

Crossing cubic structures as an extension of bipolar fuzzy sets

YOUNG BAE JUN, KUL HUR, JEONG GON LEE AND JUN KIM

Received 28 January 2021; Revised 20 February 2021; Accepted 3 March 2021

ABSTRACT. As an extension of bipolar-valued fuzzy sets, the notion of (inner, outer) crossing cubic structures is introduced by using the notion of \mathcal{N} -functions and interval-valued fuzzy sets, and related properties are investigated. The same direction order and the opposite direction order in crossing cubic structures are defined, and several properties are discussed. Also, S-union, S-intersection, O-union and O-intersection of crossing cubic structures are introduced, and their related properties are considered. Crossing cubic subalgebras are studied by applying a crossing cubic structure to BCK/BCI-algebras.

2020 AMS Classification: 03E72, 03G25, 06F35

Keywords: (inner, outer) crossing cubic structure, same direction order, opposite direction order, S-union, S-intersection, O-union, O-intersection, crossing cubic subalgebra

Corresponding Author: J.G. Lee (jukolee@wku.ac.kr)

1. INTRODUCTION

A (crisp) set A in a universe X can be defined in the form of its characteristic function $\mu_A : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ yielding the value 1 for elements belonging to the set A and the value 0 for elements excluded from the set A . So far most of the generalization of the crisp set have been conducted on the unit interval $[0, 1]$ and they are consistent with the asymmetry observation. In other words, the generalization of the crisp set to fuzzy sets relied on spreading positive information that fit the crisp point $\{1\}$ into the interval $[0, 1]$. In [1], Zadeh made an extension of the concept of a fuzzy set by an interval-valued fuzzy set. Because no negative meaning of information is suggested, we now feel a need to deal with negative information. To do so, everyone also feel a need to supply mathematical tool. To attain such object, Jun et al. [2] introduced and used a new function which is called negative-valued function. Using a fuzzy set and an interval-valued fuzzy set, Jun et al. [3] introduced the notion of cubic sets.

Fuzzy set theory is established in the paper [4]. In the traditional fuzzy sets, the membership degrees of elements range over the interval $[0, 1]$. The traditional fuzzy set representation cannot tell apart contrary elements from irrelevant elements. Only with the membership degrees ranged on the interval $[0, 1]$, it is difficult to express the difference of the irrelevant elements from the contrary elements in fuzzy sets. If a set representation could express this kind of difference, it would be more informative than the traditional fuzzy set representation. Based on these observations, Lee [5] introduced an extension of fuzzy sets named bipolar-valued fuzzy sets.

In this paper, using the notion of \mathcal{N} -functions and interval-valued fuzzy sets, we introduce the notion of (inner, outer) crossing cubic structures which is an extension of bipolar-valued fuzzy sets, and investigate several properties. We define the same direction order and the opposite direction order in crossing cubic structures. Also, we define S-union, S-intersection, O-union and O-intersection of crossing cubic structures, and discuss their related properties. We study crossing cubic subalgebras by applying crossing cubic structures to BCK/BCI-algebras.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A set X with a binary operation “ \rightsquigarrow ” and a special element 0 is called *BCI-algebra* if it satisfies:

$$(2.1) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in X)((x \rightsquigarrow y) \rightsquigarrow (x \rightsquigarrow z)) \rightsquigarrow (z \rightsquigarrow y) = 0),$$

$$(2.2) \quad (\forall x, y \in X)((x \rightsquigarrow (x \rightsquigarrow y)) \rightsquigarrow y = 0),$$

$$(2.3) \quad (\forall x \in X)(x \rightsquigarrow x = 0),$$

$$(2.4) \quad (\forall x, y \in X)(x \rightsquigarrow y = 0, y \rightsquigarrow x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y).$$

By a *BCK-algebra* we mean a BCI-algebra X satisfying the following condition:

$$(2.5) \quad (\forall x \in X)(0 \rightsquigarrow x = 0).$$

A subset L of a BCK/BCI-algebra X is called a *subalgebra* of X if $x \rightsquigarrow y \in L$ for all $x, y \in L$.

Denote by $\mathcal{F}(X, [-1, 0])$ the collection of all functions from a set X to $[-1, 0]$. We say that an element of $\mathcal{F}(X, [-1, 0])$ is a *negative-valued function* from X to $[-1, 0]$ (briefly, *\mathcal{N} -function* on X .) Define a relation \leq on $\mathcal{F}(X, [-1, 0])$ as follows:

$$(2.6) \quad \xi \leq \eta \Leftrightarrow (\forall x \in X)(\xi(x) \leq \eta(x))$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{F}(X, [-1, 0])$. The *complement* of $\xi \in \mathcal{F}(X, [-1, 0])$, denoted by ξ^c , is defined as follows:

$$(2.7) \quad (\forall x \in X)(\xi^c(x) = -1 - \xi(x)).$$

An *interval number* is defined to be a subinterval $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+]$ of $[0, 1]$, where $0 \leq a^- \leq a^+ \leq 1$. The interval number $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+]$ with $a^- = a^+$ is denoted by \mathbf{a} . Denote by $[[0, 1]]$ the set of all interval numbers. Let us define what is known as *refined minimum* (briefly, *rmin*) of two elements in $[[0, 1]]$. We also define the symbols “ \succ ”, “ \preccurlyeq ”, “ $=$ ” in case of two elements in $[[0, 1]]$. Consider two interval

numbers $\tilde{a}_1 := [a_1^-, a_1^+]$ and $\tilde{a}_2 := [a_2^-, a_2^+]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2 \} &= [\min \{ a_1^-, a_2^- \}, \min \{ a_1^+, a_2^+ \}], \\ \tilde{a}_1 \succcurlyeq \tilde{a}_2 &\Leftrightarrow a_1^- \geq a_2^-, a_1^+ \geq a_2^+, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly we may have $\tilde{a}_1 \preccurlyeq \tilde{a}_2$ and $\tilde{a}_1 = \tilde{a}_2$. To say $\tilde{a}_1 \succ \tilde{a}_2$ (resp. $\tilde{a}_1 \prec \tilde{a}_2$) we mean $\tilde{a}_1 \succcurlyeq \tilde{a}_2$ and $\tilde{a}_1 \neq \tilde{a}_2$ (resp. $\tilde{a}_1 \preccurlyeq \tilde{a}_2$ and $\tilde{a}_1 \neq \tilde{a}_2$). Let $\tilde{a}_i \in [[0, 1]]$ where $i \in \Lambda$. We define

$$\text{rinf}_{i \in \Lambda} \tilde{a}_i = \left[\inf_{i \in \Lambda} a_i^-, \inf_{i \in \Lambda} a_i^+ \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rsup}_{i \in \Lambda} \tilde{a}_i = \left[\sup_{i \in \Lambda} a_i^-, \sup_{i \in \Lambda} a_i^+ \right].$$

