

Alexandrov L -preuniform filter spaces

JU-MOK OH, YONG CHAN KIM

Received 12 September 2021; Revised 17 September 2021; Accepted 29 October 2021

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the notion of Alexandrov L - (neighborhood) filters and Alexandrov L -preuniform filters as a topological viewpoint of fuzzy rough sets. We investigate the relations among Alexandrov L -neighborhood filters, L -fuzzy preorders and Alexandrov L -preuniform filter structures. Moreover, we investigate their topological properties and give their examples. As an application for a fuzzy information system, Alexandrov L -neighborhood filters, L -fuzzy preorders and Alexandrov L -preuniform filters are studied.

2020 AMS Classification: 03E72, 54A40, 54B10

Keywords: Fuzzy join(meet)-complete lattices, L -fuzzy preordered sets, Alexandrov L - (neighborhood) filters, Alexandrov L -preuniform filter structures, Alexandrov L -topologies.

Corresponding Author: Yong Chan Kim (yck@gwnu.ac.kr)

1. INTRODUCTION

Eklund and Gähler [1] introduced the notion of fuzzy filters as a point-based approach to fuzzy topology on completely distributive complete lattice. Gähler [2, 3] investigated the categorical relations among L -neighborhood filters, L -fuzzy topologies and L -fuzzy topological structures. Höhle [4, 5] introduced L -filters and L -topological structures on algebraic structures (cqm lattices, quantales, MV-algebras) for many valued logics [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. Kim [10] studied L -filter bases on commutative quantales.

Jäger [11] developed stratified L -convergence structures based on the concepts of L -filters where L is a complete Heyting algebra. Yao [12] extended stratified L -convergence structures to complete residuated lattices and investigated between stratified L -convergence structures and L -fuzzy topological spaces.

Zhang [13, 14, 15] defined a strong L -topology on the concepts of fuzzy complete lattices. As an extension of Yao [12], Fang [16, 17] introduced L -ordered

convergence structures on L -ordered filters and investigated between L -ordered convergence structures and strong L -topological spaces. Many researchers developed topological structures using L -filters [18, 19, 20, 21, 22].

Pawlak [23, 24] introduced the rough set theory as a formal tool to deal with imprecision and uncertainty in the data analysis. For an extension of classical rough sets, many researchers [25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30] developed L -lower and L -upper approximation operators in complete residuated lattices. By using this concepts, information systems and decision rules were investigated in complete residuated lattices [6, 31].

An interesting and natural research topic in rough set theory is the study of rough set theory and topological structures. Lai [32] and Ma [33] investigated the Alexandrov L -topology and lattice structures of L -fuzzy rough sets determined by lower and upper sets.

Kim [2, 12, 13, 14, 15] introduced the notion of Alexandrov L -(neighborhood) filters as a topological viewpoint of fuzzy rough sets and studied the relations among fuzzy preorders, Alexandrov L -(neighborhood) filters, Alexandrov topologies and Alexandrov L -convergence structures in complete residuated lattices.

The aim of this paper is to study Alexandrov L -neighborhood filters, L -fuzzy preorders and Alexandrov L -preuniform filters in fuzzy information systems.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of Alexandrov L -(neighborhood) filters and Alexandrov L -preuniform filters as a topological viewpoint of fuzzy rough sets in a complete residuated lattice. We investigate the relations among Alexandrov L -neighborhood filters, reflexive L -fuzzy relations, Alexandrov L -topologies and Alexandrov L -preuniform filters. Moreover, we investigate their topological properties and give their examples. As an application for a fuzzy information system, Alexandrov L -neighborhood filters, L -fuzzy preorders and Alexandrov L -preuniform filters are studied in Example 3.7.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 ([4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]). An algebra $(L, \leq, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, \perp, \top)$ is called a *complete residuated lattice*, if it satisfies the following conditions:

(L1) $(L, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \perp, \top)$ is a complete lattice with the greatest element \top and the least element \perp ,

(L2) (L, \odot, \top) is a commutative monoid,

(L3) $x \odot y \leq z$ iff $x \leq y \rightarrow z$ for $x, y, z \in L$.

In this paper, we always assume that $(L, \leq, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, *, \perp, \top)$ is complete residuated lattice with a negation $x^* = x \rightarrow \perp$ and $(x^*)^* = x$.

For $\alpha \in L, A \in L^X$, we denote $(\alpha \rightarrow A), (\alpha \odot A), \alpha_X \in L^X$ as $(\alpha \rightarrow A)(x) = \alpha \rightarrow A(x), (\alpha \odot A)(x) = \alpha \odot A(x), \alpha_X(x) = \alpha$.

Lemma 2.2 ([4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]). *For each $x, y, z, x_i, y_i, w \in L$, we have the following properties.*

- (1) $\top \rightarrow x = x, \perp \odot x = \perp$.
- (2) If $y \leq z$, then $x \odot y \leq x \odot z, x \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow z$ and $z \rightarrow x \leq y \rightarrow x$.
- (3) $x \leq y$ iff $x \rightarrow y = \top$.
- (4) $x \rightarrow (\bigwedge_i y_i) = \bigwedge_i (x \rightarrow y_i)$.

- (5) $(\bigvee_i x_i) \rightarrow y = \bigwedge_i (x_i \rightarrow y)$.
- (6) $x \odot (\bigvee_i y_i) = \bigvee_i (x \odot y_i)$.
- (7) $(x \odot y) \rightarrow z = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$.
- (8) $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (z \rightarrow w) \leq (x \odot z) \rightarrow (y \odot w)$ and $x \rightarrow y \leq (x \odot z) \rightarrow (y \odot z)$.
- (9) $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (y \rightarrow z) \leq x \rightarrow z$.
- (10) $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i \rightarrow \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y_i)$ and $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y_i)$.
- (11) $x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$ and $x \rightarrow y \leq (z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y)$.
- (12) $(x \odot y^*)^* = x \rightarrow y$ and $x \rightarrow y = y^* \rightarrow x^*$.

Definition 2.3 ([6, 32]). Let X be a set. A function $e_X : X \times X \rightarrow L$ is said to be:

- (E1) *reflexive*, if $e_X(x, x) = \top$ for all $x \in X$,
- (E2) *transitive*, if $e_X(x, y) \odot e_X(y, z) \leq e_X(x, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$,
- (E3) if $e_X(x, y) = e_X(y, x) = \top$, then $x = y$.

If e satisfies (E1) and (E2), (X, e_X) is an L -fuzzy preordered set. If e_X satisfies (E1), (E2) and (E3), (X, e_X) is an L -fuzzy partially ordered set.

