)$ .

---

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $(F, \nu_F)$  be an S.T.S.R over  $(R, \nu)$ ,  $M \in S(Y)$  be a soft  $F$ -module and  $(M, \tau_M)$  a soft subspace of  $(Y, \tau)$ . Then  $(M, \tau_M)$  is an S.T.S.M over  $(F, \nu_F)$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) *For every  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in M$  and every soft open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{x}+\bar{y}}$  of  $\bar{x} + \bar{y}$ , there exists a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{x}}$  of  $\bar{x}$  and a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{y}}$  of  $\bar{y}$  such that  $U_{\bar{x}} + U_{\bar{y}} \subseteq U_{\bar{x}+\bar{y}}$ ,*
- (ii) *For every  $\bar{x} \in M$  and every soft open neighborhood  $U_{-\bar{x}}$  of  $-\bar{x}$ , there exists a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{x}}$  of  $\bar{x}$  such that  $-U_{\bar{x}} \subseteq U_{-\bar{x}}$ ,*
- (iii) *For every  $\bar{r} \in F$ ,  $\bar{x} \in M$  and every soft open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{r}\bar{x}}$  of  $\bar{r}\bar{x}$ , there exists a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{x}}$  of  $\bar{x}$  and a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{r}}$  of  $\bar{r}$  such that  $U_{\bar{r}}U_{\bar{x}} \subseteq U_{\bar{r}\bar{x}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(F, \nu_F)$  be an S.T.S.R over  $(R, \nu)$ ,  $M \in S(Y)$  be a soft  $F$ -module.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $(M, \tau_M)$  is an S.T.S.M over  $(F, \nu_F)$ . Let  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in M$  and let  $U_{\bar{x}+\bar{y}}$  be a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{x} + \bar{y}$ . Since  $(M, \tau_M)$  is an S.T.S.M, the soft mapping  $\tilde{f} : (M \times M, \tau_M \times \tau_M) \rightarrow (M, \tau_M)$  is soft continuous, where

$$f : Y \times Y \rightarrow Y$$

$$(x, y) \mapsto x + y.$$

Then by Definition 2.12, there exists a soft open neighborhood  $U_{(\bar{x}, \bar{y})}$  of  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  such that

$$\tilde{f}(U_{(\bar{x}, \bar{y})}) \in \tilde{f}(U_{(\bar{x}, \bar{y})}) \subseteq U_{\tilde{f}(U_{(\bar{x}, \bar{y})})} = U_{\bar{x}+\bar{y}}.$$

Since  $U_{(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} \in \tau_M \times \tau_M$ , there exists  $V, W \in \tau_M$ , such that  $U_{(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} = V \times W$ . Note that  $\bar{x} \in V$ ,  $\bar{y} \in W$ . Thus we put  $V = U_{\bar{x}}$  and  $W = U_{\bar{y}}$ . Then  $U_{(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} = U_{\bar{x}} \times U_{\bar{y}}$ . Note that  $\tilde{f}(U_{(\bar{x}, \bar{y})}) = \tilde{f}(U_{\bar{x}} \times U_{\bar{y}}) = U_{\bar{x}} + U_{\bar{y}}$ . So we have  $U_{\bar{x}} + U_{\bar{y}} \subseteq U_{\bar{x}+\bar{y}}$ . Hence we have (i).

Also, since  $(M, \tau_M)$  is an S.T.S.M, the soft mapping  $\tilde{j} : (M, \tau_M) \rightarrow (M, \tau_M)$  is soft continuous, where

$$j : Y \rightarrow Y$$

$$x \mapsto -x.$$

Then from Definition 2.12, it follows that for every  $x \in M$  and for every soft open neighborhood  $U_{\tilde{j}(\bar{x})}$  of  $\tilde{j}(\bar{x})$ , there must be a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{x}}$  of  $\bar{x}$  such that  $\bar{x} \in \tilde{j}(U_{\bar{x}}) \subseteq U_{\tilde{j}(\bar{x})}$ . Thus  $-U_{\bar{x}} \subseteq U_{-\bar{x}}$ . So we have (ii).