For any $\tilde{a} \in [[0, 1]]$, its *complement*, denoted by \tilde{a}^c , is defined to be the interval number

$$\tilde{a}^c = [1 - a^+, 1 - a^-].$$

Let X be a nonempty set. A function $f : X \rightarrow [[0, 1]]$ is called an *interval-valued fuzzy set* (briefly, an *IVF set*) in X . Let $[[0, 1]]^X$ stand for the set of all IVF sets in X . For every $f \in [[0, 1]]^X$ and $x \in X$, $f(x) = [f^-(x), f^+(x)]$ is called the *degree* of membership of an element x to f , where $f^- : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $f^+ : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are fuzzy sets in X which are called a *lower fuzzy set* and an *upper fuzzy set* in X , respectively. For simplicity, we denote $f = [f^-, f^+]$. For every $f, g \in [[0, 1]]^X$, we define

$$f \subseteq g \Leftrightarrow f(x) \preccurlyeq g(x) \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

and

$$f = g \Leftrightarrow f(x) = g(x) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

The complement f^c of $f \in [[0, 1]]^X$ is defined as follows: $f^c(x) = f(x)^c$ for all $x \in X$, that is,

$$f^c(x) = [1 - f^+(x), 1 - f^-(x)] \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

3. CROSSING CUBIC STRUCTURES

Definition 3.1. By a *crossing cubic structure* on a set X , we mean a pair $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f, \xi)})$ where

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{C}_{(f, \xi)} := \{ \langle x, f(x), \xi(x) \rangle \mid x \in X \}$$

in which f is an interval-valued fuzzy set in X and ξ is an \mathcal{N} -function on X .

Definition 3.2. A crossing cubic structure $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f, \xi)})$ on a set X is said to be

- *inner*, if it satisfies:

$$(3.2) \quad (\forall x \in X)(-\xi(x) \in [f^-(x), f^+(x)]).$$

- *outer*, if it satisfies:

$$(3.3) \quad (\forall x \in X)(-\xi(x) \leq f^-(x) \text{ or } -\xi(x) \geq f^+(x)).$$

Example 3.3. 1. Let f be an interval-valued fuzzy set in X . Then $\mathcal{C}_{(f, \xi_0)} := \{ \langle x, f(x), \xi_0(x) \rangle \mid x \in X \}$, $\mathcal{C}_{(f, \xi_{-1})} := \{ \langle x, f(x), \xi_{-1}(x) \rangle \mid x \in X \}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(f, \xi_c)} := \{ \langle x, f(x), \xi_c(x) \rangle \mid x \in X \}$ are crossing cubic structures on X , where $\xi_0(x) = 0$, $\xi_{-1}(x) = -1$ and $\xi_c(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f^-(x) + f^+(x))$ for all $x \in X$.

2. Let $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ be a crossing cubic structure on $[0, 1]$. If $f(x) = [0.4, 0.7]$ and $\xi(x) = -0.5$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$, then $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ is an inner crossing cubic structure on $[0, 1]$. If $f(x) = [0.4, 0.7]$ and $\xi(x) = -0.75$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$, then $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ is an outer crossing cubic structure on $[0, 1]$. If $f(x) = [0.4, 0.7]$ and $\xi(x) = -x$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$, then $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ is neither an inner crossing cubic structure nor an outer crossing cubic structure .

Example 3.4. Define an interval-valued fuzzy set f and a negative-valued function ξ on the real line \mathbb{R} by

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [[0, 1]], x \mapsto \begin{cases} [0, 0.4] & \text{if } x < 0 \\ [0.5, 0.6] & \text{if } x = 0 \\ [0.7, 0.9] & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-1, 0], x \mapsto -1 + \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}.$$

respectively, Then $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ is a crossing cubic structure on the real line \mathbb{R} .

Proposition 3.5. *If a crossing cubic structure $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ on a set X is not outer, then $f^-(a) < -\xi(a) < f^+(a)$ for some $a \in X$.*

Proof. It is straightforward. □

Proposition 3.6. *If a crossing cubic structure $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ on a set X is inner and outer, then*

$$(3.4) \quad (\forall x \in X)(-\xi(x) = f^-(x) \text{ or } -\xi(x) = f^+(x)).$$

Proof. Let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ be a crossing cubic structure on a set X which is inner and outer. Then $-\xi(x) \in [f^-(x), f^+(x)]$ and $-\xi(x) \leq f^-(x)$ or $-\xi(x) \in [f^-(x), f^+(x)]$ and $-\xi(x) \geq f^+(x)$ for all $x \in X$. It follows that $-\xi(x) = f^-(x)$ or $-\xi(x) = f^+(x)$. This completes the proof. □

Definition 3.7. Let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ be a crossing cubic structure on a set X . The complement of $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ is defined to be the crossing cubic structure

$$(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})^c := (X, \mathcal{C}_{(f^c, \xi^c)}),$$

where $f^c : X \rightarrow [[0, 1]], x \mapsto [1 - f^+(x), 1 - f^-(x)]$ and $\xi^c : X \rightarrow [-1, 0], x \mapsto -1 - \xi(x)$.

Example 3.8. Consider the crossing cubic structure $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ on \mathbb{R} which is given in Example 3.4. Then f^c and ξ^c are calculated as follows:

$$f^c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [[0, 1]], x \mapsto \begin{cases} [0.6, 1] & \text{if } x < 0 \\ [0.4, 0.5] & \text{if } x = 0 \\ [0.1, 0.3] & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\xi^c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-1, 0], x \mapsto \frac{-1}{1 + e^{-x}}.$$

Hence the crossing cubic structure $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f, \xi)})^c := (X, \mathcal{C}_{(f^c, \xi^c)})$ is the complement of $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{C}_{(f, \xi)})$.