Example 2.4. (1) We define a function $e_L : L \times L \rightarrow L$ as $e_L(x, y) = x \rightarrow y$. Then (L, e_L) is an L -fuzzy partially ordered set.

(2) We define a function $e_{L^X} : L^X \times L^X \rightarrow L$ as

$$e_{L^X}(A, B) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow B(x)).$$

Then (L^X, e_{L^X}) is an L -fuzzy partially ordered set from Lemma 2.2 (9).

Definition 2.5 ([13, 14, 15]). Let (X, e_X) be an L -fuzzy partially ordered set and $A \in L^X$.

- (i) A point x_0 is called a *join* of A , denoted by $x_0 = \sqcup A$, if it satisfies
 - (J1) $A(x) \leq e_X(x, x_0)$,
 - (J2) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(x, y)) \leq e_X(x_0, y)$.
- (ii) A point x_1 is called a *meet* of A , denoted by $x_1 = \sqcap A$, if it satisfies
 - (M1) $A(x) \leq e_X(x_1, x)$,
 - (M2) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(y, x)) \leq e_X(y, x_1)$.

Remark 2.6. Let (X, e_X) be an L -fuzzy partially ordered set and $\Phi \in L^X$.

(1) If x_0 is a join of Φ , then it is unique because $e_X(x_0, y) = e_X(y_0, y)$ for all $y \in X$, put $y = x_0$ or $y = y_0$, then $e_X(x_0, y) = e_X(y_0, y) = \top$ implies $x_0 = y_0$. Similarly, if a meet of Φ exist, then it is unique.

(2) A point x_0 is a join of Φ iff $\bigwedge_{x \in X} (\Phi(x) \rightarrow e_X(x, y)) = e_X(x_0, y)$.

(3) A point x_1 is a meet of Φ iff $\bigwedge_{x \in X} (\Phi(x) \rightarrow e_X(y, x)) = e_X(y, x_1)$.

Remark 2.7. Let (L, e_L) be an L -fuzzy partially ordered set and $A \in L^L$.

- (1) Since x_0 is a join of A iff

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge_{x \in L} (A(x) \rightarrow e_L(x, y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in L} (A(x) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{x \in L} (x \odot A(x)) \rightarrow y \\ &= e_L(x_0, y) \\ &= x_0 \rightarrow y, \end{aligned}$$

we have $x_0 = \sqcup A = \bigvee_{x \in L} (x \odot A(x))$.

- (2) Since x_0 is a join of A iff $\bigwedge_{x \in L} (A(x) \rightarrow e_L(x, y))$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \bigwedge_{x \in L} (A(x) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x)) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{x \in L} (y \rightarrow (A(x) \rightarrow x)) \\
 &= y \rightarrow \bigwedge_{x \in L} (A(x) \rightarrow x) \\
 &= y \rightarrow \sqcap A,
 \end{aligned}$$

we get $\sqcap A = \bigwedge_{x \in L} (A(x) \rightarrow x)$.

Remark 2.8. Let (L^X, e_{L^X}) be an L -fuzzy partially ordered set and $\Phi \in L^{L^X}$.

(1) $\sqcup \Phi = \bigvee_{A \in L^X} (\Phi(A) \odot A)$, from the following

$$e_{L^X}(\sqcup \Phi, B) = \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow e_{L^X}(A, B)) = e_{L^X}(\bigvee_{A \in L^X} (\Phi(A) \odot A), B).$$

(2) $\sqcap \Phi = \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow A)$, from the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_{L^X}(B, \sqcap \Phi) &= \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow e_{L^X}(B, A)) \\
 &= \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} e_{L^X}(B, (\Phi(A) \rightarrow A)) \\
 &= e_{L^X}(B, \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow A)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.9 ([13, 14, 15]). Let (X, e_X) be an L -fuzzy partially ordered set. The pair (X, e_X) is called a *fuzzy join (resp. meet) complete lattice*, if $\sqcup \Phi$ (resp. $\sqcap \Phi$) exists for each $\Phi \in L^X$.

The pair (X, e_X) is called a *fuzzy complete lattice*, if $\sqcup \Phi$ and $\sqcap \Phi$ exist for each $\Phi \in L^X$.

Definition 2.10 ([13, 14, 15]). Let (X, e_X) and (Y, e_Y) be fuzzy complete lattices and $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ a map.

(i) ψ is a *join preserving map*, if $\psi(\sqcup \Phi) = \sqcup \psi \rightarrow (\Phi)$ for all $\Phi \in L^X$, where $\psi \rightarrow (\Phi)(y) = \bigvee_{\psi(x)=y} \Phi(x)$.

(ii) ψ is a *meet preserving map*, if $\psi(\sqcap \Phi) = \sqcap \psi \rightarrow (\Phi)$ for all $\Phi \in L^X$.

(iii) ψ is an *order preserving map*, if $e_X(x, y) \leq e_Y(\psi(x), \psi(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.11 ([18, 22]). Let (L^X, e_{L^X}) and (L, e_L) be L -fuzzy partially ordered sets. A map $\mathcal{F} : (L^X, e_{L^X}) \rightarrow (L, e_L)$ is called an *Alexandrov L -filter* on X , if $\mathcal{F}(\sqcap \Phi) = \sqcap \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\Phi)$ for all $\Phi \in L^{L^X}$. Let $AF(X)$ denote the set of all Alexandrov L -filters on X .

Theorem 2.12 ([18, 22]). A map $\mathcal{F} : L^X \rightarrow L$ is an Alexandrov L -filter on X iff it satisfies the following conditions:

(F1) $\mathcal{F}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}(A_i)$ for all $A_i \in L^X$,

(F2) $\mathcal{F}(\alpha \rightarrow A) = \alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A)$ for all $A \in L^X$ and $\alpha \in L$.

Definition 2.13 ([18, 22]). A family $\mathcal{N}_X = \{\mathcal{N}^x \mid x \in X\}$ is called an *Alexandrov L -neighborhood system* on X , if for $x \in X$, a map $\mathcal{N}^x : L^X \rightarrow L$ satisfies:

(N1) \mathcal{N}^x is an Alexandrov L -filter on X ,

(N2) $\mathcal{N}^x(A) \leq A(x)$ for all $A \in L^X$.

The pair (X, \mathcal{N}_X) is called an *Alexandrov L -neighborhood space*.

An Alexandrov L -neighborhood system on X is *topological*, if (TN) $\mathcal{N}^x(A) \leq \mathcal{N}^x(\mathcal{N}^-(A))$ for all $\mathcal{N}^-(A) \in L^X$ such that $\mathcal{N}^-(A)(y) = \mathcal{N}^y(A)$ for all $y \in X$.