Moreover, since  $(M, \tau_M)$  is an S.T.S.M, the soft mapping  $\tilde{g} : (F \times M, \nu_F \times \tau_M) \rightarrow (M, \tau_M)$  is soft continuous, where

$$g : R \times Y \rightarrow Y$$

$$(r, x) \mapsto rx.$$

Let  $\bar{r} \in F$  and  $U_{\bar{r}\bar{x}}$  be a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{r}\bar{x} = \tilde{g}(\bar{r}, \bar{x})$ . Then by Definition 2.12, there exists a soft open neighborhood  $U_{(\bar{r}, \bar{x})}$  of  $(\bar{r}, \bar{x})$  such that

$$\tilde{g}(\bar{r}, \bar{x}) \in \tilde{g}(U_{(\bar{r}, \bar{x})}) \subseteq U_{\tilde{g}(\bar{r}, \bar{x})} = U_{\bar{r}\bar{x}}.$$

Since  $U_{(\bar{r}, \bar{x})} \in \nu_F \times \tau_M$ , there exists  $V \in \nu_F$  and  $W \in \tau_M$  such that  $U_{(\bar{r}, \bar{x})} \in V \times W$ . Since  $\bar{r} \in V$ ,  $\bar{x} \in W$ , we put  $V = U_{\bar{r}}$  and  $W = U_{\bar{x}}$ . Then  $\tilde{g}(U_{(\bar{r}, \bar{x})}) = U_{\bar{r}}U_{\bar{x}}$ . Thus  $U_{\bar{r}}U_{\bar{x}} \subseteq U_{\bar{r}\bar{x}}$ . So we have (iii).

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Follows directly by Definition 2.12. □

---

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $(F, \nu_F)$  be an S.T.S.R over  $(R, \nu)$ ,  $M \in S(Y)$  be a soft  $F$ -module and  $(M, \tau_M)$  a soft subspace of  $(Y, \tau)$ . If  $(M, \tau_M)$  is an S.T.S.M over  $(F, \nu_F)$ , then for every  $e \in E$ ,  $(M(e), (\tau^e)_{M(e)})$  is a T.M over the ring  $F(e)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $(M, \tau_M)$  is an S.T.S.M over  $(F, \nu_F)$ . Then the soft mappings  $\tilde{f} : (M \times M, \tau_M \times \tau_M) \rightarrow (M, \tau_M)$ ,  $\tilde{g} : (F \times M, \nu_F \times \tau_M) \rightarrow (M, \tau_M)$ , and  $\tilde{j} : (M, \tau_M) \rightarrow (M, \tau_M)$  are soft continuous, where

$$\begin{array}{l} f : Y \times Y \rightarrow Y \quad g : R \times Y \rightarrow Y \quad \text{and} \quad j : Y \rightarrow Y \\ (x, y) \mapsto x + y \quad (r, y) \mapsto ry \quad \text{and} \quad x \mapsto -x. \end{array}$$

Thus by Proposition 2.13 (1) the mappings  $f_e : (M(e) \times M(e), (\tau^e)_{M(e)} \times (\tau^e)_{M(e)}) \rightarrow (M(e), (\tau^e)_{M(e)})$ ,  $g_e : (F(e) \times M(e), (\nu^e)_{F(e)} \times (\tau^e)_{M(e)}) \rightarrow (M(e), (\tau^e)_{M(e)})$ , and  $j_e : (M(e), (\tau^e)_{M(e)}) \rightarrow (M(e), (\tau^e)_{M(e)})$  are continuous for all  $e \in E$ , where

$$\begin{array}{l} f_e : M(e) \times M(e) \rightarrow M(e) \quad g_e : F(e) \times M(e) \rightarrow M(e) \quad \text{and} \quad j_e : M(e) \rightarrow M(e) \\ (x, y) \mapsto x + y \quad (r, y) \mapsto ry \quad \text{and} \quad x \mapsto -x. \end{array}$$