For a crossing cubic structure $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f, \xi)})$ on a set X , $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+] \in [[0, 1]]$, $t \in [-1, 0]$ and $\varepsilon \in \{\geq, >, \leq, <\}$, we define:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\geq \wedge \geq, \varepsilon)} &:= f_{\tilde{a}}^{\geq \wedge \geq} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon \\ &:= \{x \in X \mid f^-(x) \geq a^-, f^+(x) \geq a^+\} \cap \{x \in X \mid \xi(x) \varepsilon t\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\geq \wedge >, \varepsilon)} &:= f_{\tilde{a}}^{\geq \wedge >} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon \\ &:= \{x \in X \mid f^-(x) \geq a^-, f^+(x) > a^+\} \cap \{x \in X \mid \xi(x) \varepsilon t\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(> \wedge \geq, \varepsilon)} &:= f_{\tilde{a}}^{> \wedge \geq} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon \\ &:= \{x \in X \mid f^-(x) > a^-, f^+(x) \geq a^+\} \cap \{x \in X \mid \xi(x) \varepsilon t\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(> \wedge >, \varepsilon)} &:= f_{\tilde{a}}^{> \wedge >} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon \\ &:= \{x \in X \mid f^-(x) > a^-, f^+(x) > a^+\} \cap \{x \in X \mid \xi(x) \varepsilon t\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\leq \vee \leq, \varepsilon)} &:= f_{\tilde{a}}^{\leq \vee \leq} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon \\ &:= \{x \in X \mid f^-(x) \leq a^- \text{ or } f^+(x) \leq a^+\} \cap \{x \in X \mid \xi(x) \varepsilon t\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\leq \vee <, \varepsilon)} &:= f_{\tilde{a}}^{\leq \vee <} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon \\ &:= \{x \in X \mid f^-(x) \leq a^- \text{ or } f^+(x) < a^+\} \cap \{x \in X \mid \xi(x) \varepsilon t\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(< \vee \leq, \varepsilon)} &:= f_{\tilde{a}}^{< \vee \leq} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon \\ &:= \{x \in X \mid f^-(x) < a^- \text{ or } f^+(x) \leq a^+\} \cap \{x \in X \mid \xi(x) \varepsilon t\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(< \vee <, \varepsilon)} &:= f_{\tilde{a}}^{< \vee <} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon \\ &:= \{x \in X \mid f^-(x) < a^- \text{ or } f^+(x) < a^+\} \cap \{x \in X \mid \xi(x) \varepsilon t\}. \end{aligned}$$

In a crossing cubic structure $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f, \xi)})$ on a set X , we define:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{a^-}^{\geq} &:= \{x \in X \mid f^-(x) \geq a^-\}, & f_{a^+}^{\geq} &:= \{x \in X \mid f^+(x) \geq a^+\}, \\ f_{a^-}^{>} &:= \{x \in X \mid f^-(x) > a^-\}, & f_{a^+}^{>} &:= \{x \in X \mid f^+(x) > a^+\}, \\ f_{a^-}^{\leq} &:= \{x \in X \mid f^-(x) \leq a^-\}, & f_{a^+}^{\leq} &:= \{x \in X \mid f^+(x) \leq a^+\}, \\ f_{a^-}^{<} &:= \{x \in X \mid f^-(x) < a^-\}, & f_{a^+}^{<} &:= \{x \in X \mid f^+(x) < a^+\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+] \in [[0, 1]]$.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f, \xi)})$ be a crossing cubic structure on a set X . For any $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+] \in [[0, 1]]$, $t \in [-1, 0]$ and $\varepsilon \in \{\geq, >, \leq, <\}$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\geq \wedge \geq, \varepsilon)} &= f_{a^-}^{\geq} \cap f_{a^+}^{\geq} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon, & \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\geq \wedge >, \varepsilon)} &= f_{a^-}^{\geq} \cap f_{a^+}^{>} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon, \\ \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(> \wedge \geq, \varepsilon)} &= f_{a^-}^{>} \cap f_{a^+}^{\geq} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon, & \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(> \wedge >, \varepsilon)} &= f_{a^-}^{>} \cap f_{a^+}^{>} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

Proposition 3.10. Let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f, \xi)})$ be a crossing cubic structure on a set X . For any $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+] \in [[0, 1]]$, $t \in [-1, 0]$ and $\varepsilon \in \{\geq, >, \leq, <\}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\leq \vee \leq, \varepsilon)} &= (f_{a^-}^{\leq} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon) \cup (f_{a^+}^{\leq} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon), \\ \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\leq \vee <, \varepsilon)} &= (f_{a^-}^{\leq} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon) \cup (f_{a^+}^{<} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon), \\ \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(< \vee \leq, \varepsilon)} &= (f_{a^-}^{<} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon) \cup (f_{a^+}^{\leq} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon), \\ \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(< \vee <, \varepsilon)} &= (f_{a^-}^{<} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon) \cup (f_{a^+}^{<} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

Proposition 3.11. Let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f, \xi)})$ be a crossing cubic structure on a set X . For any $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+] \in [[0, 1]]$, $t \in [-1, 0]$ and $\varepsilon \in \{\geq, >, \leq, <\}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(> \wedge >, \varepsilon)} &\subseteq \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\geq \wedge >, \varepsilon)} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\geq \wedge \geq, \varepsilon)}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(> \wedge >, \varepsilon)} &\subseteq \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(> \wedge \geq, \varepsilon)} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\geq \wedge \geq, \varepsilon)}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(< \vee <, \varepsilon)} &\subseteq \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\leq \vee <, \varepsilon)} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\leq \vee \leq, \varepsilon)}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(< \vee <, \varepsilon)} &\subseteq \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(< \vee \leq, \varepsilon)} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\leq \vee \leq, \varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

Proposition 3.12. Let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f, \xi)})$ be a crossing cubic structure on a set X and let $\varepsilon \in \{\geq, >, \leq, <\}$. Let $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+]$, $\tilde{b} = [b^-, b^+] \in [[0, 1]]$ and $t, s \in [-1, 0]$ be such that $\tilde{a} \prec \tilde{b}$ and $t < s$. If $\varepsilon \in \{\geq, >\}$, then $\mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{b}, s)}^{(\geq \wedge \geq, \varepsilon)} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(> \wedge >, >)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, s)}^{(\leq \vee \leq, \varepsilon)} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{b}, t)}^{(< \vee <, >)}$. If $\varepsilon \in \{\leq, <\}$, then $\mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{b}, t)}^{(\geq \wedge \geq, \varepsilon)} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, s)}^{(> \wedge >, <)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\leq \vee \leq, \varepsilon)} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{b}, s)}^{(< \vee <, >)}$.