Definition 2.14 ([18, 22, 26, 27]). A subset $\tau \subset L^X$ is called an *Alexandrov L -topology* on X , if it satisfies the following conditions:

(AT1) $\alpha_X \in \tau$,

- (AT2) if $A_i \in \tau$ for all $i \in \Gamma$, then $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i, \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i \in \tau$,
- (AT3) if $A \in \tau$ and $\alpha \in L$, then $\alpha \odot A, \alpha \rightarrow A \in \tau$.

The pair (X, τ) is called an *Alexandrov L-topological space*.

3. ALEXANDROV PREUNIFORM L-FILTER SPACES

Definition 3.1. (i) An Alexandrov L -filter $\mathcal{W} : L^{X \times X} \rightarrow L$ is called an *Alexandrov L-preuniform filter* on $X \times X$, if $\mathcal{W} \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} [(x, x)]$, where $[(x, x)](u) = u(x, x)$ for each $u \in L^{X \times X}$.

(ii) An Alexandrov L -preuniform filter \mathcal{W} is called an *Alexandrov L-quasiuniform filter* on $X \times X$, if $\bigvee_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}) \odot \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(y,z)})) \leq \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,z)})$, where $\top_{(x,y)}^*(z, w) = \perp$ if $(z, w) = (x, y)$ and \top , otherwise.

The pair (X, \mathcal{W}) is called an *Alexandrov L-preuniform (resp. L-quasiuniform) filter space*.

Theorem 3.2. (1) A map $\mathcal{W} : L^{X \times X} \rightarrow L$ is an Alexandrov L -preuniform (resp. L -quasiuniform) filter on $X \times X$ iff there exists a reflexive L -fuzzy relation (resp. L -fuzzy preorder) $e_{\mathcal{W}} \in L^{X \times X}$ with $e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, y) = \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)})$ such that $\mathcal{W}(u) = \bigwedge_{x, z \in X} (e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, z) \rightarrow u(x, z))$ for all $u \in L^{X \times X}$.

(2) For each $x \in X$, a map $\mathcal{N}^x : L^X \rightarrow L$ is an Alexandrov (resp. topological) L -neighborhood filter on X iff there exists a reflexive L -fuzzy relation (resp. L -fuzzy preorder) $e_{\mathcal{N}} \in L^{X \times X}$ such that $\mathcal{N}^x(A) = \bigwedge_{z \in X} (e_{\mathcal{N}}(x, z) \rightarrow A(z))$ for all $A \in L^X$.

(3) Let $\mathcal{N}^x : L^X \rightarrow L$ be an Alexandrov L -neighborhood filter on X for each $x \in X$. Define $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}} : L^{X \times X} \rightarrow L$ as

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}(u) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} \mathcal{N}^x(u(x, -)).$$

Then $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is an Alexandrov L -preuniform filter on $X \times X$ such that

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}(u) = \bigwedge_{x, y \in X} ((\mathcal{N}^x(\top_y^*))^* \rightarrow u(x, y)).$$

If $\{\mathcal{N}^x \mid x \in X\}$ is topological, then $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is an Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filter on $X \times X$.

(4) Let $\mathcal{W} : L^{X \times X} \rightarrow L$ be an Alexandrov L -preuniform (resp. L -quasiuniform) filter on $X \times X$. Define $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^x : L^X \rightarrow L$ as

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^x(A) = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*) \rightarrow A(y)).$$

Then $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^x$ is an Alexandrov (resp. topological) L -neighborhood filter on X such that

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}} = \mathcal{W}.$$

(5) If $\mathcal{N}^x : L^X \rightarrow L$ be an Alexandrov L -neighborhood filter on X for each $x \in X$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}}^x = \mathcal{N}^x$.

Proof. (1) (\Rightarrow) Let \mathcal{W} be an Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filter on $X \times X$. For all $u \in L^{X \times X}$, since $u = \bigwedge_{x, z \in X} (u^*(x, z) \rightarrow \top_{(x,z)}^*)$, by Theorem 2.12 (F1) and (F2), we have

$$\mathcal{W}(u) = \mathcal{W}(\bigwedge_{x, z \in X} (u^*(x, z) \rightarrow \top_{(x,z)}^*))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \bigwedge_{x,z \in X} (u^*(x, z) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\top_{(x,z)}^*)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x,z \in X} ((\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,z)}^*)) \rightarrow u(x, z)). \end{aligned}$$

Put $e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, y) = \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*)$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, x) &= \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,x)}^*) \\ &\geq \bigvee_{x \in X} [(x, x)]^*(\top_{(x,x)}^*) \\ &= \bigvee_{x \in X} [(x, x)]^*(\top_{(x,x)}^*) \\ &= \top_{(x,x)}(x, x) \\ &= \top, \\ \bigvee_{y \in X} (e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, y) \odot e_{\mathcal{W}}(y, z)) &= \bigvee_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*) \odot \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(y,z)}^*)) \\ &\leq \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,z)}^*) \\ &= e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $e_{\mathcal{W}}$ is an L -fuzzy preorder. Moreover, $\mathcal{W}(u) = \bigwedge_{x,z \in X} (e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, z) \rightarrow u(x, z))$.

(\Leftarrow) From Theorem 2.12, the result holds $e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, y) = \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*)$ and from:

(F1) For all $u_i \in L^{X \times X}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} u_i) &= \bigwedge_{x,z \in X} (e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, z) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} u_i(x, z)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \bigwedge_{x,z \in X} (e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, z) \rightarrow u_i(x, z)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{W}(u_i). \end{aligned}$$

(F2) For all $u \in L^{X \times X}$ and $\alpha \in L$, by Lemma 2.2 (7),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(\alpha \rightarrow u) &= \bigwedge_{x,z \in X} (e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, z) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow u(x, z))) \\ &= \alpha \rightarrow \bigwedge_{x,z \in X} (e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, z) \rightarrow u(x, z)) \\ &= \alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(u). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let \mathcal{N}^x be an Alexandrov topological L -neighborhood filter. Then we have: for $A = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (A^*(y) \rightarrow \top_y^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^x(A) &= \mathcal{N}^x(\bigwedge_{y \in X} (A^*(y) \rightarrow \top_y^*)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (A^*(y) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^x(\top_y^*)) \quad (\mathcal{N}^x(\top_y^*)) \\ &= e_{\mathcal{N}}^*(x, y) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (e_{\mathcal{N}}(x, y) \rightarrow A(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $e_{\mathcal{N}}(x, x) \geq (\mathcal{N}^x(\top_x^*))^* \geq \top_x(x) = \top$, i.e., $e_{\mathcal{N}}$ is reflexive. Since $\mathcal{N}^x(\mathcal{N}^-(\top_z^*)) = \mathcal{N}^x(\top_z^*)$ and $\mathcal{N}^-(\top_z^*) = \bigwedge_{y \in X} ((\mathcal{N}^y(\top_z^*))^* \rightarrow \top_y^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^x(\mathcal{N}^-(\top_z^*)) &= \mathcal{N}^x(\bigwedge_{y \in X} ((\mathcal{N}^y(\top_z^*))^* \rightarrow \top_y^*)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} ((\mathcal{N}^y(\top_z^*))^* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^x(\top_y^*)) \\ &= \mathcal{N}^x(\top_z^*) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{iff } \bigvee_{y \in X} ((\mathcal{N}^y(\top_z^*))^* \odot (\mathcal{N}^x(\top_y^*))^*) = (\mathcal{N}^x(\top_z^*))^*.$$