So  $(M(e), (\tau^e)_{M(e)})$  is a T.M over the ring  $F(e)$ . □

**Proposition 4.5.** Let  $(F, \nu_F)$  be an S.T.S.R over  $(R, \nu)$ ,  $M \in S(Y)$  be a soft  $F$ -module and  $(Y, \tau)$  be an S.T.S. If the subspace  $(M(e), (\tau^e)_{M(e)})$  of  $(Y, \tau^e)$  is a T.M over the ring  $F(e)$ , for every  $e \in E$ , then the soft subspace  $(M, \tau_M^*)$  is an S.T.S.M over  $(F, \nu_F)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $(M(e), (\tau^e)_{M(e)})$  is a T.M over the ring  $F(e)$ .

Then the mappings  $f_e : (M(e) \times M(e), (\tau^e)_{M(e)} \times (\tau^e)_{M(e)}) \rightarrow (M(e), (\tau^e)_{M(e)})$ ,  $g_e : (F(e) \times M(e), (\nu^e)_{F(e)} \times (\tau^e)_{M(e)}) \rightarrow (M(e), (\tau^e)_{M(e)})$ , and  $j_e : (M(e), (\tau^e)_{M(e)}) \rightarrow (M(e), (\tau^e)_{M(e)})$  are continuous for all  $e \in E$ , where

$$\begin{array}{l} f_e : M(e) \times M(e) \rightarrow M(e) \quad g_e : F(e) \times M(e) \rightarrow M(e) \quad \text{and} \quad j_e : M(e) \rightarrow M(e) \\ (x, y) \mapsto x + y \quad (r, y) \mapsto ry \quad \text{and} \quad x \mapsto -x. \end{array}$$

Hence by Proposition 2.13 (2), the soft mappings  $\tilde{f} : (M \times M, \tau_M^* \times \tau_M^*) \rightarrow (M, \tau_M^*)$ ,  $\tilde{g} : (F \times M, \nu_F^* \times \tau_M^*) \rightarrow (M, \tau_M^*)$ , and  $\tilde{j} : (M, \tau_M^*) \rightarrow (M, \tau_M^*)$  are soft continuous, where

$$\begin{array}{l} f : Y \times Y \rightarrow Y \quad g : R \times Y \rightarrow Y \quad \text{and} \quad j : Y \rightarrow Y \\ (x, y) \mapsto x + y \quad (r, y) \mapsto ry \quad \text{and} \quad x \mapsto -x. \end{array}$$