Proof. Assume that $\tilde{a} \prec \tilde{b}$ and $t < s$. Then $a^- < b^-$ and $a^+ < b^+$. If $x \in \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{b}, s)}^{(\geq \wedge \geq, \varepsilon)}$ for $\varepsilon \in \{\geq, >\}$, then $x \in f_{b^-}^{\geq} \cap f_{b^+}^{\geq} \cap \xi_s^\varepsilon$. Thus $f^-(x) \geq b^- > a^-$, $f^+(x) \geq b^+ > a^+$ and $\xi(x) \varepsilon s > t$. This shows that $x \in \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(> \wedge >, >)}$. So $\mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{b}, s)}^{(\geq \wedge \geq, \varepsilon)} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(> \wedge >, >)}$. Let $x \in \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, s)}^{(\leq \vee \leq, \varepsilon)}$. Then $x \in f_{a^-}^{\leq} \cap \xi_s^\varepsilon$ or $x \in f_{a^+}^{\leq} \cap \xi_s^\varepsilon$. If $x \in f_{a^-}^{\leq} \cap \xi_s^\varepsilon$, then $f^-(x) \leq a^- < b^-$ and $\xi(x) \varepsilon s > t$, that is, $x \in f_{b^-}^{<} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon$. If $x \in f_{a^+}^{\leq} \cap \xi_s^\varepsilon$, then $f^+(x) \leq a^+ < b^+$ and $\xi(x) \varepsilon s > t$, that is, $x \in f_{b^+}^{<} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon$. Hence $x \in (f_{a^+}^{\leq} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon) \cup (f_{b^+}^{<} \cap \xi_t^\varepsilon) = \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{b}, t)}^{(< \vee <, >)}$. Similarly, we can verify that $\mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{b}, t)}^{(\geq \wedge \geq, \varepsilon)} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, s)}^{(> \wedge >, <)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\leq \vee \leq, \varepsilon)} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{b}, s)}^{(< \vee <, >)}$ for $\varepsilon \in \{\leq, <\}$. □

Applying the De Morgan's laws to Propositions 3.9 and 3.10 induces the following results.

Proposition 3.13. Let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f, \xi)})$ be a crossing cubic structure on a set X , $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+] \in [[0, 1]]$ and $t \in [-1, 0]$. For $\alpha = \geq$ (resp., $>$, \leq and $<$), let $\alpha^c = <$ (resp., \leq , $>$ and \geq). Then $\left(\mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\alpha \wedge \beta, \gamma)}\right)^c = f_{a^-}^{\alpha^c} \cup f_{a^+}^{\beta^c} \cup \xi_t^{\gamma^c}$ and $\left(\mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a}, t)}^{(\alpha \vee \beta, \gamma)}\right)^c = (f_{a^-}^{\alpha^c} \cap f_{a^+}^{\beta^c}) \cup \xi_t^{\gamma^c}$ for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{\geq, >, \leq, <\}$.

Denote by $CCS(X)$ the set of all crossing cubic structures on a set X . We define a binary relation “ \leq ”, called the *same direction order* (briefly, S-order), on $CCS(X)$ as follows:

$$(3.13) \quad (X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \leq (X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)}) \Leftrightarrow f \subseteq g, \xi \leq \eta$$

for all $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}), (X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)}) \in CCS(X)$. It is clear that $(CCS(X), \leq)$ is a poset.

For any $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \in CCS(X)$, $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+] \in [[0, 1]]$ and $t \in [-1, 0]$, we define a scalar \odot -product and a scalar $*$ -product of $\mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}$ by $(\tilde{a}, t) \odot \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} := \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a} \odot f, t \odot \xi)}$ and $(\tilde{a}, t) * \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} := \mathcal{C}_{(\tilde{a} * f, t * \xi)}$ where

$$\tilde{a} \odot f : X \rightarrow [[0, 1]], \quad x \mapsto [\min\{a^-, f^-(x)\}, \min\{a^+, f^+(x)\}],$$

$$t \odot \xi : X \rightarrow [-1, 0], \quad x \mapsto \min\{t, \xi(x)\},$$

$$\tilde{a} * f : X \rightarrow [[0, 1]], \quad x \mapsto [\max\{a^-, f^-(x)\}, \max\{a^+, f^+(x)\}],$$

$$t * \xi : X \rightarrow [-1, 0], \quad x \mapsto \max\{t, \xi(x)\}.$$

Proposition 3.14. *Let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ be crossing cubic structures on a set X , $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+], \tilde{b} = [b^-, b^+] \in [[0, 1]]$ and $t, s \in [-1, 0]$. If $\tilde{a} \preceq \tilde{b}$ and $t \leq s$, then $(X, (\tilde{a}, t) \odot \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \leq (X, (\tilde{b}, s) \odot \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $(X, (\tilde{a}, t) * \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \leq (X, (\tilde{b}, s) * \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$. If $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \leq (X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$, then $(X, (\tilde{a}, t) \odot \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \leq (X, (\tilde{a}, t) \odot \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ and $(X, (\tilde{a}, t) * \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \leq (X, (\tilde{a}, t) * \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$.*

Proof. For any $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{a} \odot f)(x) &= [\min\{a^-, f^-(x)\}, \min\{a^+, f^+(x)\}] \\ &\preceq [\min\{b^-, f^-(x)\}, \min\{b^+, f^+(x)\}] \\ &= (\tilde{b} \odot f)(x), \end{aligned}$$

$$(t \odot \xi)(x) = \min\{t, \xi(x)\} \leq \min\{s, \xi(x)\} = (s \odot \xi)(x),$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{a} * f)(x) &= [\max\{a^-, f^-(x)\}, \max\{a^+, f^+(x)\}] \\ &\preceq [\max\{b^-, f^-(x)\}, \max\{b^+, f^+(x)\}] \\ &= (\tilde{b} * f)(x), \end{aligned}$$

and $(t * \xi)(x) = \max\{t, \xi(x)\} \leq \max\{s, \xi(x)\} = (s * \xi)(x)$. Then $(X, (\tilde{a}, t) \odot \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \leq (X, (\tilde{b}, s) \odot \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $(X, (\tilde{a}, t) * \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \leq (X, (\tilde{b}, s) * \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$. Assume that $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \leq (X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$. Then $f \subseteq g$ and $\xi \leq \eta$, that is, $[f^-(x), f^+(x)] \preceq [g^-(x), g^+(x)]$ and $\xi(x) \leq \eta(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{a} \odot f)(x) &= [\min\{a^-, f^-(x)\}, \min\{a^+, f^+(x)\}] \\ &\preceq [\min\{a^-, g^-(x)\}, \min\{a^+, g^+(x)\}] \\ &= (\tilde{a} \odot g)(x) \end{aligned}$$

and $(t \odot \xi)(x) = \min\{t, \xi(x)\} \leq \min\{s, \xi(x)\} = (s \odot \xi)(x)$ for all $x \in X$, that is, $\tilde{a} \odot f \subseteq \tilde{a} \odot g$ and $t \odot \xi \leq t \odot \eta$. So $(X, (\tilde{a}, t) \odot \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \leq (X, (\tilde{a}, t) \odot \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$. Also we

have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{a} * f)(x) &= [\max\{a^-, f^-(x)\}, \max\{a^+, f^+(x)\}] \\ &\preceq [\max\{a^-, g^-(x)\}, \max\{a^+, g^+(x)\}] \\ &= (\tilde{a} * g)(x), \end{aligned}$$

and $(t * \xi)(x) = \max\{t, \xi(x)\} \leq \max\{t, \eta(x)\} = (t * \eta)(x)$ for all $x \in X$. i.e., $\tilde{a} * f \subseteq \tilde{a} * g$ and $t * \xi \leq t * \eta$. Hence $(X, (\tilde{a}, t) * \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \leq (X, (\tilde{a}, t) * \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$. \square