So $e_{\mathcal{N}}$ is an L -fuzzy preorder, from the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{y \in X} (e_{\mathcal{N}}(y, z) \odot e_{\mathcal{N}}(x, y)) &= \bigvee_{y \in X} ((\mathcal{N}^y(\top_z^*))^* \odot (\mathcal{N}^x(\top_y^*))^*) \\ &= (\mathcal{N}^x(\top_z^*))^* = e_{\mathcal{N}}(x, z). \end{aligned}$$

(\Leftarrow) It is similarly proved as in (1).

(3) It is obvious that $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}$ satisfies (F1) and (F2). Moreover, for each $u \in L^{X \times X}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}(u) &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \mathcal{N}^x(u(x, -)) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} u(x, -)(x) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} u(x, x) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} [(x, x)](u). \end{aligned}$$

For all $u(x, -) \in L^{X \times X}$, since $u(x, -) = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (u^*(x, y) \rightarrow \top_y^*)$, by Theorem 2.12 (F1) and (F2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}(u) &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \mathcal{N}^x(u(x, -)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \mathcal{N}^x(\bigwedge_{y \in X} (u^*(x, y) \rightarrow \top_y^*)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x, y \in X} (u^*(x, y) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^x(\top_y^*)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x, y \in X} ((\mathcal{N}^x(\top_y^*))^* \rightarrow u(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

If $\{\mathcal{N}^x \mid x \in X\}$ is topological, since $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}(\top_{(x,y)}^*) = \mathcal{N}^x(\top_y^*)$, by (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{y \in X} ((\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}(\top_{(x,y)}^*))^* \odot (\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}(\top_{(y,z)}^*))^*) &= \bigvee_{y \in X} ((\mathcal{N}^x(\top_y^*))^* \odot (\mathcal{N}^y(\top_z^*))^*) \\ &= (\mathcal{N}^x(\top_z^*))^* \\ &= (\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}(\top_{(x,z)}^*))^*. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is an Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filter on $X \times X$.

(4) Let \mathcal{W} be an Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filter on $X \times X$. Then $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^x$ satisfies (F1) and (F2). Moreover, for each $A \in L^X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^x(A) &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*) \rightarrow A(y)) \\ &\leq \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,x)}^*) \rightarrow A(x) \\ &= A(x), \\ \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^x(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^-(A)) &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^y(A)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(y,z)}^*) \rightarrow A(z))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\bigvee_{y \in X} ((\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*) \odot \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(y,z)}^*)) \rightarrow A(z)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,z)}^*) \rightarrow A(z)) \\ &= \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^x(A). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^x(\top_y^*) = \mathcal{W}(\top_{(x,y)}^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}}^x(u) &= \bigwedge_{x, y \in X} ((\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^x(\top_y^*))^* \rightarrow u(x, y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x, y \in X} ((\mathcal{W}(\top_{(x,y)}^*))^* \rightarrow u(x, y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x, y \in X} (u^*(x, y) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\top_{(x,y)}^*)) \\ &= \mathcal{W}(\bigwedge_{x, y \in X} (u^*(x, y) \rightarrow \top_{(x,y)}^*)) \\ &= \mathcal{W}(u). \end{aligned}$$

(5) For $A \in L^X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}}^x(A) &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*) \rightarrow A(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} ((\mathcal{N}^x(\top_y^*))^* \rightarrow A(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (A^* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^x(\top_y^*)) \\ &= \mathcal{N}^x(A). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Remark 3.3. For $\Delta = \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\} \subset D$, we define $\mathcal{W} = \bigwedge_{(x,y) \in D} [(x, y)]$ is an Alexandrov L -preuniform filter on $X \times X$. If $D \circ D = D$, then $\bigwedge_{(x,y) \in D} [(x, y)]$ is an Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filter on $X \times X$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\mathcal{W}}(y, z) &= \left(\bigwedge_{(x,y) \in D} [(x, y)] \right)^*(\top_{(y,z)}^*) = \bigvee_{(x,y) \in D} \top_{(y,z)}(x, y), \\ e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, y) &= \begin{cases} \top, & \text{if } (x, y) \in D, \\ \perp, & \text{if } (x, y) \notin D. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.2 (4), we obtain an Alexandrov L -neighborhood filter $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^x$ on X such that $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^x(A) = \bigwedge_{(x,y) \in D} (e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, y) \rightarrow A(y))$.

(1) If $D = \Delta$, then we have

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^x(A) = \bigwedge_{(x,y) \in \Delta} (e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, y) \rightarrow A(y)) = A(x) = [x](A).$$

Since $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^x(\top_y^*) = \top_y^*(x)$,

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}}(u) = \bigwedge_{x,y \in X} ((\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^x(\top_y^*))^* \rightarrow u(x, y)) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} u(x, x) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} [(x, x)](u).$$

(2) If $D = X \times X$, then we get

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^x(A) = \bigwedge_{(x,y) \in X \times X} (e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, y) \rightarrow A(y)) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} A(x) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} [x](A).$$

Since $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^x(\top_y^*) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} \top_y^*(x) = \perp$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}}(u) &= \bigwedge_{x,y \in X} ((\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}}^x(\top_y^*))^* \rightarrow u(x, y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{(x,y) \in X \times X} u(x, y) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x,y \in X} [(x, y)](u). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.4. (1) Let $\mathcal{W} : L^{X \times X} \rightarrow L$ be an Alexandrov L -preuniform filter on $X \times X$. Define $\tau_{\mathcal{W}} = \{A \in L^X \mid \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(-,y)}^*)) \rightarrow A(y) = A\}$. Then $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ is an Alexandrov L -topology on X . If \mathcal{W} is an Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filter on $X \times X$, $\tau_{\mathcal{W}} = \{\bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(-,y)}^*)) \rightarrow A(y) \mid A \in L^X\}$.