So  $(M, \tau_M^*)$  is an S.T.S.M over  $(F, \nu_F)$ . □

**Proposition 4.6.** Let  $(F, \nu_F)$  be an S.T.S.R over  $(R, \nu)$ ,  $M \in S(Y)$  and  $N \in S(Z)$  be soft  $F$ -modules. Let  $\tilde{\psi} : M \rightarrow N$  be a soft  $F$ -monomorphism. If  $(M, \tau_M)$  a soft subspace of  $(Y, \tau)$  such that  $(M, \tau_M)$  is an S.T.S.M over  $(F, \nu_F)$ , then  $(\tilde{\psi}(M), \tilde{\psi}(\tau_M))$  is an S.T.S.M over  $(F, \nu_F)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\psi} : M \rightarrow N$  be a soft  $F$ -monomorphism. Let  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in M$ . Then  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}), \tilde{\psi}(\bar{y}) \in \tilde{\psi}(M)$ . Let  $U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})+\tilde{\psi}(\bar{y})}$  be a soft open neighborhood of  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) + \tilde{\psi}(\bar{y})$  in  $\tilde{\psi}(\tau_M)$ . Then there exists  $U \in \tau_M$ , such that  $U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})+\tilde{\psi}(\bar{y})} = \tilde{\psi}(U)$ . Note that  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) + \tilde{\psi}(\bar{y}) = \tilde{\psi}(\bar{x} + \bar{y})$ . Since  $\tilde{\psi}(U)$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x} + \bar{y})$  in  $\tilde{\psi}(\tau_M)$ , there exists  $V \in \tau_M$  such that  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) \in \tilde{\psi}(V) \subseteq \tilde{\psi}(U)$ . Then  $\bar{x} + \bar{y} \in V \subseteq U$ . Thus  $U$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{x} + \bar{y}$  in  $\tau_M$ . But  $(M, \tau_M)$  is an S.T.S.M. By Proposition 4.3 (i), there exist soft open neighborhoods  $U_{\bar{x}}$  and  $U_{\bar{y}}$  of  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$ , respectively, in  $\tau_M$  such that  $U_{\bar{x}} + U_{\bar{y}} \subseteq U_{\bar{x}+\bar{y}} := U$ . So  $\tilde{\psi}(U_{\bar{x}}) + \tilde{\psi}(U_{\bar{y}}) \subseteq \tilde{\psi}(U) = U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})+\tilde{\psi}(\bar{y})}$ . Hence  $U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})} + U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{y})} \subseteq U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})+\tilde{\psi}(\bar{y})}$ , where  $U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})} = \tilde{\psi}(U_{\bar{x}})$ ,  $U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{y})} = \tilde{\psi}(U_{\bar{y}})$  (See Proposition 2.14).

Let  $U_{-\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})}$  be a soft open neighborhood of  $-\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})$  in  $\tilde{\psi}(\tau_M)$ . Then there exists  $U' \in \tau_M$  such that  $U_{-\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})} = \tilde{\psi}(U')$ . Also, there exists  $V' \in \tau_M$  such that  $-\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) \in \tilde{\psi}(V') \subseteq \tilde{\psi}(U')$ . Thus  $-\bar{x} \in V' \subseteq U'$ . So  $U'$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $-\bar{x}$  in  $\tau_M$ . Put  $U' = U_{-\bar{x}}$ . But  $(M, \tau_M)$  is an S.T.S.M. By Proposition 4.3 (ii), there exists a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{x}}$  of  $\bar{x}$  in  $\tau_M$  such that  $-U_{\bar{x}} \subseteq U_{-\bar{x}}$ . So  $-\tilde{\psi}(U_{\bar{x}}) \subseteq \tilde{\psi}(U_{-\bar{x}})$ . Hence it follows, from Proposition 2.14 that  $-U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})} \subseteq U_{-\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})}$ .