Theorem 3.15. *If we define a binary operation “ \cdot ” on $CCS(X)$ as follows:*

$$(3.14) \quad (X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \cdot (X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)}) = (X, \mathcal{C}_{(f \wedge_r g, \xi \wedge \eta)}),$$

where $(f \wedge_r g)(x) = \min\{f(x), g(x)\}$ and $(\xi \wedge \eta)(x) = \min\{\xi(x), \eta(x)\}$ for all $x \in X$, then $(CCS(X), \cdot)$ is a semigroup.

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Definition 3.16. Let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ be crossing cubic structures on a set X . We define the equality “ $=$ ” and the opposite direction order (briefly, O-order) “ \ll ” in $CCS(X)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) &= (X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)}) \Leftrightarrow f = g, \xi = \eta, \\ (X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) &\ll (X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)}) \Leftrightarrow f \subseteq g, \xi \geq \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.17. $(CCS(X), \ll)$ is a poset.

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Definition 3.18. Let $\{(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i) \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of crossing cubic structures on a set X , where Λ is any index set and $\mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i = \{\langle x, f_i(x), \xi_i(x) \rangle \mid x \in X\}$. Then

(i) the S-union, denoted by $\uplus_{i \in \Lambda} (X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i)$, of $\{(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i) \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ is defined to be the crossing cubic structure $(X, \uplus_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i)$ in which

$$\uplus_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i := \left\{ \left\langle x, \left(\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} f_i \right) (x), \left(\bigvee_{i \in \Lambda} \xi_i \right) (x) \right\rangle \mid x \in X \right\},$$

(ii) the S-intersection, denoted by $\mathbb{m}_{i \in \Lambda} (X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i)$, of $\{(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i) \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ is defined to be the crossing cubic structure $(X, \mathbb{m}_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i)$ in which

$$\mathbb{m}_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i := \left\{ \left\langle x, \left(\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} f_i \right) (x), \left(\bigwedge_{i \in \Lambda} \xi_i \right) (x) \right\rangle \mid x \in X \right\},$$

(iii) the O-union, denoted by $\uplus_{i \in \Lambda} (X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i)$, of $\{(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i) \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ is defined to be the crossing cubic structure $(X, \uplus_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i)$ in which

$$\uplus_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i := \left\{ \left\langle x, \left(\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} f_i \right) (x), \left(\bigwedge_{i \in \Lambda} \xi_i \right) (x) \right\rangle \mid x \in X \right\},$$

(iv) the *O-intersection*, denoted by $\mathbb{m}_O \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i \right)$, of $\left\{ \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i \right) \mid i \in \Lambda \right\}$ is defined to be the crossing cubic structure $\left(X, \mathbb{m}_O \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i \right)$ in which

$$\mathbb{m}_O \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i := \left\{ \left\langle x, \left(\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} f_i \right) (x), \left(\bigvee_{i \in \Lambda} \xi_i \right) (x) \right\rangle \mid x \in X \right\},$$

where $\left(\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} f_i \right) (x) = \text{rsup}_{i \in \Lambda} f_i(x)$, $\left(\bigvee_{i \in \Lambda} \xi_i \right) (x) = \sup \{ \xi_i(x) \mid i \in \Lambda \}$,
 $\left(\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} f_i \right) (x) = \text{rinf}_{i \in \Lambda} f_i(x)$ and $\left(\bigwedge_{i \in \Lambda} \xi_i \right) (x) = \inf \{ \xi_i(x) \mid i \in \Lambda \}$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(X, \mathbb{u}_S \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i \right) &= \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{\left(\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} f_i, \bigvee_{i \in \Lambda} \xi_i \right)} \right), & \left(X, \mathbb{m}_S \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i \right) &= \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{\left(\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} f_i, \bigwedge_{i \in \Lambda} \xi_i \right)} \right), \\ \left(X, \mathbb{u}_O \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i \right) &= \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{\left(\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} f_i, \bigwedge_{i \in \Lambda} \xi_i \right)} \right), & \left(X, \mathbb{m}_O \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}^i \right) &= \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{\left(\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} f_i, \bigvee_{i \in \Lambda} \xi_i \right)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.19. *Given crossing cubic structures*

$$\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right), \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right), \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(h,\zeta)} \right) \text{ and } \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(k,\varrho)} \right)$$

on a set X , we have

- (1) if $\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \triangleleft \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right)$, then $\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right)^c \triangleleft \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right)^c$,
- (2) if $\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \triangleleft \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right)$ and $\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \triangleleft \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(h,\zeta)} \right)$, then

$$\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \triangleleft \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right) \mathbb{m}_S \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(h,\zeta)} \right),$$
- (3) if $\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \triangleleft \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(h,\zeta)} \right)$ and $\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right) \triangleleft \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(h,\zeta)} \right)$, then

$$\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \mathbb{u}_S \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right) \triangleleft \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(h,\zeta)} \right),$$
- (4) if $\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \triangleleft \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(h,\zeta)} \right)$ and $\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right) \triangleleft \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(k,\varrho)} \right)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \mathbb{u}_S \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right) &\triangleleft \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(h,\zeta)} \right) \mathbb{u}_S \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(k,\varrho)} \right) \text{ and} \\ \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \mathbb{m}_S \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right) &\triangleleft \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(h,\zeta)} \right) \mathbb{m}_S \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(k,\varrho)} \right), \end{aligned}$$
- (5) if $\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \ll \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right)$, then $\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right)^c \ll \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right)^c$,
- (6) if $\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \ll \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right)$ and $\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \ll \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(h,\zeta)} \right)$, then

$$\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \ll \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right) \mathbb{m}_O \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(h,\zeta)} \right),$$
- (7) if $\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \ll \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(h,\zeta)} \right)$ and $\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right) \ll \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(h,\zeta)} \right)$, then

$$\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \mathbb{u}_O \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right) \ll \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(h,\zeta)} \right),$$
- (8) if $\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \ll \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(h,\zeta)} \right)$ and $\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right) \ll \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(k,\varrho)} \right)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \mathbb{u}_O \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right) &\ll \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(h,\zeta)} \right) \mathbb{u}_O \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(k,\varrho)} \right) \text{ and} \\ \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right) \mathbb{m}_O \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)} \right) &\ll \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(h,\zeta)} \right) \mathbb{m}_O \left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(k,\varrho)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