(2) Let τ be an Alexandrov L -topology on X . Define $\mathcal{W}_{\tau} : L^{X \times X} \rightarrow L$ as

$$\mathcal{W}_{\tau}(u) = \bigwedge_{x,y \in X} \left(\bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)) \rightarrow u(x, y) \right).$$

Then \mathcal{W}_{τ} is an Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filter on $X \times X$ with $\tau_{\mathcal{W}_{\tau}} = \tau$.

(3) $\bigwedge_{A \in \tau_{\mathcal{W}}} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)) \geq \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(y,z)}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,z)}^*))$. Moreover, if \mathcal{W} is an Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filter on $X \times X$, then

$$\bigwedge_{A \in \tau_{\mathcal{W}}} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)) = \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(y,z)}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,z)}^*)) = \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*).$$

(4) If \mathcal{W} is an Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filter on $X \times X$, then $\mathcal{W}_{\tau_{\mathcal{W}}} = \mathcal{W}$.

Proof. (1) (AT1) Since

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*)) \rightarrow \alpha_X(y) &\leq \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,x)}^*) \rightarrow \alpha_X(x) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{x \in X} [(x, x)]^*(\top_{(x,x)}^*) \rightarrow \alpha_X(x) \\ &= \alpha \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*)) \rightarrow \alpha_X(y) \geq \alpha,$$

we have $\bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(-,y)}^*)) \rightarrow \alpha_X(y) = \alpha_X$, i.e., $\alpha_X \in \tau_{\mathcal{W}}$.

(AT2) If $A_i = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(-,y)}^*) \rightarrow A_i(y))$ for all $i \in \Gamma$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i &= \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} \left(\bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(-,y)}^*) \rightarrow A_i(y)) \right) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(-,y)}^*) \rightarrow \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(y)) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i, \\ \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i &= \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(-,y)}^*) \rightarrow A_i(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(-,y)}^*) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i, \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i \in \tau_{\mathcal{W}}$.

(AT3) If $A = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(-,y)}^*) \rightarrow A(y))$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \rightarrow A &= \alpha \rightarrow \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(-,y)}^*) \rightarrow A(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(-,y)}^*) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow A)(y)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \odot A &= \alpha \odot \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(-,y)}^*) \rightarrow A(y)) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(-,y)}^*) \rightarrow \alpha \odot A(y)) \\ &\leq \alpha \odot A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\alpha \odot A, \alpha \rightarrow A \in \tau_{\mathcal{W}}$. So $\tau_{\mathcal{W}}$ is an Alexandrov L -topology on X .
 Suppose \mathcal{W} is an Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filter on $X \times X$. Put

$$\tau = \{ \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(-,y)}^*)) \rightarrow A(y) \mid A \in L^X \}.$$

Let $B \in \tau_{\mathcal{W}}$. Then $B \in \tau$. Let $B = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(-,y)}^*)) \rightarrow A(y) \in \tau$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(-,z)}^*)) \rightarrow B(z) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(-,z)}^*)) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(z,y)}^*)) \rightarrow A(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\bigvee_{z \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(-,z)}^*)) \odot (\mathcal{W}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(z,y)}^*)) \rightarrow A(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(-,y)}^*) \rightarrow A(y)) \\ &= B \in \tau_{\mathcal{W}}. \end{aligned}$$

- (2) For $A \in L^X$, since $\mathcal{W}_{\tau}(\alpha \rightarrow u) = \alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\tau}(u)$,
 $\mathcal{W}_{\tau}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} u_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{W}_{\tau}(u_i)$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\tau}(u) &= \bigwedge_{x,y \in X} (\bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)) \rightarrow u(x,y)) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (A(x) \rightarrow A(x)) \rightarrow u(x,x)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} [(x,x)](u). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{W}_{\tau}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(x,y)}^*) = \bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\bigvee_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}_{\tau}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(x,y)}^*) \odot \mathcal{W}_{\tau}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(x,y)}^*)) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in X} (\bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)) \odot \bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (A(y) \rightarrow A(z))) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (A(x) \rightarrow A(z)) \\ &= \mathcal{W}_{\tau}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(x,z)}^*), \\ &\bigvee_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}_{\tau}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(x,y)}^*) \odot \mathcal{W}_{\tau}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(y,z)}^*)) \geq \mathcal{W}_{\tau}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(x,x)}^*) \odot \mathcal{W}_{\tau}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(x,z)}^*) \\ &= \mathcal{W}_{\tau}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(x,z)}^*). \end{aligned}$$

Then \mathcal{W}_{τ} is an Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filter on $X \times X$.

Let $B \in \tau$. Then

$$\bigwedge_{y \in X} (\bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)) \rightarrow B(y)) \leq (A(x) \rightarrow A(x)) \rightarrow B(x) = B(x).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(x) \odot (\bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y))) &\leq B(x) \odot (B(x) \rightarrow B(y)) \leq B(y), \\ B(x) &\leq \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)) \rightarrow B(y)). \end{aligned}$$

So $B = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}_{\tau}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(-,y)}^*) \rightarrow B(y)) \in \tau_{\mathcal{W}_{\tau}}$.

Now let $B \in \tau_{\mathcal{W}_{\tau}}$. Since $\bigvee_{A \in \tau} (A^*(y) \odot A(-)) \in \tau$ and $\bigwedge_{y \in X} (B^*(y) \rightarrow \bigvee_{A \in \tau} (A^*(y) \odot A(-))) \in \tau$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}_{\tau}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(-,y)}^*) \rightarrow B(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (A(-) \rightarrow A(y)) \rightarrow B(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (B^*(y) \rightarrow \bigvee_{A \in \tau} (A^*(y) \odot A(-))) \in \tau. \end{aligned}$$

- (3) Since $A = \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\mathbb{T}_{(-,z)}^*) \rightarrow A(z)) \in \tau_{\mathcal{W}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge_{A \in \tau_{\mathcal{W}}} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,z)}^*) \rightarrow A(z)) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(y,z)}^*) \rightarrow A(z)) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(y,z)}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,z)}^*)). \end{aligned}$$

If \mathcal{W} is an Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filter on $X \times X$, then

$$\bigvee_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*) \odot \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(y,z)}^*)) \leq \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,z)}^*).$$

Thus $\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*) \leq \bigwedge_{z \in X} ((\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(y,z)}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,z)}^*)))$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(y,z)}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,z)}^*)) &\leq \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(y,y)}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*) \\ &\leq (\bigvee_{x \in X} [(x, x)]^*)(\top_{(y,y)}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*) \\ &= \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*). \end{aligned}$$

So $\bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(y,z)}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,z)}^*)) = \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*)$. Since $A(-) = \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,-)}^*) \in \tau_{\mathcal{W}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{A \in \tau_{\mathcal{W}}} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)) &\leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,x)}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*)) \\ &\leq (\bigvee_{y \in X} [(y, y)]^*)(\top_{(x,x)}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*) \\ &= \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(y,z)}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,z)}^*)). \end{aligned}$$

(4) If \mathcal{W} is an Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filter on $X \times X$, then by (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\tau_{\mathcal{W}}}(u) &= \bigwedge_{x,y \in X} (\bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(y,z)}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,z)}^*)) \rightarrow u(x, y)) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{x,y \in X} (\mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*) \rightarrow u(x, y)) \\ &= \mathcal{W}(u). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Example 3.5. (1) For $A \in L^X$, we define $e_A(x, y) = A(x) \rightarrow A(y)$. Then e_A is an L -fuzzy preordered set on X . By a similar way in Theorem 3.4 (1), we obtain

$$\tau_X = \left\{ \bigwedge_{y \in X} (e_A(-, y) \rightarrow B(y)) \mid B \in L^X \right\}.$$

Define $e_{\tau_X}(x, y) = \bigwedge_{B \in \tau_X} (B(x) \rightarrow B(y))$. Since $A \in \tau_X$, $e_{\tau_X}(x, y) \leq e_A(x, y)$,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\tau_X}(x, y) &= \bigwedge_{B \in \tau_X} (B(x) \rightarrow B(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{C \in L^X} (\bigwedge_{z \in X} (e_A(x, z) \rightarrow C(z)) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{z \in X} (e_A(y, z) \rightarrow C(z))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{z \in X} (e_A(y, z) \rightarrow e_A(x, z)) \geq A(x) \rightarrow A(y). \end{aligned}$$

Then $e_{\tau_X}(x, y) = e_A(x, y)$. Thus by Theorem 3.2 (1), \mathcal{W}_{τ_X} is an Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filter on $X \times X$ such that

$$\mathcal{W}_{\tau_X}(u) = \bigwedge_{x,y \in X} (e_A(x, y) \rightarrow u(x, y)).$$

Moreover, since $\mathcal{W}_{\tau_X}^*(\top_{(-,y)}^*) = e_A(-, y)$,

$$\tau_{\mathcal{W}_{\tau_X}} = \left\{ \bigwedge_{y \in X} (e_A(-, y) \rightarrow B(y)) \mid B \in L^X \right\} = \tau_X.$$

(2) Let $\tau_X = L^X$. Then $e_{\tau_X}(x, y) = \bigwedge_{B \in \tau_X} (B(x) \rightarrow B(y))$. For $\top_x \in \tau_X$ and $x \neq y$, $e_{\tau_X}(x, y) = \bigwedge_{B \in \tau_X} (B(x) \rightarrow B(y)) \leq \top_x(x) \rightarrow \top_x(y) = \perp$. Thus for $e_X = \Delta_{X \times X}$ with

$$\Delta_{X \times X}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \top, & \text{if } y = x, \\ \perp, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\tau_X}(u) = \bigwedge_{x,y \in X} (\Delta_{X \times X}(x, y) \rightarrow u(x, y)) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} u(x, x).$$

By Theorem 3.2 (1), \mathcal{W}_{τ_X} is an Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filter on $X \times X$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{W}_{\tau_X}^*(\top_{(-,y)}^*) = \Delta_{X \times X}(-, y)$,

$$\tau_{\mathcal{W}_{\tau_X}} = \{B \in L^X \mid \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\Delta_{X \times X}(-, y) \rightarrow B(y)) = B\} = L^X = \tau_X.$$

(3) Let $\tau_X = \{\alpha_X \mid \alpha \in L\}$. Then

$$e_{\tau_X}(x, y) = \bigwedge_{B \in \tau_X} (B(x) \rightarrow B(y)) = \top,$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\tau_X}(u) = \bigwedge_{x, y \in X} (\top_{X \times X}(x, y) \rightarrow u(x, y)) = \bigwedge_{x, y \in X} u(x, y).$$

Thus by Theorem 3.2 (1), \mathcal{W}_{τ_X} is an Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filter on $X \times X$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{W}_{\tau_X}^*(\top_{(-,y)}^*) = \top_{X \times X}(-, y)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{W}_{\tau_X}} &= \{B \in L^X \mid \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\top_{X \times X}(-, y) \rightarrow B(y)) = B\} \\ &= \{B \in L^X \mid \bigwedge_{y \in X} B(y) = B\} \\ &= \{\alpha_X \mid \alpha \in L\} \\ &= \tau_X. \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.6. Let $e_X \in L^{X \times X}$ be a reflexive L -fuzzy relation.

(1) Define $\mathcal{W}_{e_X} : L^{X \times X} \rightarrow L$ as

$$\mathcal{W}_{e_X}(u) = \bigwedge_{x, y \in X} (e_X(x, y) \rightarrow u(x, y)).$$

By Theorem 3.2 (1), \mathcal{W}_{e_X} is an Alexandrov L -preuniform filter on $X \times X$. If e_X is an L -fuzzy preorder on X , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}_{e_X}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*) \odot \mathcal{W}_{e_X}^*(\top_{(y,z)}^*)) &= \bigvee_{y \in X} (e_X(x, y) \odot e_X(y, z)) \\ &= e_X(x, z) \\ &= \mathcal{W}_{e_X}^*(\top_{(x,z)}^*). \end{aligned}$$

Thus \mathcal{W}_{e_X} is an Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filter on $X \times X$.

(2) Define $\mathcal{N}_{e_X}^x : L^X \rightarrow L$ as

$$\mathcal{N}_{e_X}^x(A) = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (e_X(x, y) \rightarrow A(y)).$$

By Theorem 3.2 (2), $\mathcal{N}_{e_X} = \{\mathcal{N}_{e_X}^x \mid x \in X\}$ is an Alexandrov L -neighborhood system on X . If e_X is an L -fuzzy preorder on X , then \mathcal{N}_{e_X} is topological.

(3) From (2) and Theorem 3.2 (3),

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}_{e_X}}(u) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} \mathcal{N}_{e_X}^x(u(x, -)) = \bigwedge_{x, y \in X} (e_X(x, y) \rightarrow u(x, y)) = \mathcal{W}_{e_X}(u).$$

Then $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}_{e_X}}$ is an Alexandrov preuniform L -filter on $X \times X$.

(4) Since $\mathcal{W}_{e_X}(\top_{(x,y)}^*) = e_X^*(x, y)$,

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}_{e_X}}^x(A) = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}_{e_X}(\top_{(x,y)}^*) \rightarrow A(y)) = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (e_X(x, y) \rightarrow A(y)) = \mathcal{N}_{e_X}^x(A).$$

(5) By (3) and (4), $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}_{e_X}}} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}_{e_X}} = \mathcal{W}_{e_X}$. By (3) and Theorem 3.2 (5),

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}_{e_X}}}^x = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}_{e_X}}^x = \mathcal{N}_{e_X}^x.$$

(6) By Theorem 3.2, let $\mathcal{W} : L^{X \times X} \rightarrow L$ be an Alexandrov preuniform L -filter on $X \times X$ with a reflexive relation $e_{\mathcal{W}} \in L^{X \times X}$ such that $e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, z) = \mathcal{W}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*)$. Then

$$\mathcal{W}(u) = \bigwedge_{x,z \in X} (e_{\mathcal{W}}(x, z) \rightarrow u(x, z)) = \mathcal{W}_{e_{\mathcal{W}}}(u).$$

Since $\mathcal{W}_{e_X}(u) = \bigwedge_{x,z \in X} (e_X(x, z) \rightarrow u(x, z))$ and $\mathcal{W}_{e_X}(\top_{(x,y)}^*) = e_X^*(x, z)$,

$$e_{\mathcal{W}_{e_X}}(x, y) = \mathcal{W}_{e_X}^*(\top_{(x,y)}^*) = e_X(x, y).$$

(7) By Theorem 3.2, since $\mathcal{W}_{e_X}(\top_{(-,y)}^*) = e_X^*(-, y)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{W}_{e_X}} &= \{A \in L^X \mid A = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{W}_{e_X}^*(\top_{(-,y)}^*) \rightarrow A(y))\} \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (e_X(-, y) \rightarrow A(y)), \\ \mathcal{W}_{\tau_{\mathcal{W}_{e_X}}}(u) &= \bigwedge_{x,y \in X} (\bigwedge_{A \in \tau_{\mathcal{W}_{e_X}}} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)) \rightarrow u(x, y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x,y \in X} (\bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{W}_{e_X}^*(\top_{(y,z)}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{e_X}^*(\top_{(x,z)}^*)) \rightarrow u(x, y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x,y \in X} (\bigwedge_{z \in X} (e_X(y, z) \rightarrow e_X(x, z)) \rightarrow u(x, y)) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{x,y \in X} ((e_X(y, y) \rightarrow e_X(x, y)) \rightarrow u(x, y)) \\ &= \mathcal{W}_{e_X}(u). \end{aligned}$$

If e_X is an L -fuzzy preorder on X , then $\mathcal{W}_{\tau_{\mathcal{W}_{e_X}}} = \mathcal{W}_{e_X}$.

Example 3.7. Let $X = \{h_i \mid i = \{1, \dots, 3\}\}$ with h_i =house and $Y = \{e, b, w, c, i\}$ with e =expensive, b = beautiful, w =wooden, c = creative, i =in the green surroundings. Let $([0, 1], \odot, \rightarrow, *, 0, 1)$ be a complete residuated lattice (See [6, 8, 27]) as

$$x \odot y = \max\{0, x + y - 1\}, \quad x \rightarrow y = \min\{1 - x + y, 1\}, \quad x^* = 1 - x.$$

Let $R \in [0, 1]^{X \times Y}$ be a fuzzy information as follows:

R	e	b	w	c	i
h_1	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.9	0.2
h_2	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.5
h_3	0.4	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.6

Define an L -fuzzy preorder $e_X^{\{e,b\}}, e_X^Y \in [0, 1]^{X \times X}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} e_X^{\{e,b\}}(h_i, h_j) &= \bigwedge_{y \in \{e,b\}} (R(h_i, y) \rightarrow R(h_j, y)), \\ e_X^Y(h_i, h_j) &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (R(h_i, y) \rightarrow R(h_j, y)). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$e_X^{\{e,b\}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.9 & 0.7 \\ 0.8 & 1 & 0.8 \\ 0.7 & 0.9 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e_X^Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.4 & 0.7 \\ 0.7 & 1 & 0.8 \\ 0.6 & 0.6 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(1) We obtain Alexandrov L -quasiuniform filters $\mathcal{W}_{e_X^{\{e,b\}}}, \mathcal{W}_{e_X^Y} : L^{X \times X} \rightarrow L$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{e_X^{\{e,b\}}}(u) &= \bigwedge_{i,j \in \{1,2,3\}} (e_X^{\{e,b\}}(h_i, h_j) \rightarrow u(h_i, h_j)), \\ \mathcal{W}_{e_X^Y}(u) &= \bigwedge_{i,j \in \{1,2,3\}} (e_X^Y(h_i, h_j) \rightarrow u(h_i, h_j)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) By Theorem 3.2, since $\mathcal{W}_{e_X}(\top_{(-,y)}^*) = e_X^*(-, y)$ for each $e_X \in \{e_X^{\{e,b\}}, e_X^Y\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{W}_{e_X}} &= \{ \bigwedge_{j \in \{1,2,3\}} (\mathcal{W}_{e_X}^* (\top_{(-,h_j)}^* \rightarrow A(h_j)) \mid A \in L^X \} \\ &= \bigwedge_{j \in \{1,2,3\}} (e_X(-, h_j) \rightarrow A(h_j)) \mid A \in L^X \}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\bigwedge_{j \in \{1,2,3\}} (e_X^{\{e,b\}}(-, h_j) \rightarrow A(h_j)) = \left(\begin{array}{l} A(h_1) \wedge (0.1 + A(h_2)) \wedge (0.3 + A(h_3)) \\ (0.2 + A(h_1)) \wedge A(h_2) \wedge (0.2 + A(h_3)) \\ (0.3 + A(h_1)) \wedge (0.1 + A(h_2)) \wedge A(h_3) \end{array} \right)$$

$$\bigwedge_{j \in \{1,2,3\}} (e_X^Y(-, h_j) \rightarrow A(h_j)) = \left(\begin{array}{l} A(h_1) \wedge (0.6 + A(h_2)) \wedge (0.3 + A(h_3)) \\ (0.3 + A(h_1)) \wedge A(h_2) \wedge (0.2 + A(h_3)) \\ (0.4 + A(h_1)) \wedge (0.4 + A(h_2)) \wedge A(h_3) \end{array} \right)$$

(3) For each $e_X \in \{e_X^{\{e,b\}}, e_X^Y\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{A \in \tau_{\mathcal{W}_{e_X}}} (A(x) \rightarrow A(y)) &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\mathcal{W}_{e_X}^* (\top_{(y,z)}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{e_X}^* (\top_{(x,z)}^*)) \\ &= \mathcal{W}_{e_X}^* (\top_{(x,y)}^*) \\ &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (e_X(y, z) \rightarrow e_X(x, z)) \\ &= \mathcal{W}_{e_X}^* (\top_{(x,y)}^*) \\ &= e_X(x, z) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}_{\tau_{\mathcal{W}_{e_X}}} = \mathcal{W}_{e_X}.$$

(4) For each $e_X \in \{e_X^{\{e,b\}}, e_X^Y\}$, since $\mathcal{N}_{e_X}^{h_1} : L^X \rightarrow L$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}_{e_X}}^{h_1} (A) &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (e_X(h_1, h_2) \rightarrow A(h_2)), \\ \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}_{e_X^{\{e,b\}}}}^{h_1} (A) &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (e_X^{\{e,b\}}(h_1, h_2) \rightarrow A(h_2)) \\ &= A(h_1) \wedge (0.1 + A(h_2)) \wedge (0.3 + A(h_3)), \\ \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W}_{e_X^Y}}^{h_1} (A) &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (e_X^Y(h_1, h_2) \rightarrow A(h_2)) \\ &= A(h_1) \wedge (0.6 + A(h_2)) \wedge (0.3 + A(h_3)). \end{aligned}$$

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we investigate the relations among Alexandrov L -neighborhood filters, Alexandrov L -topologies and Alexandrov L -preuniform filters as a viewpoint for fuzzy rough sets. The relations among Alexandrov L -neighborhood spaces, Alexandrov L -topological spaces and Alexandrov L -preuniform filter spaces are studied. As a very important point of view for fuzzy information systems, Alexandrov L -neighborhood filters, Alexandrov L -topologies and Alexandrov L -preuniform filters can be fined in Example 3.7.

Funding: This work was supported by the Research Institute of Natural Science of Gangneung-Wonju National University.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Eklund and W. Gähler, Fuzzy filter functors and convergence, Applications of Category Theory to Fuzzy Sets, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1992 109–136.
- [2] W. Gähler, The general fuzzy filter approach to fuzzy topology, I, II, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 76 (1995) 205–246.
- [3] W. Gähler, F. Bayoumi, A. Kandil and A. Nouh, The theory of global fuzzy neighborhood structures, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 98 (1998) 175–199.
- [4] U. Höhle and E. P. Klement, Non-classical logic and their applications to fuzzy subsets, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston 1995.
- [5] U. Höhle and S. E. Rodabaugh, Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets, Logic, Topology and Measure Theory, The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht 1999.
- [6] R. Bělohlávek, Fuzzy Relational Systems, Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York 2002.
- [7] S. E. Rodabaugh and E. P. Klement, Topological and Algebraic Structures In Fuzzy Sets, The Handbook of Recent Developments in the Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Dordrecht, London 2003.
- [8] E. Turunen, Mathematics Behind Fuzzy Logic, A Springer-Verlag Co. 1999.
- [9] M. Ward and R. P. Dilworth, Residuated lattices, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1939) 335–354,
- [10] Y. C. Kim and J. M. Ko, Images and preimages of L -filter bases, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 173 (2005) 93–113.
- [11] G. Jäger, Pretopological and topological lattice-valued convergence spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 158 (2007) 424–435.
- [12] W. Yao, On many-valued L -fuzzy convergence spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 159 (2008) 2503–2519.
- [13] W. X. Xie, Q. Y. Zhang and L. Fan, Fuzzy complete lattices, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 160 (2009) 2275–2291.
- [14] Q. Y. Zhang and L. Fan, Continuity in quantitative domains, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 154 (2005) 118–131.
- [15] Q. Y. Zhang, W. X. Xie and L. Fan, The Dedekind-MacNeille completion for fuzzy posets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 160 (2009) 2292–2316.
- [16] J. Fang, Stratified L -order convergence structures, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 161 (2010) 2130–2149.
- [17] J. Fang, Relationships between L -ordered convergence structures and strong L -topologies Fuzzy Sets and Systems 161 (2010) 2923–2944.
- [18] B. Davvaz and Y. C. Kim, Alexandrov L -topologies and Alexandrov L -convergence structures, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 35 (2018) 6393–6404.
- [19] D. Orpen and G. Jäger, Lattice-valued convergence spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 162 (2011) 1–24.
- [20] B. Pang, Degrees of separation properties in stratified L -generalized convergence spaces using residuated implication, Filomat 31 (20) (2017) 6293–6305.
- [21] B. Pang, Stratified L -ordered filter spaces, Quaestiones Mathematicae 40 (5) (2017) 661–678.
- [22] J. M. Ko and Y. C. Kim, Alexandrov L -filters and Alexandrov L -convergence spaces, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 35 (2018) 3255–3266.
- [23] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, Internat. J. Comput. Inform. Sci. 11 (1982) 341–356.
- [24] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets: Theoretical Aspects of Reasoning about Data, System Theory, Knowledge Engineering and Problem Solving, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands 1991.
- [25] Q. Jin, L.Q. Li, One-axiom characterizations on lattice-valued closure(interior) operators, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 31 (2016) 1679–1688.
- [26] Y. C. Kim, Join-meet preserving maps and Alexandrov fuzzy topologies, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 28 (2015) 457–467.
- [27] Y. C. Kim, Categories of fuzzy preorders, approximation operators and Alexandrov topologies, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 31 (2016) 1787–1793.
- [28] A. M. Radzikowska and E. E. Kerre, A comparative study of fuzzy rough sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 126 (2002) 137–155.

- [29] Y. H. She and G. J. Wang, An axiomatic approach of fuzzy rough sets based on residuated lattices, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 58 (2009) 189–201.
- [30] S. P. Tiwari and A. K. Srivastava, Fuzzy rough sets, fuzzy preorders and fuzzy topologies, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 210 (2013) 63–68.
- [31] J. Zhan and W. Xu, Two types of coverings based multigranulation rough fuzzy sets and applications to decision making, *Artificial Intelligence Review* (2018) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-018-9649-8>.
- [32] H. Lai and D. Zhang, Fuzzy preorder and fuzzy topology, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 157 (2006) 1865–1885.
- [33] Z. M. Ma and B.Q. Hu, Topological and lattice structures of L -fuzzy rough set determined by lower and upper sets, *Inform. Sci.* 218 (2013) 194–204.

JU-MOK OH (jumokoh@gwnu.ac.kr)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Natural science, University of Gangneung-Wonju, Gangneung, Gangwondo, postal code 25457, Korea

YONG CHAN KIM (yck@gwnu.ac.kr)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Natural science, University of Gangneung-Wonju, Gangneung, Gangwondo, postal code 25457, Korea