Let  $\bar{r} \in F$  and  $U_{\bar{r}\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})}$  be a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{r}\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})$  in  $\tilde{\psi}(\tau_M)$ . Then  $U_{\bar{r}\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})} = \tilde{\psi}(U'')$ ,  $U'' \in \tau_M$  and there exists  $V'' \in \tau_M$  such that  $\bar{r}\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) \in \tilde{\psi}(V'') \subseteq \tilde{\psi}(U'')$ . Note that  $\bar{r}\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) = \tilde{\psi}(\bar{r}\bar{x})$ . Thus  $\bar{r}\bar{x} \in V'' \subseteq U''$ . So  $U''$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{r}\bar{x}$  in  $\tau_M$ . Put  $U'' = U_{\bar{r}\bar{x}}$ . But  $(M, \tau_M)$  is an S.T.S.M. By Proposition 4.3 (iii), there exist a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{r}}$  of  $\bar{r}$  in  $nu_F$  and a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\bar{x}}$  of  $\bar{x}$  in  $\tau_M$  such that  $U_{\bar{r}}U_{\bar{x}} \subseteq U_{\bar{r}\bar{x}}$ . Hence  $U_{\bar{r}}\tilde{\psi}(U_{\bar{x}}) \subseteq \tilde{\psi}(U_{\bar{r}\bar{x}})$ . By Proposition 2.14, we have  $U_{\bar{r}}U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})} \subseteq U_{\bar{r}\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})}$ . Therefore it follows, from Proposition 4.3, that  $(\tilde{\psi}(M), \tilde{\psi}(\tau))$  is an S.T.S.M.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $(F, \nu_F)$  be an S.T.S.R over  $(R, \nu)$ ,  $M \in S(Y)$  and  $N \in S(Z)$  be soft  $F$ -modules. Let  $\tilde{\psi} : M \rightarrow N$  be a soft  $F$ -epimorphism. If  $(N, \tau_N)$  a soft subspace of  $(Z, \tau)$  such that  $(N, \tau_N)$  be an S.T.S.M over  $(F, \nu_F)$ , then  $(M, \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\tau_N))$  is an S.T.S.M over  $(F, \nu_F)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in M$  and  $U_{\bar{x}+\bar{y}}$  be a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{x} + \bar{y}$  in  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\tau_M)$ . Then there exists  $V \in \tau_M$  such that  $U_{\bar{x}+\bar{y}} = \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(V)$  and there exists  $U \in \tau_M$  such that  $\bar{x} + \bar{y} \in \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U) \subseteq \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(V)$ . Since  $\tilde{\psi}$  is surjective, we have  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) \in U \subseteq V$ . Thus  $V$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x} + \bar{y})$  in  $\tau_M$ . Since  $\tilde{\psi}$  is  $F$ -homomorphism,  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) = \tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) + \tilde{\psi}(\bar{y})$ . Now we put  $V = U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})+\tilde{\psi}(\bar{y})}$ . But  $(N, \tau)$  is S.T.S.M. Then it follows, from Proposition 4.3 (i), that there exist a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})}$  of  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})$  and a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{y})}$  of  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{y})$  in  $\tau_M$  such that  $U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})} + U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{y})} \subseteq U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})+\tilde{\psi}(\bar{y})}$ . But  $\tilde{\psi}$  is surjective. Thus  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})}) + \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{y})}) \subseteq \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})+\tilde{\psi}(\bar{y})})$ . So from Proposition 2.14, it follows that  $U_{\bar{x}} + U_{\bar{y}} \subseteq U_{\bar{x}+\bar{y}}$ , where  $U_{\bar{x}}$  and  $U_{\bar{y}}$  are soft open neighborhoods of  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$ , respectively.

Let  $U_{-\bar{x}}$  be a soft open neighborhoods of  $-\bar{x}$  in  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\tau_M)$ . Then there exists  $V' \in \tau_M$  such that  $U_{-\bar{x}} = \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(V')$  and there exists  $U' \in \tau$  such that  $-\bar{x} \in \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U') \subseteq \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(V')$ . Since  $\tilde{\psi}$  is  $F$ -epimorphism, we have  $-\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) \in U' \subseteq V'$ . Thus  $V'$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $-\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})$  in  $\tau_M$ . But  $(N, \tau)$  is S.T.S.M. From Proposition 4.3 (ii), it follows that there exists a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})}$  of  $\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})$  in  $\tau_M$  such that  $-U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})} \subseteq U_{-\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})}$ . So  $-\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})}) \subseteq \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{-\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})})$ . Hence  $-U_{\bar{x}} \subseteq U_{-\bar{x}}$ , where  $U_{\bar{x}}$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$  (See Proposition 2.14).

Let  $\bar{r} \in F$  and  $U_{\bar{r}\bar{x}}$  be a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{r}\bar{x}$  in  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\tau_M)$ . Then there exists  $V'' \in \tau_M$  such that  $U_{\bar{r}\bar{x}} = \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(V'')$  and there exists  $U'' \in \tau_M$  such that  $\bar{r}\bar{x} \in \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U'') \subseteq \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(V'')$ . Thus  $\bar{r}\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x}) \in U'' \subseteq V''$ . So  $V''$  is a soft open neighborhood of  $\bar{r}\tilde{\psi}(\bar{x})$  in  $\tau_M$ . Now