Theorem 3.20. *If a crossing cubic structure $\left(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)} \right)$ on a set X is inner (resp., outer), then its complement is also inner (resp., outer).*

Proof. Assume that $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ is an inner crossing cubic structure on a set X . Then $-\xi(x) \in [f^-(x), f^+(x)] = f(x)$, that is, $f^-(x) \leq -\xi(x) \leq f^+(x)$ for all $x \in X$. It follows that $1 - f^+(x) \leq -\xi^c(x) \leq 1 - f^-(x)$, i.e., $-\xi^c(x) \in [1 - f^+(x), 1 - f^-(x)] = f^c(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Thus $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})^c$ is an inner crossing cubic structure on X . Now if $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ is an outer crossing cubic structure on a set X , then $-\xi(x) \leq f^-(x)$ or $-\xi(x) \geq f^+(x)$ for all $x \in X$. So $-\xi^c(x) = -(-1 - \xi(x)) = 1 + \xi(x) \geq 1 - f^-(x)$ or $-\xi^c(x) = -(-1 - \xi(x)) = 1 + \xi(x) \leq 1 - f^+(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Hence $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})^c$ is an outer crossing cubic structure on X . \square

Theorem 3.21. *If $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ are inner crossing cubic structures on a set X , then so is their O-union.*

Proof. Let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ be inner crossing cubic structures on a set X . Then $f^-(x) \leq -\xi(x) \leq f^+(x)$ and $g^-(x) \leq -\eta(x) \leq g^+(x)$ for all $x \in X$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (f \cup g)^-(x) &= \max\{f^-(x), g^-(x)\} \leq \max\{-\xi(x), -\eta(x)\} \\ &= -\min\{\xi(x), \eta(x)\} = -(\xi \wedge \eta)(x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -(\xi \wedge \eta)(x) &= -\min\{\xi(x), \eta(x)\} = \max\{-\xi(x), -\eta(x)\} \\ &\leq \max\{f^+(x), g^+(x)\} = (f \cup g)^+(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. Thus $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \uplus_O (X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ is an inner crossing cubic structure on X . \square

Theorem 3.22. *If $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ are inner crossing cubic structures on a set X , then so is their O-intersection.*

Proof. Let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ be inner crossing cubic structures on a set X . Then $f^-(x) \leq -\xi(x) \leq f^+(x)$ and $g^-(x) \leq -\eta(x) \leq g^+(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (f \cap g)^-(x) &= \min\{f^-(x), g^-(x)\} \leq \min\{-\xi(x), -\eta(x)\} \\ &= -\max\{\xi(x), \eta(x)\} = -(\xi \vee \eta)(x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -(\xi \vee \eta)(x) &= -\max\{\xi(x), \eta(x)\} = \min\{-\xi(x), -\eta(x)\} \\ &\leq \min\{f^+(x), g^+(x)\} = (f \cap g)^+(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. So $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \cap_O (X, \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ is an inner crossing cubic structure on X . \square

In the following example, we know that the S-union and the S-intersection of inner crossing cubic structures may not be an inner crossing cubic structure.

Example 3.23. 1. Let $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ be crossing cubic structures on $[0, 1]$ in which $f(x) = [0.1, 0.8]$, $\xi(x) = -0.2$, $g(x) = [0.4, 0.9]$ and $\eta(x) = -0.5$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Then $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ are inner crossing cubic structures on $[0, 1]$. The S-union of $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ is

$$([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \uplus_S ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f \cup g, \xi \vee \eta)}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\xi)})$$

We can check that $-\xi(x) = 0.2 \notin [0.4, 0.9] = g(x)$ which shows that $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \uplus_S ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ is not an inner crossing cubic structure on $[0, 1]$.

2. Let $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ be crossing cubic structures on $[0, 1]$ in which $f(x) = [0.2, 0.4]$, $\xi(x) = -0.35$, $g(x) = [0.2, 0.3]$ and $\eta(x) = -0.25$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Then $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ are inner crossing cubic structures on $[0, 1]$. The S-intersection of $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ is

$$([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \cap_S ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f \cap g, \xi \wedge \eta)}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\xi)})$$

and it is not an inner crossing cubic structure on $[0, 1]$ since $-\xi(x) = 0.35 \notin [0.2, 0.3] = g(x)$.

The following example shows that the S-union and the S-intersection of outer crossing cubic structures may not be an outer crossing cubic structure.

Example 3.24. (1) Let $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ be crossing cubic structures on $[0, 1]$ in which $f(x) = [0.31, 0.53]$, $\xi(x) = -0.76$, $g(x) = [0.72, 0.83]$ and $\eta(x) = -0.87$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Then $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ are outer crossing cubic structures on $[0, 1]$. The S-union of $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ is

$$([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \cup_S ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f \cup g, \xi \vee \eta)}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\xi)})$$

and it is not an outer crossing cubic structure on $[0, 1]$ since $-\xi(x) = 0.76 \in [0.72, 0.83] = [g^-(x), g^+(x)]$.

(2) Let $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ be crossing cubic structures on $[0, 1]$ in which $f(x) = [0.4, 0.6]$, $\xi(x) = -0.28$, $g(x) = [0.5, 0.7]$ and $\eta(x) = -0.47$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Then $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ are outer crossing cubic structures on $[0, 1]$. The S-intersection of $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ is

$$([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \cap_S ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f \cap g, \xi \wedge \eta)}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\eta)})$$

and it is not an outer crossing cubic structure on $[0, 1]$ since $-\eta(x) = 0.47 \in [0.4, 0.6] = [f^-(x), f^+(x)]$.

The O-union of two outer crossing cubic structures is not an outer crossing cubic structure as seen in the following example.

Example 3.25. Let $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ be crossing cubic structures on $[0, 1]$ in which $f(x) = [0.4, 0.7]$, $\xi(x) = -0.8$, $g(x) = [0.6, 0.9]$ and $\eta(x) = -0.5$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Then $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ are outer crossing cubic structures on $[0, 1]$. The O-union of $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ is

$$([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \cup_O ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f \cup g, \xi \wedge \eta)}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\xi)}),$$

and it is not an outer crossing cubic structure on $[0, 1]$.

The O-intersection of two outer crossing cubic structures is not an outer crossing cubic structure as seen in the following example.

Example 3.26. Let $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ be crossing cubic structures on $[0, 1]$ in which $f(x) = [0.47, 0.75]$, $\xi(x) = -0.83$, $g(x) = [0.68, 0.87]$ and $\eta(x) = -0.45$

for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Then $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ are outer crossing cubic structures on $[0, 1]$. The O-intersection of $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ and $([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)})$ is

$$([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}) \mathring{\cap}_O ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(g,\eta)}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f \cap g, \xi \vee \eta)}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{C}_{(f,\eta)}),$$

and it is not an outer crossing cubic structure on $[0, 1]$.

4. APPLICATION TO BCK/BCI-ALGEBRAS

In this section, let X denote a BCK/BCI-algebra unless otherwise specified.

Definition 4.1. A crossing cubic structure $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ on X is called a *crossing cubic subalgebra* of X , if it satisfies:

$$(4.1) \quad (\forall x, y \in X) \left(\begin{array}{l} f(x \rightsquigarrow y) \succcurlyeq \text{rmin}\{f(x), f(y)\} \\ \xi(x \rightsquigarrow y) \leq \max\{\xi(x), \xi(y)\} \end{array} \right).$$

Example 4.2. Consider a BCK-algebra $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ with the binary operation \rightsquigarrow given by Table 1.

TABLE 1. Cayley table for the binary operation “ \rightsquigarrow ”

\rightsquigarrow	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1
2	2	1	0	2
3	3	3	3	0

Let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ be a crossing cubic structure on X which is given by Table 2. It is

TABLE 2. Tabular representation for $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$

X	$f(x)$	$\xi(x)$
0	[0.33, 0.83]	-0.8
1	[0.15, 0.56]	-0.5
2	[0.33, 0.83]	-0.7
3	[0.15, 0.56]	-0.3

routine to verify that $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ is a crossing cubic subalgebra of X .

Proposition 4.3. *If $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ is a crossing cubic subalgebra of X , then $f(0) \succcurlyeq f(x)$ and $\xi(0) \leq \xi(x)$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. Let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ be a crossing cubic subalgebra of X . Using (2.3) and (4.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= f(x \rightsquigarrow x) \succcurlyeq \text{rmin}\{f(x), f(y)\} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{[f^-(x), f^-(x)], [f^+(x), f^+(x)]\} \\ &= [f^-(x), f^-(x)] = f(x) \end{aligned}$$

and $\xi(0) = \xi(x \rightsquigarrow x) \leq \max\{\xi(x), \xi(x)\} = \xi(x)$ for all $x \in X$. □

Theorem 4.4. Let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ be a crossing cubic structure on X . Then it is a crossing cubic subalgebra of X if and only if f^- and f^+ are fuzzy subalgebras of X , and ξ is an \mathcal{N} -subalgebra of X .

Proof. It is easy to verify that if f^- and f^+ are fuzzy subalgebras of X , and ξ is an \mathcal{N} -subalgebra of X , then $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ is a crossing cubic subalgebra of X .

Conversely, assume that $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ is a crossing cubic subalgebra of X . It is clear that ξ is an \mathcal{N} -subalgebra of X . For any $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [f^-(x \rightsquigarrow y), f^+(x \rightsquigarrow y)] &= f(x \rightsquigarrow y) \succcurlyeq \text{rmin}\{f(x), f(y)\} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{[f^-(x), f^+(x)], [f^-(y), f^+(y)]\} \\ &= [\min\{f^-(x), f^-(y)\}, \min\{f^+(x), f^+(y)\}]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $f^-(x \rightsquigarrow y) \geq \min\{f^-(x), f^-(y)\}$ and $f^+(x \rightsquigarrow y) \geq \min\{f^+(x), f^+(y)\}$. Therefore f^- and f^+ are fuzzy subalgebras of X . \square

Let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ be a crossing cubic structure on X . We define a level set of $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$, written as $\ell(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$, as follows:

$$(4.2) \quad \ell(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}, [\alpha, \beta], t) = \ell(X, f, [\alpha, \beta]) \cap \ell(X, \xi, t)$$

where $\ell(X, f, [\alpha, \beta]) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \succcurlyeq [\alpha, \beta]\}$ and $\ell(X, \xi, t) = \{x \in X \mid \xi(x) \leq t\}$ for $[\alpha, \beta] \in [[0, 1]]$ and $t \in [-1, 0]$. We say that $\ell(X, f, [\alpha, \beta])$ and $\ell(X, \xi, t)$ are f -level set and ξ -level set of $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ with level indices $[\alpha, \beta]$ and t , respectively.

Theorem 4.5. If $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ is a crossing cubic subalgebra of X , then its nonempty f -level set and ξ -level set are subalgebras of X for all level indices.

Proof. Let $[\alpha, \beta] \in [[0, 1]]$ and $t \in [-1, 0]$ be level indices of $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ such that $\ell(X, f, [\alpha, \beta])$ and $\ell(X, \xi, t)$ are nonempty. Let $x, y \in \ell(X, f, [\alpha, \beta])$ and $a, b \in \ell(X, \xi, t)$. Then $f(x) \succcurlyeq [\alpha, \beta]$, $f(y) \succcurlyeq [\alpha, \beta]$, $\xi(a) \leq t$ and $\xi(b) \leq t$. It follows from (4.1) that $f(x \rightsquigarrow y) \succcurlyeq \text{rmin}\{f(x), f(y)\} \succcurlyeq \text{rmin}\{[\alpha, \beta], [\alpha, \beta]\} = [\alpha, \beta]$ and $\xi(a \rightsquigarrow b) \leq \max\{\xi(a), \xi(b)\} \leq \max\{t, t\} = t$. Thus $x \rightsquigarrow y \in \ell(X, f, [\alpha, \beta])$ and $a \rightsquigarrow b \in \ell(X, \xi, t)$. So $\ell(X, f, [\alpha, \beta])$ and $\ell(X, \xi, t)$ are subalgebras of X . \square

Corollary 4.6. If $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ is a crossing cubic subalgebra of X , then its nonempty level set $\ell(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)}, [\alpha, \beta], t)$ is a subalgebra of X for all $[\alpha, \beta] \in [[0, 1]]$ and $t \in [-1, 0]$.