put  $V'' = U_{\tilde{r}\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{x})}$ . But  $(N, \tau)$  is S.T.S.M. From Proposition (4.3 (iii)), it follows that there exist a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\tilde{r}}$  of  $\tilde{r}$  in  $\nu_F$  and a soft open neighborhood  $U_{\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{x})}$  of  $\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{x})$  in  $\tau_M$  such that  $U_{\tilde{r}}U_{\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{x})} \subseteq U_{\tilde{r}\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{x})}$ . Hence it follows, from Proposition 2.14, that  $U_{\tilde{r}}U_{\tilde{x}} \subseteq U_{\tilde{r}\tilde{x}}$ , where  $U_{\tilde{x}} = \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{x})})$  and  $U_{\tilde{r}\tilde{x}} = \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(U_{\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{r}\tilde{x})})$ .

Therefore it follows, from Proposition 4.3, that  $(M, \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\tau_M))$  is an S.T.S.M over  $(F, \nu_F)$ .  $\square$

#### 4.1. Soft topological soft $F$ -submodule.

**Definition 4.8.** Let  $(F, \nu_F)$  be an S.T.S.R over  $(R, \nu)$  and  $M, N \in S(Y)$  be soft  $F$ -modules. If the subspace  $(M, \tau_M)$  of  $(Y, \tau)$  and the subspace  $(N, \kappa_N)$  of  $(Y, \kappa)$  are both an S.T.S.M over  $(F, \nu_F)$ , then  $(M, \tau_M)$  is called soft topological soft  $F$ -submodule of  $(N, \kappa_N)$ , denoted by  $(M, \tau_M) \leq_F (N, \kappa_N)$ , if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $M$  is a soft  $F$ -submodule of  $N$ ,
- (ii)  $\tau_M = ((\kappa)_N)_M$ .

**Example 4.9.** Let  $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}_8$ . Let  $F = \tilde{R}$  and  $\nu$  be the discrete soft topology on  $F$ . Then  $(F, \nu_F)$  is an S.T.S.R over  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ . Let  $Y = \mathbb{Z}_8$  and

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \{(e_1, \{\bar{0}\}), (e_2, \{\bar{0}, \bar{4}\})\}, & N &= \{(e_1, \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}\}), (e_2, \{\bar{0}, \bar{4}\})\}, \\ F_1 &= \{(e_1, \{\bar{0}\}), (e_2, \{\bar{0}\})\}, & F_2 &= \{(e_1, \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}\}), (e_2, \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{4}\})\}, \\ F_3 &= \{(e_1, \{\bar{4}\}), (e_2, \{\bar{4}\})\}, & F_4 &= \{(e_1, \{\bar{0}, \bar{4}\}), (e_2, \{\bar{0}, \bar{4}\})\} \\ & \text{and } F_5 &= \{(e_1, \{\bar{0}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}\}), (e_2, \{\bar{0}, \bar{4}\})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $M$  and  $N$  are soft  $F$ -modules and  $M \leq_F N$ . Note that  $\tau = \{\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{R}, F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4\}$  is a soft topology on  $F (= \tilde{R})$  and  $\kappa = \{\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{R}, F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5\}$  is a soft topology on  $F$ .

With a simple computations, we can find  $\tau_M$  and  $\kappa_N$  such that

$\tau_M = \{\tilde{\phi}, M, \{(e_1, \{\bar{0}\}), (e_2, \{\bar{0}\})\}, \{(e_1, \phi), (e_2, \{\bar{4}\})\}, \text{ and}$   
 $\kappa_N = \{\tilde{\phi}, N, \{(e_1, \{\bar{0}\}), (e_2, \{\bar{0}\})\}, \{(e_1, \{\bar{4}\}), (e_2, \{\bar{4}\})\}, \{(e_1, \{\bar{0}, \bar{4}\}), (e_2, \{\bar{0}, \bar{4}\})\}, \{(e_1, \{\bar{0}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}\}), (e_2, \{\bar{0}, \bar{4}\})\}\}$ .  
 Also,  $\nu = S(\mathbb{Z}_8) = \nu_F$ . So, it is easy to show that  $(M, \tau_M)$  is an S.T.S.M. Similarly, one can show that  $(N, \kappa_N)$  is an S.T.S.M. Since ,