Theorem 4.7. Let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ be a crossing cubic structure on X in which its nonempty f -level set and ξ -level set are subalgebras of X for all level indices. Then $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ is a crossing cubic subalgebra of X .

Proof. Assume that $\ell(X, f, [\alpha, \beta])$ and $\ell(X, \xi, t)$ are nonempty subalgebras of X for all level indices $[\alpha, \beta] \in [[0, 1]]$ and $t \in [-1, 0]$. Suppose that there exist $x, y, a, b \in X$ such that $f(x \rightsquigarrow y) \prec \text{rmin}\{f(x), f(y)\}$ and $\xi(a \rightsquigarrow b) > \max\{\xi(a), \xi(b)\}$. Taking $[\alpha_x, \beta_y] := \text{rmin}\{f(x), f(y)\}$ and $t_{a \rightsquigarrow b} := \max\{\xi(a), \xi(b)\}$ induces $x, y \in \ell(X, f, [\alpha_x, \beta_y])$ and $a, b \in \ell(X, \xi, t_{a \rightsquigarrow b})$. But $x \rightsquigarrow y \notin \ell(X, f, [\alpha_x, \beta_y])$ and $a \rightsquigarrow b \notin \ell(X, \xi, t_{a \rightsquigarrow b})$. This is a contradiction, and then $f(x \rightsquigarrow y) \succcurlyeq \text{rmin}\{f(x), f(y)\}$ and $\xi(x \rightsquigarrow y) \leq \max\{\xi(x), \xi(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in X$. Thus $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ is a crossing cubic subalgebra of X . \square

Theorem 4.8. *Given a subset L of X , we define a crossing cubic structure $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ as follows:*

$$f : X \rightarrow [[0, 1]], x \mapsto \begin{cases} [\alpha, \beta] & \text{if } x \in L, \\ [0, 0] & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\xi : X \rightarrow [-1, 0], x \mapsto \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in L, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1]$ with $\alpha < \beta$ and $t \in [-1, 0)$. Then L is a subalgebra of X if and only if $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ is a crossing cubic subalgebra of X .

Proof. We know that $\ell(X, f, [\alpha, \beta]) = L$, $\ell(X, f, [0, 0]) = X$, $\ell(X, \xi, t) = L$ and $\ell(X, \xi, 0) = X$. Using Theorems 4.5 and 4.7, we have the desired result. \square

Theorem 4.9. *If $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ is a crossing cubic subalgebra of X , then the set*

$$X_{(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})} := \{x \in X \mid f(x) = f(0), \xi(x) = \xi(0)\}$$

is a subalgebra of X .

Proof. Let $x, y \in X_{(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})}$. Then $f(x) = f(0) = f(y)$ and $\xi(x) = \xi(0) = \xi(y)$. Thus

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x \rightsquigarrow y) &\succcurlyeq \text{rmin}\{f(x), f(y)\} = \text{rmin}\{f(0), f(0)\} = f(0), \\ \xi(x \rightsquigarrow y) &\leq \text{max}\{\xi(x), \xi(y)\} = \text{max}\{\xi(0), \xi(0)\} = \xi(0). \end{aligned}$$

We get $f(x \rightsquigarrow y) = f(0)$ and $\xi(x \rightsquigarrow y) = \xi(0)$ by combining Proposition 4.3 and (4.3). Thus $x \rightsquigarrow y \in X_{(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})}$. So $X_{(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})}$ is a subalgebra of X . \square

The following theorem describes how to create a new crossing cubic subalgebra from a given crossing cubic subalgebra in BCI-algebras.

Theorem 4.10. *Let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f,\xi)})$ be a crossing cubic subalgebra on a BCI-algebra X and let $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f\rightsquigarrow, \xi\rightsquigarrow)})$ be a crossing cubic structure on X in which*

$$(4.4) \quad f\rightsquigarrow : X \rightarrow [[0, 1]], x \mapsto f(0 \rightsquigarrow x) \text{ and } \xi\rightsquigarrow : X \rightarrow [-1, 0], x \mapsto \xi(0 \rightsquigarrow x).$$

Then $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f\rightsquigarrow, \xi\rightsquigarrow)})$ is a crossing cubic subalgebra of X .

Proof. Note that every BCI-algebra X satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(0 \rightsquigarrow (x \rightsquigarrow y) = (0 \rightsquigarrow x) \rightsquigarrow (0 \rightsquigarrow y)).$$

It follows from (4.1) and (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} f\rightsquigarrow(x \rightsquigarrow y) &= f(0 \rightsquigarrow (x \rightsquigarrow y)) = f((0 \rightsquigarrow x) \rightsquigarrow (0 \rightsquigarrow y)) \\ &\succcurlyeq \text{rmin}\{f(0 \rightsquigarrow x), f(0 \rightsquigarrow y)\} = \text{rmin}\{f\rightsquigarrow(x), f\rightsquigarrow(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \xi\rightsquigarrow(x \rightsquigarrow y) &= \xi(0 \rightsquigarrow (x \rightsquigarrow y)) = \xi(0 \rightsquigarrow x) \rightsquigarrow (0 \rightsquigarrow y) \\ &\leq \text{max}\{\xi(0 \rightsquigarrow x), \xi(0 \rightsquigarrow y)\} = \text{max}\{\xi\rightsquigarrow(x), \xi\rightsquigarrow(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(X, \mathcal{C}_{(f\rightsquigarrow, \xi\rightsquigarrow)})$ is a crossing cubic subalgebra of X . \square

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. A. Zadeh, The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning-I, Inform. Sci. 8 (1975) 199–249.
- [2] Y. B. Jun, K. J. Lee and S. Z. Song, \mathcal{N} -ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. 22 (2009), 417–437.
- [3] Y. B. Jun, C. S. Kim and K. O. Yang, Cubic sets, Annal. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 4 (1) (2012) 83–98.
- [4] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338–353.
- [5] K. M. Lee, Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets and their operations, Proc. Int. Conf. on Intelligent Technologies, Bangkok, Thailand (2000) 307–312.

YOUNG BAE JUN (skywine@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea

KUL HUR (kulhur@wku.ac.kr)

Division of Applied Mathematics, Wonkwang University, 460, Iksan-daero, Iksan-Si, Jeonbuk 54538, Korea

JEONG GON LEE (juko1ee@wku.ac.kr)

Division of Applied Mathematics,
Wonkwang University, 460, Iksan-daero, Iksan-Si, Jeonbuk 54538, Korea

JUN KIM (junhikim@wku.ac.kr)

Department of Mathematics Education,
Wonkwang University, 460, Iksan-daero, Iksan-Si, Jeonbuk 54538, Korea