$$\tau_M = ((\kappa)_N)_M = \{\tilde{\phi}, \{(e_1, \{\bar{0}\}), (e_2, \{\bar{0}\})\}, \{(e_1, \phi), (e_2, \{\bar{4}\})\}, \{(e_1, \{\bar{0}\}), (e_2, \{\bar{0}, \bar{4}\})\}\}.$$

Thus  $(M, \tau_M) \leq_F (N, \kappa_N)$ .

**Proposition 4.10.** Let  $(F, \nu_F)$  be an S.T.S.R over  $(R, \nu)$  and  $M, M' \in S(Y)$ ,  $N \in S(Z)$  be soft  $F$ -modules. Let  $(M', \lambda_{M'})$  and  $(M, \mu_M)$  be two S.T.S.M over  $(F, \nu_F)$ . If  $(M', \lambda_{M'}) \leq_F (M, \mu_M)$  and  $\tilde{\psi} : M \rightarrow N$  is a soft  $F$ -monomorphism, then  $(\tilde{\psi}(M'), \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)) \leq_F (\tilde{\psi}(M), \tilde{\psi}(\mu))$ .

*Proof.* From Proposition 4.6, it follows that  $(\tilde{\psi}(M'), \tilde{\psi}(\lambda))$  and  $(\tilde{\psi}(M), \tilde{\psi}(\mu))$  are S.T.S.M over  $(F, \nu_F)$ . Since  $M' \leq_F M$ ,  $\tilde{\psi}(M') \leq_F \tilde{\psi}(M)$ . Since  $\tilde{\psi}$  is injective and  $\mu_M = \lambda$ , we have  $(\tilde{\psi}(\mu))_{\tilde{\psi}(M')} = \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)$ . Then  $(\tilde{\psi}(M'), \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)) \leq_F (\tilde{\psi}(M), \tilde{\psi}(\mu))$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.11.** Let  $(F, \nu_F)$  be an S.T.S.R over  $(R, \nu)$  and  $M \in S(Y)$ ,  $N, N' \in S(Z)$  be soft  $F$ -modules. Let  $(N', \lambda)$  and  $(N, \mu)$  be two S.T.S.M over  $(F, \nu_F)$ . If  $(N', \lambda) \leq_F (N, \mu)$  and  $\tilde{\psi} : M \rightarrow N$  is a soft  $F$ -epimorphism, then  $(\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(N'), \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\lambda)) \leq_F (\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(N), \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\mu))$ .

*Proof.* From Proposition 4.7, it follows that  $(\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(N'), \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\lambda))$  and  $(\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(N), \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\mu))$  are S.T.S.M over  $(F, \nu_F)$ . Since  $N' \leq_F N$ ,  $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(N') \leq_F \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(N)$ . But  $(\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\mu))_{\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(N')} = \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\mu_{N'}) = \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\lambda)$ . Then  $(\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(N'), \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\lambda)) \leq_F (\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(N), \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\mu))$ .  $\square$

---

## 5. CONCLUSION

we have proposed the concept of soft modules over soft rings. After that we have produced the concept of the soft topological soft module by analyzing the soft topological structures over the soft modules directly. Moreover, we have discussed the subsystem of the S.T.S.M by producing the concepts of soft topological soft  $F$ -submodule. The reader can work on the concept of the fuzzy soft topological module over fuzzy soft topological rings as a future studies.

## REFERENCES

- [1] U. Acar, F. Koyuncu and B. Tanay, Soft sets and soft rings, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 59 (11) (2010) 3458–3463.
- [2] H. Aktaş and N. Çağman, Soft sets and soft groups, *Inform. Sci.* 177 (13) (2007) 2726–2735.
- [3] T. M. Al-shami, M. E. El-Shafei and M. Abo-Elhamayel, On soft topological ordered spaces, *Journal of King Saud University-Science* 31 (4) (2019) 556–566.
- [4] T. M. Al-shami and M. E. El-Shafei, Partial belong relation on soft separation axioms and decision-making problem, two birds with one stone, *Soft Computing* 24 (7) (2020) 5377–5387.
- [5] T. M. Al-shami and L. D. Kočinac, The equivalence between the enriched and extended soft topologies., *Appl. Comput. Math* 18 (2) (2019) 149–162.
- [6] T. M. Al-shami, L. D. Kočinac and B. A. Asaad, Sum of soft topological spaces, *Mathematics* 8 (6) (2020) 990.
- [7] K. V. Babitha and J. J. Sunil, Soft set relations and functions, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 60 (7) (2010) 1840–1849.
- [8] N. Çağman, S. Karataş and S. Enginoglu, Soft topology, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62 (1) (2011) 351–358.
- [9] Y. Çelik, C. Ekiz and S. Yamak, A new view on soft rings, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* 40 (2) (2011) 273–286.
- [10] M. E. El-Shafei, M. Abo-Elhamayel and T. M. Al-Shami, Partial soft separation axioms and soft compact spaces, *Filomat* 32 (13) (2018) 4755–4771.
- [11] M. E. El-Shafei and T. M. Al-Shami, Applications of partial belong and total non-belong relations on soft separation axioms and decision-making problem, *Computational and Applied Mathematics* 39 (2020) 138.
- [12] F. Feng, Y. B. Jun and X. Zhao, Soft semirings. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 56 (10) (2008) 2621–2628.
- [13] S. Hussain and B. Ahmad, Some properties of soft topological spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62 (11) (2011) 4058–4067.
- [14] T. Judson, *Abstract algebra: theory and applications*. Stephen F. Austin State University 2014.
- [15] A. Kharal and B. Ahmad, Mappings on soft classes. *New Math. Nat. Comput.* 7 (3) (2011) 471–481.
- [16] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas and A. R. Roy, Soft set theory. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 45 (4-5) (2003) 555–562.
- [17] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory-first results, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 37 (4-5) (1999) 19–31.

- 
- [18] S. Nazmul and S. K. Samanta, Neighbourhood properties of soft topological spaces  
Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 6 (1) (2013) 1–15.
- [19] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, Internat. J. Comput. Inform. Sci. 11 (5) (1982) 341–356.
- [20] G. Şenel, A new approach to hausdorff space theory via the soft sets, Mathematical  
Problems in Engineering 2016 (2016).
- [21] G. Şenel, Soft topology generated by l-soft sets, Journal of New Theory, 4 (24) (2018)  
88–100.
- [22] G. Şenel and N. Çağman, Soft topological subspaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 10  
(4) (2015) 525–535.
- [23] M. Shabir and M. Naz, On soft topological spaces, Comput. Math. Appl. 61 (7) (2011)  
1786–1799.
- [24] Q.-M. Sun, Z.-L. Zhang and J. Liu, Soft sets and soft modules, In International  
Conference on Rough Sets and Knowledge Technology (2008) Springer 403–409.
- [25] M. K. Tahat, F. Sidky and M. Abo-Elhamayel, Soft topological soft groups and soft  
rings, Soft Computing 22 (21) (2018) 7143–7156.
- [26] M. K. Tahat, F. Sidky and M. Abo-Elhamayel, Soft topological rings, Journal of King  
Saud University-Science 31 (4) (2019) 1127–1136.
- [27] S. Warner, Topological rings, vol. 178 of North-Holland Mathematics Studies, North-  
Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993.
- [28] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets. Information and Control 8 (1965) 338–353.

FAWZAN SIDKY (fawzan1@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt

M. E. EL-SHAFEI (meshafei@hotmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt

M. K. TAHAT (just.tahat@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt