

IVI-octahedron ideals and bi-ideals in semigroups

S. H. HAN, G. MUHIUDDIN, K. HUR, J. I. BAEK

Received 14 February 2022; Revised 9 March 2022; Accepted 22 March 2022

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the notions of IVI-octahedron ideals and bi-ideals, and obtain some of their properties. Also, we define an IVI-octahedron duo semigroup and give a characterization of a duo semigroup by it, and study some of its properties. Furthermore, we discuss some characterizations of a regular semigroup and a left [resp. right] regular semigroup by IVI-octahedron ideals and bi-ideals.

2020 AMS Classification: 03B52, 08A72, 20M12, 20M17

Keywords: IVI-octahedron ideal, IVI-octahedron bi-ideal, Level set, IVI-octahedron duo semigroup, Regular semigroup, Left [resp. right] regular semigroup.

Corresponding Author: S. H. Han, K. Hur ({shhan235, kulhur}@wku.ac.kr)

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, Zadeh [1] introduced the concept of fuzzy sets as the generalization of crisp sets in order to solve the real world problems involving ambiguities and uncertainties. Rosenfeld [2] applied initially it to basic theory of groupoids and groups. After then, Das [3] defined a level subgroup and obtained a characterization of a fuzzy group by its level subgroup. Liu [4] studied some properties of fuzzy invariant subgroups and fuzzy ideals. Mukherjee and Sen [5] investigated various properties of fuzzy ideals of a ring. In particular, Kuroki [6] dealt with properties of fuzzy ideals and bi-ideals in a semigroup. Also he discussed the characterizations of some special semigroups by fuzzy ideals and bi-ideals in [7]. Recently, Wattanatripop et al. [8] defined an almost bi-ideal in a semigroup and obtained some of its properties. Refer to [9, 10, 11, 12, 13] for further researches with respect to fuzzy ideals and fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups.

In 1989, Biswas [14] applied the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets proposed by Atanassov [15] as a generalization of a fuzzy set to a group theory. After that time, Hur et al. [16] defined an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroupoid and an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal, and obtained their basic properties. Also, Hur et al. [17] studied various properties of intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups and intuitionistic fuzzy subrings. Banerjee

and Basnet [18] dealt with some properties of a group and an ideal based on intuitionistic fuzzy sets. In particular, Kim and Jun [19] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy ideals in a semigroup and investigated some of its properties. Kim and Lee [20] defined an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal in a semigroup and had its various properties. Hur et al. [21] dealt with characterizations of some special semigroups by intuitionistic fuzzy ideals and intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals. Refer to [22, 23, 24] for researches with respect to intuitionistic fuzzy ideals and intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals.

In 1989, Atanassov and Gargov [25] proposed the concept of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of an intuitionistic fuzzy set. Yaqoob [26] applied it to ideals based on interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy set in a regular LA -semigroup and obtained some of its properties. Abdullah et al. [27] defined an interval-valued (α, β) -intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal in a semigroup and investigated some of its properties. On the other hand, Krishnaswamy et al. [28] studied basic properties of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals in a ternary semiring. Also, Balasubramanian and Raja [29] dealt with properties of interval-valued intuitionistic Q -fuzzy k -ideals in a ternary semiring. Moreover, K. Arulmozhi1 et al. [30] introduced the concept of weak bi-ideals in a Γ near-ring and dealt with some of its properties.

Recently, Kim et al. [31] introduced the notion of IVI-octahedron sets and applied it to groupoid theory. The purpose of our study is not only to find the properties ideals and bi-ideals based on IVI-octahedron sets in semigroups, but also to obtain some characterizations of a regular semigroup by them. To accomplish this, our paper structured as follows: In Section 2, we list some definitions needed next sections. In Section 3, we define an IVI-octahedron ideal, and give characterizations of an IVI-octahedron ideal by the IVI-octahedron products and the level sets respectively (See Theorems 3.20 and 3.23 respectively). In Section 4, we define an IVI-octahedron bi-ideal, and obtain the characterizations of an IVI-octahedron bi-ideal by the IVI-octahedron products and the level sets respectively (See Theorems 4.9 and 4.15 respectively). In Section 5, we introduce the notion of IVI-octahedron duo semigroups and give a characterization of a duo semigroup by IVI-octahedron duo semigroups (See Theorem 5.6). Also, we deal with some relations between IVI-octahedron ideals and IVI-octahedron bi-ideals. In Section 6, We discuss with the characterizations of a regular semigroup by IVI-octahedron ideals and IVI-octahedron bi-ideals, and a characterization of a left [resp. right and completely] regular semigroup by IVI-octahedron left ideals [resp. IVI-octahedron right ideals and IVI-octahedron bi-ideals].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we list some basic definitions needed in the next sections.

Let $I = [0, 1]$ and let X be a nonempty set. Then a mapping $A : X \rightarrow I$ is called a *fuzzy set* in X (See [1]). $\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ denote the *fuzzy empty set* and the *fuzzy whole set* in X defined by: for each $x \in X$,

$$\mathbf{0}(x) = 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{1}(x) = 1.$$

Each member of a set $I \oplus I = \{(a^\in, a^\notin) : (a^\in, a^\notin) \in I \times I \text{ and } a^\in + a^\notin \leq 1\}$ is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy number* (See [32]). We denote intuitionistic fuzzy numbers (a^\in, a^\notin) , (b^\in, b^\notin) , (c^\in, c^\notin) , etc. as \bar{a} , \bar{b} , \bar{c} , etc. In particular, $\bar{0} = (0, 1)$ and $\bar{1} = (1, 0)$. It is well-known (Theorem 2.1 in [32]) that $(I \oplus I, \leq)$ is a complete distributive lattice with the greatest element $\bar{1}$ and the least element $\bar{0}$ satisfying DeMorgan's laws. For a nonempty set X , a mapping $\bar{A} = (A^\in, A^\notin) : X \rightarrow I \oplus I$ is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy set* (briefly, IFS) in X (See [15]). $\bar{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{1}}$ denote the *intuitionistic fuzzy empty set* and the *intuitionistic fuzzy whole set* in X defined by: for each $x \in X$,

$$\bar{\mathbf{0}}(x) = \bar{0} \text{ and } \bar{\mathbf{1}}(x) = \bar{1}.$$

Let us denote the set of all IFSs in X as $IFS(X)$. Moreover, see [15] for the definitions of the inclusion, equality, intersection, union of two IFSs and the complement of an IFS, and operators $[\]\bar{A}$, $\diamond\bar{A}$ for each $\bar{A} \in IFS(X)$.

Let $[I] = \{\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+] \subset I : 0 \leq a^- \leq a^+ \leq 1\}$ be the set of all closed subintervals of I . Then each member of $[I]$ are called *interval-valued fuzzy numbers* (See [33]). For a nonempty set X , a mapping $\tilde{A} = [A^-, A^+] : X \rightarrow [I]$ is called an *interval-valued fuzzy set* (briefly, an IVFS) in X (See [34]). $\tilde{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{1}}$ denote the *interval-valued fuzzy empty set* and the *interval-valued fuzzy whole set* in X defined by: for each $x \in X$,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{0}}(x) = [0, 0] \text{ and } \tilde{\mathbf{1}}(x) = [1, 1].$$

We denote the set of all IVFSs in X as $IVFS(X)$. Furthermore, see [34] for the definitions of the inclusion, equality, intersection, union of two IVFSs and the complement of an IVFS.

Let $[I] \oplus [I] = \{\tilde{\tilde{a}} = (\tilde{a}^\in, \tilde{a}^\notin) \in [I] \times [I] : a^{\in,+} + a^{\notin,+} \leq 1\}$. Then each member of $[I] \oplus [I]$ is called an *interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy number* (briefly, IVIFN) (See [31]). In particular, $\tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{0}}} = ([0, 0], [1, 1])$ and $\tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{1}}} = ([1, 1], [0, 0])$. Also, see [31] for the definitions of the order, the equality, the inf and the sup of two IVIFNs. For a nonempty set X , a mapping $\tilde{\tilde{A}} = (\tilde{A}^\in, \tilde{A}^\notin) : X \rightarrow [I] \oplus [I]$ is called an *interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy set* (briefly, IVIS) in X (See [25]). $\tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{0}}}$ [resp. $\tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{1}}}$] denotes the *interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy empty set* [resp. the *interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy whole set*] in X defined by: for each $x \in X$,

$$\tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{0}}}(x) = ([0, 0], [1, 1]) \text{ [resp. } \tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{1}}}(x) = ([1, 1], [0, 0])].$$

We denote the set of all IVISs as $IVIFS(X)$.

Members of $([I] \oplus [I]) \times (I \oplus I) \times I$ are called *interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy octahedron numbers* (briefly, IVI-octahedron numbers) and we write them as

$$\tilde{\tilde{a}} = \langle \tilde{\tilde{a}}, \bar{a}, a \rangle, \tilde{\tilde{b}} = \langle \tilde{\tilde{b}}, \bar{b}, b \rangle, \text{ etc. (See [31]).}$$

Moreover, see [31] for the definitions of the order, the equality, the inf and the sup of IVI-octahedron numbers.

Definition 2.1 ([31]). Let X be a nonempty set. Then a mapping $\mathcal{A} = \langle \tilde{\tilde{A}}, \bar{A}, A \rangle : X \rightarrow ([I] \oplus [I]) \times (I \oplus I) \times I$ is called an *IVI-octahedron set* (briefly, *IVIOS*) in X . and let $\tilde{\tilde{A}} \in IVIFS(X)$, $\bar{A} \in IFS(X)$, $A \in I^X$. $\tilde{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{1}}$ denote the *IVI-octahedron empty set* and *IVI-octahedron whole set* in X defined by: for each $x \in X$,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{0}}(x) = \langle ([0, 0], [1, 1], (0, 1), 0) \rangle \text{ and } \tilde{\mathbf{1}}(x) = \langle ([1, 1], [0, 0], (1, 0), 1) \rangle.$$

We denote the set of all IVIOSs as $IVIOS(X)$.

It is clear that for each $A \in 2^X$, $\chi_A = \langle \tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_A, \bar{\chi}_A, \chi_A \rangle \in IVIOS(X)$ and then $2^X \subset IVIOS(X)$, where $\tilde{\tilde{\chi}}_A = ([\chi_A, \chi_A], [\chi_{A^c}, \chi_{A^c}]) \in IVIFS(X)$, $\bar{\chi}_A = (\chi_A, \chi_{A^c}) \in IFS(X)$, 2^X denotes the set of all subsets of X and χ_A denotes the characteristic function of A .

Definition 2.2 ([31]). Let X be a nonempty set, let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in IVIOS(X)$ and let $(\mathcal{A}_j)_{j \in J}$ be a family of IVIOSs in X . Then the *inclusion*, the *equality* between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , the *union* and the *intersection* of $(\mathcal{A}_j)_{j \in J}$, the *complement* of \mathcal{A} , operators $[]$ and \diamond of \mathcal{A} are defined as follows respectively:

- (i) (The equality) $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\tilde{A}} = \tilde{\tilde{B}}, \bar{A} = \bar{B}, A = B$.
- (ii) (The inclusion) $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\tilde{A}} \subset \tilde{\tilde{B}}, \bar{A} \subset \bar{B}, A \leq B$.
- (iii) (The union) $\bigcup_{j \in J} \mathcal{A}_j = \langle \bigcup_{j \in J} \tilde{\tilde{A}}_j, \bigcup_{j \in J} \bar{A}_j, \bigcup_{j \in J} A_j \rangle$.
- (iv) (The intersection) $\bigcap_{j \in J} \mathcal{A}_j = \langle \bigcap_{j \in J} \tilde{\tilde{A}}_j, \bigcap_{j \in J} \bar{A}_j, \bigcap_{j \in J} A_j \rangle$.
- (v) (The complement) $\mathcal{A}^c = \langle \tilde{\tilde{A}}^c, \bar{A}^c, A^c \rangle$.
- (vi) $[]\mathcal{A} = \langle []\tilde{\tilde{A}}, []\bar{A}, A \rangle$,

where $[]\tilde{\tilde{A}} = (\tilde{\tilde{A}}^\epsilon, [A^{\neq, -}, 1 - A^{\epsilon, +}])$ [resp. $[]\bar{A} = (A^\epsilon, 1 - A^\epsilon)$] (See [25] [resp. [15]]).

- (vii) $\diamond \mathcal{A} = \langle \diamond \tilde{\tilde{A}}, \diamond \bar{A}, A \rangle$,

where $\diamond \tilde{\tilde{A}} = ([A^{\epsilon, -}, 1 - A^{\neq, +}], \tilde{\tilde{A}}^{\neq})$ [resp. $\diamond \bar{A} = (1 - A^{\neq}, A^{\neq})$] (See [25] [resp. [15]]).

3. IVI-OCTAHEDRON SUBSEMIGROUPS AND IDEALS

In this section, we introduce the concepts of *IVI-octahedron subsemigroups* [resp. *left ideals*, *right ideals* and *ideals*] of a semigroup S and deal with its characterizations respectively.

Let S be a semigroup and let $\emptyset \neq A \in 2^S$. Then

- (i) A is called a *subsemigroup* of S , if $A^2 \subset A$,
- (ii) A is called a *left ideal* [resp. *right ideal*] of S , if $SA \subset A$ [resp. $AS \subset A$],
- (iii) A is called a *two-sided ideal* (briefly, *ideal*) of S , if it is both a left and a right ideal of S .

We will denote the set of all left ideals [resp. right ideals and ideals] of S as $LI(S)$ [resp. $RI(S)$ and $I(S)$].

Throughout this section and next section, unless otherwise noted, let us S denote a semigroup. From Proposition 6.13 in [31], we have the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{0}} \neq \mathcal{A} = \langle \tilde{A}, \bar{A}, A \rangle \in IVIOS(S)$. Then \mathcal{A} is called an *IVI-octahedron subsemigroup* (briefly, *IVIOSG*) of S , if it satisfies the following condition:

for any $x, y \in X$, $\mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \mathcal{A}(x) \wedge \mathcal{A}(y)$, i.e.,

- (i) $A^{\in,-}(xy) \geq A^{\in,-}(x) \wedge A^{\in,-}(y)$, $A^{\in,+}(xy) \geq A^{\in,+}(x) \wedge A^{\in,+}(y)$,
 $A^{\notin,-}(xy) \leq A^{\notin,-}(x) \vee A^{\notin,-}(y)$, $A^{\notin,+}(xy) \leq A^{\notin,+}(x) \vee A^{\notin,+}(y)$,
- (ii) $A^{\in}(xy) \geq A^{\in}(x) \wedge A^{\in}(y)$, $A^{\notin}(xy) \leq A^{\notin}(x) \vee A^{\notin}(y)$,
- (iii) $A(xy) \geq A(x) \wedge A(y)$.

We will denote the set of all IVIOSGs of S as $IVIOSG(S)$.

Remark 3.2. (1) Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle \tilde{A}, \bar{A}, A \rangle \in IVIOS(S)$ and let $IVIFSG(S)$ [resp. $IFSG(S)$, $FSG(S)$] denote the set of all interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy [resp. intuitionistic fuzzy and fuzzy] subsemigroups of S (See [26] [resp. [16] and [2]] for the definition of an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy [resp. an intuitionistic fuzzy and a fuzzy] semigroup). Then from Definition 3.1, we can easily see that the following holds:

$\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$ if and only if $\tilde{A} \in IVIFSG(S)$, $\bar{A} \in IFSG(S)$ and $A \in FSG(S)$.

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$, then $[]\mathcal{A}$, $\diamond\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$.

(2) If $A \in FSG(S)$, then $\langle ([A, A], [A^c, A^c]), (A, A^c), A \rangle \in IVIOSG(S)$.

(3) If $\bar{A} \in IFSG(S)$, then clearly, we have

$$\langle ([A^{\in}, A^{\in}], [A^{\notin}, A^{\notin}]), \bar{A}, A \rangle, \langle ([A^{\in}, A^{\in}], [A^{\notin}, A^{\notin}]), \bar{A}, A^{\notin c} \rangle \in IVIOSG(S).$$

(4) If $\tilde{A} \in IVIFSG(S)$, then we get

$$\left\langle \tilde{A}, (A^{\in,-}, A^{\notin,-}), A^{\in,-} \right\rangle, \left\langle \tilde{A}, (A^{\in,+}, A^{\notin,+}), A^{\in,+} \right\rangle \in IVIOSG(S).$$

Example 3.3. Let $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$ be the semigroup with the following Cayley table:

·	1	2	3
1	1	2	3
2	1	2	3
3	1	2	3

Table 3.1

Consider the mapping $\mathcal{A} : S \rightarrow ([I] \oplus [I]) \times (I \oplus I) \times I$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}(1) = \tilde{\tilde{a}}, \mathcal{A}(2) = \tilde{\tilde{b}}, \mathcal{A}(3) = \tilde{\tilde{c}},$$

where $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$, $\tilde{\tilde{b}}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{c}}$ are arbitrary IVI-octahedron numbers.

Then we can easily check that $\tilde{\tilde{A}} \in IVIFSG(S)$, $\bar{\tilde{\tilde{A}}} \in IFSG(S)$, $A \in FSG(S)$. Thus by Remark 3.2, $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$.

Theorem 3.4 (See Remark 6.21 [31]). *Let $\emptyset \neq A \in 2^S$. Then $\chi_A \in IVIOSG(S)$ if and only if A is a subsemigroup of S .*

Proof. Straightforward. □

Definition 3.5 ([31]). Let $\mathfrak{O} \neq \mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(S)$. Then \mathcal{A} is called an:

- (i) *IVI-octahedron left ideal* (briefly, *IVIOLI*) of S , if for any $x, y \in S$,

$$\mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \mathcal{A}(y), \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$\tilde{A}^\infty(xy) \geq \tilde{A}^\infty(y), \tilde{A}^\neq(xy) \leq \tilde{A}^\neq(y), A^\infty(xy) \geq A^\infty(y), A^\neq(xy) \leq A^\neq(y), A(xy) \geq A(y),$$

- (ii) *IVI-octahedron right ideal* (briefly, *IVIORI*) of S , if for any $x, y \in S$,

$$\mathcal{A}(xy) \geq \mathcal{A}(x),$$

$$\tilde{A}^\infty(xy) \geq \tilde{A}^\infty(x), \tilde{A}^\neq(xy) \leq \tilde{A}^\neq(x), A^\infty(xy) \geq A^\infty(x), A^\neq(xy) \leq A^\neq(x), A(xy) \geq A(x),$$

- (iii) *IVI-octahedron ideal* (briefly, *i-IVIOI*) of S , if it is both an *IVIOLI* and an *IVIORI* of S .

In this case, we will denote the set of all *IVIOIs* [resp. *IVIOLIs* and *IVIORIs*] of S as *IVIOI(S)* [resp. *IVIOLI(S)* and *IVIORI(S)*].

For a semigroup S , let us denote the set of all fuzzy ideals [resp. left ideals and right ideals] (See [2]), the set of all intuitionistic fuzzy ideals [resp. intuitionistic fuzzy left and right ideals] (See [16]) and the set of all interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideals [resp. interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy left and right ideals] (See [26]) of S as *FI(S)* [resp. *FLI(S)* and *FRL(S)*], *IFI(S)* [resp. *IFLI(S)* and *IFRI(S)*] and *IVIFI(S)* [resp. *IVIFLI(S)* and *IVIFRI(S)*].

Remark 3.6. From Definition 3.5, we have the followings:

- (1) $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOLI(S) \iff \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \in IVIFLI(S), \bar{\mathcal{A}} \in IFLI(S), A \in FLI(S),$
- (2) $\mathcal{A} \in IVIORI(S) \iff \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \in IVIFRI(S), \bar{\mathcal{A}} \in IFRI(S), A \in FRI(S),$
- (3) $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOI(S) \iff \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \in IVIFI(S), \bar{\mathcal{A}} \in IFI(S), A \in FI(S).$

Example 3.7. Let \mathcal{A} be the *IVI-octahedron subsemigroup* of S given in Example 3.3. Then we can easily see that $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOLI(S)$. However, if $\mathcal{A}(1) \neq \mathcal{A}(2)$, $\mathcal{A}(1) \neq \mathcal{A}(3)$ or $\mathcal{A}(2) \neq \mathcal{A}(3)$, then clearly, $\mathcal{A} \notin IVIORI(S)$. Thus $\mathcal{A} \notin IVIOI(S)$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{A}(1) = \mathcal{A}(2) = \mathcal{A}(3)$, then $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOI(S)$.

Remark 3.8. (1) From Definitions 3.1 and 3.4, it follows that for each $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOI(S)$ [resp. *IVIOLI(S)* and *IVIORI(S)*], $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$ but the converse does not hold in general (See Example 3.7).

(2) If $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOLI(S)$ [resp. *IVIORI(S)* and *IVIOI(S)*], then $[\]\mathcal{A}, \diamond\mathcal{A} \in IVIOLI(S)$ [resp. *IVIORI(S)* and *IVIOI(S)*].

Theorem 3.9 (See Remark 6.26 [31]). *Let $\emptyset \neq A \in 2^S$. Then $\chi_A \in IVIOLI(S)$ [resp. *IVIORI(S)* and *IVIOI(S)*] if and only if $A \in LI(S)$ [resp. *RI(S)* and *I(S)*].*

Proof. Straightforward. □

Now we will list the product of two fuzzy [intuitionistic fuzzy, interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy and *IVI-octahedron*] sets in a semigroup S .

Definition 3.10 (See [4]). Let $A, B \in I^S$. Then the *product* of A and B , denoted by $A \circ_F B$, is a fuzzy set in S defined as follows: for each $x \in S$,

$$(A \circ_F B)(x) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{yz=x} [A(y) \wedge B(z)] & \text{if } yz = x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.11 (See [16]). Let $\bar{A}, \bar{B} \in IFS(S)$. Then the *product* of \bar{A} and \bar{B} , denoted by $\bar{A} \circ_{IF} \bar{B}$, is an IFS in S defined as follows: for each $x \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bar{A} \circ_{IF} \bar{B})(x) \\ &= \begin{cases} (\bigvee_{yz=x} [A^\in(y) \wedge B^\in(z)], \bigwedge_{yz=x} [A^\notin(y) \vee B^\notin(z)]) & \text{if } yz = x \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.12 (See [31]). Let $\tilde{\tilde{A}}, \tilde{\tilde{B}} \in IVIS(S)$. Then the *product* of $\tilde{\tilde{A}}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{B}}$, denoted by $\tilde{\tilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\tilde{B}}$, is an IVIS in X defined as follows: for each $x \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{\tilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\tilde{B}})(x) \\ &= \begin{cases} [\bigvee_{yz=x} [\tilde{\tilde{A}}^\in(y) \wedge \tilde{\tilde{B}}^\in(z)], \bigwedge_{yz=x} [\tilde{\tilde{A}}^\notin(y) \vee \tilde{\tilde{B}}^\notin(z)]] & \text{if } yz = x \\ \tilde{\tilde{0}} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\tilde{A}}^\in(y) = [A^{\in,-}(y), A^{\in,+}(y)]$ and $\tilde{\tilde{A}}^\notin(y) = [A^{\notin,-}(y), A^{\notin,+}(y)]$.

Definition 3.13 (See [31]). Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle \tilde{\tilde{A}}, \bar{A}, A \rangle, \mathcal{B} = \langle \tilde{\tilde{B}}, \bar{B}, B \rangle \in IVIOS(S)$.

Then the *product* of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , denoted by $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}$, is an IVI-octahedron set in S defined as follows: for each $x \in S$,

$$(\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B})(x) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{yz=x} [\mathcal{A}(y) \wedge \mathcal{B}(z)] & \text{if } yz = x \\ \tilde{\tilde{0}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In fact, $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B} = \langle \tilde{\tilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\tilde{A}}, \bar{A} \circ_{IF} \bar{B}, A \circ_F B \rangle$.

The following is an immediate consequence of Proposition 6.13 in [31].

Theorem 3.14 (See Proposition 6.13 [31]). *Let $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(S)$. Then*

$$A \in IVIOSG(S) \text{ if and only if } \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}.$$

Lemma 3.15. *Let $\tilde{\tilde{A}} \in IVIFS(S)$. Then*

$$\tilde{\tilde{A}} \in IVIFLI(S) \text{ if and only if } \tilde{\tilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\tilde{A}} \subset \tilde{\tilde{A}}.$$

Proof. Suppose $\tilde{\tilde{A}} \in IVIOLI(S)$ and let $a \in S$ such that $a = xy$ for some $x, y \in S$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\tilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\tilde{A}})^\in(a) &= \bigvee_{a=xy} [\tilde{\tilde{1}}^\in(x) \wedge \tilde{\tilde{A}}^\in(y)] \\ &\leq \bigvee_{a=xy} [[1, 1] \wedge \tilde{\tilde{A}}^\in(xy)] \text{ [Since } \tilde{\tilde{A}} \in IVIFLI(S)] \\ &= \bigvee_{a=xy} [[1, 1] \wedge \tilde{\tilde{A}}^\in(a)] \\ &= \tilde{\tilde{A}}^\in(a). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $(\tilde{\tilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\tilde{A}})^\notin(a) \geq \tilde{\tilde{A}}^\notin(a)$. Thus $\tilde{\tilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\tilde{A}} \subset \tilde{\tilde{A}}$.

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds, let $\tilde{A} \in IVIFS(S)$ and let $a \in S$ such that $a = xy$ for some $x, y \in S$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}^\in(xy) &= \tilde{A}^\in(a) \\ &\geq (\tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A})^\in(a) \text{ [Since } \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A} \subset \tilde{A}] \\ &= \bigvee_{a=bc} [\tilde{\mathbf{1}}^\in(b) \wedge \tilde{A}^\in(c)] \\ &\geq \tilde{\mathbf{1}}^\in(x) \wedge \tilde{A}^\in(y) \text{ [Since } a = xy] \\ &= [1, 1] \wedge \tilde{A}^\in(y) \\ &= \tilde{A}^\in(y). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get $\tilde{A}^\neq(xy) \leq \tilde{A}^\neq(y)$. Thus $\tilde{A} \in IVIFLI(S)$. □

From 3.15, 2.6 in [24] and 2.4 in [9], we get the following.

Theorem 3.16. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(S)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{A} \in IVIOLI(S) \text{ if and only if } \ddot{\mathbf{1}} \circ \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}.$$

The following is the dual of Lemma 3.15.

Lemma 3.17. *Let $\tilde{A} \in IVIFS(S)$. Then*

$$\tilde{A} \in IVIFRI(S) \text{ if and only if } \tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \subset \tilde{A}.$$

From Lemmas 3.17, 2.7' in [24] and 2.5 in [9], we have the dual of Theorem 3.16.

Theorem 3.18. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(S)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{A} \in IVIORI(S) \text{ if and only if } \mathcal{A} \circ \ddot{\mathbf{1}} \subset \mathcal{A}.$$

The following is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 3.15 and 3.17.

Lemma 3.19. *Let $\tilde{A} \in IVIFS(S)$. Then*

$$\tilde{A} \in IVIFRI(S) \text{ if and only if } \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A} \text{ and } \tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \subset \tilde{A}.$$

From Theorems 3.16 and 3.18, we get the following.

Theorem 3.20. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(S)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{A} \in IVIOI(S) \text{ if and only if } \ddot{\mathbf{1}} \circ \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \mathcal{A} \circ \ddot{\mathbf{1}} \subset \mathcal{A}.$$

Definition 3.21 ([31]). Let X be a nonempty set, let $\tilde{a} \in ([I] \oplus [I]) \times (I \oplus I) \times I$ and let $\mathcal{A} = \langle \tilde{A}, \bar{A}, A \rangle \in IVIOS(X)$. Then two subsets $[\mathcal{A}]_{\tilde{a}}$ and $[\mathcal{A}]_{\tilde{a}}^*$ of X are defined as follows:

$$[\mathcal{A}]_{\tilde{a}} = \{x \in X : \tilde{A}(x) \geq \tilde{a}, \bar{A}(x) \geq \bar{a}, A(x) \geq a\}.$$

In this case, $[\mathcal{A}]_{\tilde{a}}$ is called an \tilde{a} -level set of \mathcal{A} .

From Remark 4.3 in [35], it is clear that

$$[\mathcal{A}]_{\tilde{a}} = [\tilde{A}]_{\tilde{a}} \cap [\bar{A}]_{\bar{a}} \cap [A]_a,$$

where $[\tilde{A}]_{\tilde{a}}$, $[\bar{A}]_{\bar{a}}$ and $[A]_a$ denote the \tilde{a} -level set of \tilde{A} , the \bar{a} -level set of \bar{A} and the a -level set of A (See [35], [16] and [3]).

Result 3.22 (Proposition 4.4 [35]). Let X be a nonempty set, let $\tilde{\tilde{a}}, \tilde{\tilde{b}}$ be two IVI-octahedron numbers and let $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(X)$. If $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \leq \tilde{\tilde{b}}$, then $[\tilde{\tilde{A}}]_{\tilde{\tilde{b}}} \subset [\tilde{\tilde{A}}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}}}$.

Theorem 3.23. Let $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(S)$. Then $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$ [resp. $IVIOI(S)$, $IVIOLI(S)$ and $IVIORI(S)$] if and only if $[\mathcal{A}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}}} \in SG(S)$ [resp. $I(S)$, $LI(S)$ and $RI(S)$] for each IVI-octahedron number $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ such that $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \neq \tilde{\tilde{0}}$, $\bar{a} \neq \bar{0}$ and $a \neq 0$, where $SG(S)$ denotes the set of all subsemigroups of S .

Proof. The proof of the necessary condition is straightforward from 6.27 in [31].

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds. We prove the first part and the third part, and the remainder's proofs are omitted.

Suppose $[\mathcal{A}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}}} \in SG(S)$. Since $[\mathcal{A}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}}} = [\tilde{\tilde{A}}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}}} \cap [\bar{A}]_{\bar{a}} \cap [A]_a$, $[\tilde{\tilde{A}}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}}}$, $[\bar{A}]_{\bar{a}}$, $[A]_a \in SG(S)$. It is obvious that if $[\bar{A}]_{\bar{a}}$, then $\bar{A} \in IFSG(S)$ (See [23]). Then it is sufficient to show that if $[A]_a \in SG(S)$, then $A \in FSG(S)$ and if $[\tilde{\tilde{A}}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}}} \in SG(S)$, then $\tilde{\tilde{A}} \in IVIFSG(S)$.

(i) Suppose $[A]_a \in SG(S)$ and for any $x, y \in S$, let $A(x) = a$, $A(y) = b$, where $a, b \in I$. Then clearly, $A(x) = a \geq a \wedge b$, $A(y) = b \geq a \wedge b$, where $a \wedge b \in I$. Thus $x, y \in [A]_{a \wedge b}$. Since $[A]_{a \wedge b} \in SG(S)$, $xy \in [A]_{a \wedge b}$. So $A(xy) \geq a \wedge b = A(x) \wedge A(y)$. Hence $A \in FSG(S)$.

(ii) Suppose $[\tilde{\tilde{A}}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}}} \in SG(S)$ and for any $x, y \in S$, let $\tilde{\tilde{A}}(x) = \tilde{\tilde{a}}$, $\tilde{\tilde{A}}(y) = \tilde{\tilde{b}}$, where $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{b}}$ are interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy numbers. Then we have

$$\tilde{\tilde{A}}^\epsilon(x) = \tilde{\tilde{a}}^\epsilon \geq \tilde{\tilde{a}}^\epsilon \wedge \tilde{\tilde{b}}^\epsilon, \tilde{\tilde{A}}^\zeta(x) = \tilde{\tilde{a}}^\zeta \leq \tilde{\tilde{a}}^\zeta \vee \tilde{\tilde{b}}^\zeta$$

and

$$\tilde{\tilde{A}}^\epsilon(y) = \tilde{\tilde{b}}^\epsilon \geq \tilde{\tilde{a}}^\epsilon \wedge \tilde{\tilde{b}}^\epsilon, \tilde{\tilde{A}}^\zeta(y) = \tilde{\tilde{b}}^\zeta \leq \tilde{\tilde{a}}^\zeta \vee \tilde{\tilde{b}}^\zeta.$$

Thus $x, y \in [\tilde{\tilde{A}}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}} \wedge \tilde{\tilde{b}}}$. Since $[\tilde{\tilde{A}}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}} \wedge \tilde{\tilde{b}}} \in SG(S)$, $xy \in [\tilde{\tilde{A}}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}} \wedge \tilde{\tilde{b}}}$. So we get

$$\tilde{\tilde{A}}^\epsilon(xy) \geq \tilde{\tilde{a}}^\epsilon \wedge \tilde{\tilde{b}}^\epsilon = \tilde{\tilde{A}}^\epsilon(x) \wedge \tilde{\tilde{A}}^\epsilon(y), \tilde{\tilde{A}}^\zeta(xy) \leq \tilde{\tilde{a}}^\zeta \vee \tilde{\tilde{b}}^\zeta = \tilde{\tilde{A}}^\zeta(x) \vee \tilde{\tilde{A}}^\zeta(y).$$

Hence $\tilde{\tilde{A}} \in IVIFSG(S)$. Therefore by Remark 3.2 (1), $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$.

Suppose $[\mathcal{A}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}}} \in LI(S)$. It is well-known that if $[\bar{A}]_{\bar{a}}$, $[A]_a \in LI(S)$, then $\bar{A} \in IFLI(S)$, $A \in FLI(S)$ (See [23] and [11] respectively). Then it is sufficient to prove that $\tilde{\tilde{A}} \in IVIFLI(S)$. now suppose $[\tilde{\tilde{A}}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}}} \in LI(S)$ for each interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy number $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ and for each $y \in S$, let $\tilde{\tilde{A}} = \tilde{\tilde{a}}$. Then clearly, $y \in [\mathcal{A}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}}}$. Let $x \in S$. Since $[\mathcal{A}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}}} \in LI(S)$, $xy \in [\mathcal{A}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}}}$. Then we have

$$\tilde{\tilde{A}}^\epsilon(xy) \geq \tilde{\tilde{a}}^\epsilon = \tilde{\tilde{A}}^\epsilon(y), \tilde{\tilde{A}}^\zeta(xy) \leq \tilde{\tilde{a}}^\zeta = \tilde{\tilde{A}}^\zeta(y).$$

Thus $\tilde{\tilde{A}} \in IVIFLI(S)$. So by Remark 3.2 (1), $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOLI(S)$. □

4. IVI-OCTAHEDRON BI-IDEALS

In this section, we define an IVI-octahedron bi-ideal and deal with some of its properties.

A subsemigroup A of a semigroup S is called a *bi-ideal* of S , if $ASA \subset A$. We will denote the set of all bi-ideals of S as $BI(S)$.

Definition 4.1 (See [13]). Let $A \in FSG(S)$. Then A is called a *fuzzy bi-ideal* (briefly, FBI) of S , if $A(xyz) \geq A(x) \wedge A(z)$ for any $x, y, z \in S$.

We will denote the set of all FBIs of S as $FBI(S)$.

Definition 4.2 ([23]). Let $\bar{A} \in IFSG(S)$. Then \bar{A} is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal* (briefly, IFBI) of S , if it satisfies the following condition: for any $x, y, z \in S$, $\bar{A}(xyz) \geq A(x) \wedge \bar{A}(z)$, i.e., $A^\epsilon(xyz) \geq A^\epsilon(x) \wedge A^\epsilon(z)$, $A^\zeta(xyz) \leq A^\zeta(x) \vee A^\zeta(z)$.

We will denote the set of all IFBIs of S as $IFBI(S)$.

Definition 4.3. Let $\tilde{A} \in IVIFSG(S)$. Then \tilde{A} is called an *interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal* (briefly, IVIFBI) of S , if it satisfies the following condition: for any $x, y, z \in S$,

$$\tilde{A}(xyz) \geq \tilde{A}(x) \wedge \tilde{A}(z), \text{ i.e., } \tilde{A}^\epsilon(xyz) \geq \tilde{A}^\epsilon(x) \wedge \tilde{A}^\epsilon(z), \tilde{A}^\zeta(xyz) \leq \tilde{A}^\zeta(x) \vee \tilde{A}^\zeta(z).$$

We will denote the set of all IVIFBIs of S as $IVIFBI(S)$.

Definition 4.4. Let $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$. Then \mathcal{A} is called an *IVI-octahedron bi-ideal* (briefly, IVIOBI) of S , if it satisfies the following condition: for any $x, y, z \in S$,

$$\mathcal{A}(xyz) \geq \mathcal{A}(x) \wedge \mathcal{A}(z), \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$\tilde{A}(xyz) \geq \tilde{A}(x) \wedge \tilde{A}(z), \bar{A}(xyz) \geq \bar{A}(x) \wedge \bar{A}(z), A(xyz) \geq A(x) \wedge A(z).$$

We will denote the set of all IVIFBIs of S as $IVIFBI(S)$.

Remark 4.5. (1) From Definitions 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, it is obvious that for any $A \in IVIOSG(S)$, $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$ if and only if $\tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$, $\bar{A} \in IFBI(S)$ and $A \in FBI(S)$.

(2) If $A \in FBI(S)$, then $\langle ([A, A], [A^c, A^c]), (A, A^c), A \rangle \in IVIOBI(S)$.

(3) If $\bar{A} \in IFBI(S)$, then we can easily see that

$$\langle ([A^\epsilon, A^\epsilon], [A^\zeta, A^\zeta]), \bar{A}, A^\epsilon \rangle, \langle ([A^\epsilon, A^\epsilon], [A^\zeta, A^\zeta]), \bar{A}, A^{\zeta^c} \rangle \in IVIOBI(S).$$

(4) If $\tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$, then we can easily check that

$$\left\langle \tilde{A}, (A^{\epsilon,-}, A^{\zeta,-}), A^{\epsilon,-} \right\rangle, \left\langle \tilde{A}, (A^{\epsilon,+}, A^{\zeta,+}), A^{\epsilon,+} \right\rangle \in IVIOBI(S).$$

(5) If $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$, then $[]\mathcal{A}, \diamond\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$.

Example 4.6. Let $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ be the semigroup with the following Cayley table:

Consider the mapping $\mathcal{A}: S \rightarrow ([I] \oplus [I]) \times (I \oplus I) \times I$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}(1) = \tilde{\tilde{a}}, \mathcal{A}(2) = \tilde{\tilde{b}}, \mathcal{A}(3) = \tilde{\tilde{c}}, \mathcal{A}(4) = \tilde{\tilde{d}},$$

·	1	2	3	4
1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	1	1
3	1	1	2	1
4	1	1	2	2

Table 4.1

where $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}, \tilde{d}$ are IVI-octahedron numbers such that $\tilde{a} \geq \tilde{b} \geq \tilde{c}$ and $\tilde{b} \geq \tilde{d}$. Then clearly, $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$. Moreover, we can easily check that $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$ and thus $[\]\mathcal{A}, \diamond\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$.

The following shows that the notion of an IVIOBI in a semigroup S is an one of a bi-ideal.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\emptyset \neq A \in 2^S$. Then $A \in BI(S)$ if and only if $\chi_A \in IVIOBI(S)$.*

Proof. It is well-known that $A \in BI(S)$ if and only if $\chi_A \in FBI(S)$ from Theorem 1 in [9] (Also, see Lemma 2.4 in [12]) and $A \in BI(S)$ if and only if $\tilde{\chi}_A \in IFBI(S)$ from Proposition 2.5 in [23]. It is sufficient to prove that $A \in BI(S)$ if and only if $\tilde{\chi}_A \in IVIFBI(S)$.

Suppose $A \in BI(S)$. Then by Theorem 3.4, $\tilde{\chi}_A \in IVIFSG(S)$. Thus it is sufficient to show that for any $x, y, z \in S$,

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{\chi}_A^{\in}(xyz) = [\chi_A, \chi_A](xyz) \geq [\chi_A, \chi_A](x) \wedge [\chi_A, \chi_A](z),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \tilde{\chi}_A^{\notin}(xyz) = [\chi_{A^c}, \chi_{A^c}](xyz) \leq [\chi_{A^c}, \chi_{A^c}](x) \vee [\chi_{A^c}, \chi_{A^c}](z).$$

Let $x, y, z \in S$. Then we have, $x, z \in A$ or $x \notin A$ or $z \notin A$.

Case (i) Suppose $x, z \in A$. Then clearly, $\chi_A(x) = \chi_A(z) = 1$ and $\chi_{A^c}(x) = \chi_{A^c}(z) = 0$. Since $A \in BI(S)$, $xyz \in ASA \subset A$. Thus we have

$$\chi_A(xyz) = 1 = \chi_A(x) \wedge \chi_A(z), \quad \chi_{A^c}(xyz) = 0 = \chi_{A^c}(x) \wedge \chi_{A^c}(z).$$

Case (ii) Suppose $x \notin A$ or $z \notin A$. Then we get $\chi_A(x) = 0, \chi_{A^c}(x) = 1$ or $\chi_A(z) = 0, \chi_{A^c}(z) = 1$. Thus we have

$$\chi_A(xyz) \geq 0 = \chi_A(x) \wedge \chi_A(z), \quad \chi_{A^c}(xyz) \geq 1 = \chi_{A^c}(x) \wedge \chi_{A^c}(z).$$

So in either cases, the inequalities (4.1) and (4.2) hold.

The proof of the converse is similar to one of Proposition 2.5 in [23]. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\tilde{A} \in IVIFS(S)$. Then*

$$\tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S) \text{ if and only if } \tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A} \subset \tilde{A} \text{ and } \tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A} \subset \tilde{A}.$$

Proof. Suppose $\tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$. Since $\tilde{A} \in IVIFSG(S)$, by Theorem 3.14, $\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A} \subset \tilde{A}$. Let $a \in S$.

Suppose $(\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A})(a) = \tilde{\mathbf{0}} = ([0, 0], [1, 1])$. Then clearly, we have

$$\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A} \subset \tilde{A}.$$

Suppose $(\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A})(a) \neq \tilde{0}$. Then there are $x, y, p, q \in S$ such that

$$a = xy \text{ and } x = pq.$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A})^\in(a) &= [(\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}}) \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A}]^\in(a) \\ &= \bigvee_{a=xy} [(\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}})^\in(x) \wedge \tilde{A}^\in(y)] \\ &= \bigvee_{a=xy} [(\bigvee_{x=pq} [\tilde{A}^\in(p) \wedge \tilde{\mathbf{1}}^\in(q)]) \wedge \tilde{A}^\in(y)] \\ &= \bigvee_{a=xy} [(\bigvee_{x=pq} [\tilde{A}^\in(p) \wedge [1, 1]]) \wedge \tilde{A}^\in(y)] \\ &= \bigvee_{a=xy} [\tilde{A}^\in(p) \wedge \tilde{A}^\in(y)] \\ &\leq \bigvee_{a=xy} \tilde{A}^\in(pqy) \text{ [Since } \tilde{A} \in IVIFSG(S)] \\ &= \tilde{A}^\in(a), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A})^\neq(a) &= [(\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}}) \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A}]^\neq(a) \\ &= \bigwedge_{a=xy} [(\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}})^\neq(x) \vee \tilde{A}^\neq(y)] \\ &= \bigwedge_{a=xy} [(\bigwedge_{x=pq} [\tilde{A}^\neq(p) \vee \tilde{\mathbf{1}}^\neq(q)]) \vee \tilde{A}^\neq(y)] \\ &= \bigwedge_{a=xy} [(\bigwedge_{x=pq} [\tilde{A}^\neq(p) \vee [0, 0]]) \vee \tilde{A}^\neq(y)] \\ &= \bigwedge_{a=xy} [\tilde{A}^\neq(p) \vee \tilde{A}^\neq(y)] \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{a=xy} \tilde{A}^\neq(pqy) \text{ [Since } \tilde{A} \in IVIFSG(S)] \\ &= \tilde{A}^\neq(a). \end{aligned}$$

So $\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A} \subset \tilde{A}$.

Conversely, suppose the necessary conditions hold. Since $\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A} \subset \tilde{A}$, by Theorem 3.14, $\tilde{A} \in IVIFSG(S)$. Let $x, y, z \in S$ and let $a = xyz$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}^\in(xyz) &= \tilde{A}^\in(a) \\ &\geq (\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A})^\in(a) \text{ [By the hypothesis]} \\ &= ((\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}}) \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A})^\in(a) \\ &= \bigvee_{a=bc} [(\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}})^\in(b) \wedge \tilde{A}^\in(c)] \\ &\geq (\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}})^\in(xy) \wedge \tilde{A}^\in(z) \text{ [Since } a = xyz] \\ &= (\bigvee_{xy=pq} [\tilde{A}^\in(p) \wedge \tilde{\mathbf{1}}^\in(q)]) \wedge \tilde{A}^\in(z) \\ &\geq \tilde{A}^\in(x) \wedge \tilde{\mathbf{1}}^\in(y) \wedge \tilde{A}^\in(z) \\ &= \tilde{A}^\in(x) \wedge [1, 1] \wedge \tilde{A}^\in(z) \\ &= \tilde{A}^\in(x) \wedge \tilde{A}^\in(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\tilde{A}^\in(xyz) \geq \tilde{A}^\in(x) \wedge \tilde{A}^\in(z)$. Similarly, we have $\tilde{A}^\neq(xyz) \leq \tilde{A}^\neq(x) \vee \tilde{A}^\neq(z)$. So $\tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$. \square

Theorem 4.9. Let $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(S)$. Then

$$\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S) \text{ if and only if } \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \mathcal{A} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.7 in [7], it is obvious that from lemma 2.7 the following holds:

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{A} \in FBI(S) \text{ if and only if } \mathcal{A} \circ_F \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \mathbf{1} \circ_F \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}.$$

Also, from Lemma 4.8, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S) \text{ if and only if } \tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A} \subset \tilde{A} \text{ and } \tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{1} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A} \subset \tilde{A}.$$

Then it is sufficient to show that the following hold:

$$(4.5) \quad \bar{A} \in IFBI(S) \text{ if and only if } \bar{A} \circ_{IF} \bar{A} \subset \bar{A} \text{ and } \bar{A} \circ_{IF} \bar{1} \circ_{IF} \bar{A} \subset \bar{A}.$$

(4.5) can be proved similarly to Lemma 4.8. Thus from (4.3), (4.4), Lemma 4.8 and Remark 4.5 (1), the result holds. \square

Lemma 4.10. *S is a group if and only if every IVIFBI of S is a constant mapping.*

Proof. Suppose S is a group with the identity e. Let $\tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$ and let $a \in S$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}^\infty(a) &= \tilde{A}^\infty(eae) \geq \tilde{A}^\infty(e) \wedge \tilde{A}^\infty(e) \text{ [Since } \tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)\text{]} \\ &= \tilde{A}^\infty(e) = \tilde{A}^\infty(ee) = \tilde{A}^\infty((aa^{-1})(a^{-1}a)) = \tilde{A}^\infty(a(a^{-1}a^{-1}a)) \\ &\geq \tilde{A}^\infty(a) \wedge \tilde{A}^\infty(a) \text{ [Since } \tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)\text{]} \\ &= \tilde{A}^\infty(a). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get $\tilde{A}^\neq(a) = \tilde{A}^\neq(e)$. Thus $\tilde{A}(a) = \tilde{A}(e)$. So \tilde{A} is a constant mapping.

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds. Assume that S is not a group. Then it is well-known (84 pages in [36]) that S contains a proper bi-ideal A of S. Thus there is $x \in S$ such that $x \notin A$. Let $y \in A$ such that $y \neq x$. Since $A \in BI(S)$, by Theorem 4.7, $\tilde{\chi}_A \in IVIFBI(S)$. Then by the hypothesis, $\tilde{\chi}_A$ is a constant mapping. Thus we have

$$\tilde{\chi}_A^\infty(x) = \tilde{\chi}_A^\infty(y), \text{ i.e., } \tilde{\chi}_A^\infty(x) = \tilde{\chi}_A^\infty(y) \text{ and } \tilde{\chi}_A^\neq(x) = \tilde{\chi}_A^\neq(y).$$

Since $x \notin A$ and $y \in A$, we get

$$\tilde{\chi}_A^\infty(x) = \tilde{0} = [0, 0] < [1, 1] = \tilde{1} = \tilde{\chi}_A^\infty(y)$$

and

$$\tilde{\chi}_A^\neq(x) = \tilde{1} = [1, 1] > [0, 0] = \tilde{0} = \tilde{\chi}_A^\neq(y).$$

So $\tilde{\chi}_A^\infty(x) = \tilde{0} \neq \tilde{1} = \tilde{\chi}_A^\infty(y)$. This is a contradiction. Hence S is a group. \square

From Remark 4.5 (1), Lemma 3.10, Proposition 2.6 in [23] and Theorem 2 in [9], we get the following.

Theorem 4.11. *S is a group if and only if every IVIOBI of S is a constant mapping.*

Lemma 4.12. *Every IVIFLI [resp. IVIFRI and IVIFI] of S is an IVIFBI of S.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{A} \in IVIFLI(S)$ and let $x, y, z \in S$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}^\infty(xyz) &= \tilde{A}^\infty(((xy)z)) \\ &\geq \tilde{A}^\infty(z) \text{ [Since } \tilde{A} \in IVIFLI(S)\text{]} \\ &\geq \tilde{A}^\infty(x) \wedge \tilde{A}^\infty(z), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}^\neq(xyz) &= \tilde{A}^\neq(((xy)z)) \\ &\leq \tilde{A}^\neq(z) \text{ [Since } \tilde{A} \in IVIFLI(S)\text{]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \tilde{A}^{\neq}(x) \vee \tilde{A}^{\neq}(z).$$

Thus $\tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$. Similarly, we can prove the remainders. \square

From Lemma 4.12, Proposition 2.7 in [23] and Lemma 2.3 in [6], we have the following.

Proposition 4.13. *Every IVIOLI [resp. IVIORI and IVIOI] of S is an IVIOBI of S .*

Lemma 4.14. *$\tilde{A} \in IVIS(S)$. Then $\tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$ if and only if $[\tilde{A}]_{\tilde{a}} \in BIS(S)$ for each interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy number \tilde{a} .*

Proof. Suppose $\tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$ and let \tilde{a} be any interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy number. Then by the hypothesis and Theorem 3.23, $[\tilde{A}]_{\tilde{a}} \in IVIFSG(S)$. Let $a \in [\tilde{A}]_{\tilde{a}} S [\tilde{A}]_{\tilde{a}}$. Then there are $x, z \in [\tilde{A}]_{\tilde{a}}$ and $y \in S$ such that $a = xyz$. Since $\tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$, we have

$$\tilde{A}^{\in}(a) \geq \tilde{A}^{\in}(x) \wedge \tilde{A}^{\in}(z) \geq \tilde{a}^{\in} \text{ and } \tilde{A}^{\neq}(a) \leq \tilde{A}^{\neq}(x) \vee \tilde{A}^{\neq}(z) \leq \tilde{a}^{\neq}.$$

Thus $a \in [\tilde{A}]_{\tilde{a}}$. So $[\tilde{A}]_{\tilde{a}} \subset [\tilde{A}]_{\tilde{a}}$. Hence $[\tilde{A}]_{\tilde{a}} \in BI(S)$.

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds. It is clear that $\tilde{A} \in IVIFSG(S)$. For any $x, z \in S$, let $\tilde{A}(x) = \tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{A}(z) = \tilde{b}$. Then by the process of the proof of the sufficient condition in Theorem 3.23, we get $x, z \in [\tilde{A}]_{\tilde{a} \wedge \tilde{b}}$. Let $y \in S$. Since $[\tilde{A}]_{\tilde{a} \wedge \tilde{b}} \in BI(S)$, $xyz \in [\tilde{A}]_{\tilde{a} \wedge \tilde{b}}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}^{\in}(xyz) &\geq \tilde{a}^{\in} \wedge \tilde{a}^{\in} = \tilde{A}^{\in}(x) \wedge \tilde{A}^{\in}(y), \\ \tilde{A}^{\neq}(xyz) &\leq \tilde{a}^{\neq} \vee \tilde{a}^{\neq} = \tilde{A}^{\neq}(x) \vee \tilde{A}^{\neq}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$. This completes the proof. \square

From Lemma 4.14, Proposition 2.8 in [23] and Lemma 3.4 in [37], we obtain the following consequence.

Theorem 4.15. *$\mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(S)$. Then $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$ if and only if $[\mathcal{A}]_{\tilde{a}} \in BIS(S)$ for each IVI-octahedron number \tilde{a} .*

Lemma 4.16. *$\tilde{A} \in IVIS(S)$ and let $\tilde{B} \in IVIFBI(S)$. Then $\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B}$, $\tilde{B} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$.*

Proof. $(\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B}) \circ_{IVI} (\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B}) = \tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} [\tilde{B} \circ_{IVI} (\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B})]$
 $\subset \tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} (\tilde{B} \circ_{IVI} \mathbf{1} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B})$ [Since $\tilde{A} \subset \mathbf{1}$]
 $\subset \tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B}$. [By Lemma 4.8]

Then by Theorem 3.14 and Remark 3.2 (1), $\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B} \in IVIFSG(S)$. On the other hand,

$$(\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B}) \circ_{IVI} \mathbf{1} \circ_{IVI} (\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B}) = \tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} [\tilde{B} \circ_{IVI} (\mathbf{1} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A}) \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \subset \tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} (\tilde{B} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B}) \\ & \quad [\text{Since } \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A} \subset \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} = \tilde{\mathbf{1}}] \\ & \subset \tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B}. \quad [\text{By Lemma 4.8}] \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Lemma 4.8, $\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B} \in IVIBI(S)$. It can be proved a similar way that $\tilde{B} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A} \in IVIBI(S)$. \square

From Lemma 4.16, Proposition 2.4 in [21] and Lemma 2.8 in [7], we get the following.

Proposition 4.17. $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(S)$ and let $\mathcal{B} \in IVIOBI(S)$. Then $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$.

5. DUO SEMIGROUPS

In this section, we define an IVI-octahedron duo and obtain some of its properties.

A semigroup S is said to be *left* [resp. *right*] *duo* (briefly, LD [resp. RD]), if every left [resp. right] ideal of S is an ideal of S . A semigroup S is said to be *duo* (briefly, D), if it is both left and right duo (See [36]). A semigroup S is said to be *fuzzy left* [resp. *right*] *duo* (briefly, FLD [resp. FRD]), if every fuzzy left [resp. right] ideal of S is a fuzzy ideal of S and S is said to be *fuzzy duo* (briefly, FD), if it is both fuzzy left and fuzzy right duo (See [6]). A semigroup S is said to be *intuitionistic fuzzy left* [resp. *right*] *duo* (briefly, IFLD [resp. IFRD]), if every intuitionistic fuzzy left [resp. right] ideal of S is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of S and S is said to be *intuitionistic fuzzy duo* (briefly, IFD), if it is both intuitionistic fuzzy left and intuitionistic fuzzy right duo (See [23]). A semigroup S is said to be *regular*, if for each $a \in S$, there is $x \in S$ such that $a = axa$.

Now we have the similar definitions.

Definition 5.1. A semigroup S is said to be:

- (i) *interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy left duo* (briefly, IVIFLD), if every IVIFLI of S is an IVIFI of S ,
- (ii) *interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right duo* (briefly, IVIFRD), if every IVIFRI of S is an IVIFI of S ,
- (iii) *interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy duo* (briefly, IVIFD), if it is both IVIFLD and IVIFRD.

Definition 5.2. A semigroup S is said to be:

- (i) *IVI-octahedron left duo* (briefly, IVIOLD), if every IVIOLI of S is an IVIOI of S ,
- (ii) *IVI-octahedron right duo* (briefly, IVIORD), if every IVIORI of S is an IVIOI of S ,
- (iii) *IVI-octahedron duo* (briefly, IVIOD), if it is both IVIOLD and IVIORD.

Lemma 5.3. Let S be a regular semigroup. Then S is LD if and only if S is IVIFLD.

Proof. Suppose S is LD. Let $\tilde{A} \in IVIFLI(S)$ and let $a, b \in S$. Since the left ideal Sa is an ideal of S and S is regular, we get

$$ab \in (aSa)b \subset (Sa)S \subset Sa.$$

Then there is $x \in S$ such that $ab = xa$. Since $\tilde{A} \in IVIFLI(S)$, we get

$$\tilde{A}^\in(ab) = \tilde{A}^\in(xa) \geq \tilde{A}^\in(a) \text{ and } \tilde{A}^\neq(ab) = \tilde{A}^\neq(xa) \leq \tilde{A}^\neq(a).$$

Thus $\tilde{A} \in IVIFRI(S)$. So $\tilde{A} \in IVIFI(S)$. Hence S is IVIFLD.

Conversely, suppose S is IVIFLD and let $A \in LI(S)$. Then by Remark 3.6 (1) and Theorem 3.9, $\tilde{\chi}_A \in IVIFLI(S)$. Thus by the hypothesis, $\tilde{\chi}_A \in IVIFI(S)$. Since $A \neq \emptyset$, by Remark 3.6 (3) and Theorem 3.9, $A \in I(S)$. So S is LD. \square

From Lemma 5.3, Proposition 3.1 in [23] and Theorem 3.1 in [6], we have the following consequence.

Theorem 5.4. *Let S be a regular semigroup. Then S is LD if and only if S is IVIOLD.*

The following is the dual of Lemma 5.3.

Lemma 5.5. *Let S be a regular semigroup. Then S is RD if and only if S is IVIFRD.*

From Lemma 5.5, Proposition 3.1' in [23] and Theorem 3.2 in [6], we have the following consequence.

Theorem 5.6. *Let S be a regular semigroup. Then S is RD if and only if S is IVIORD.*

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorems 5.4 and 5.6.

Corollary 5.7. *Let S be a regular semigroup. Then S is D if and only if S is IVIOD.*

Lemma 5.8. *Let S be a regular semigroup. Then every bi-ideal of S is a right ideal of S if and only if every IVIFBI of S is an IVIFRI of S .*

Proof. Suppose every bi-ideal of S is a right ideal of S . Let $\tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$ and let $a, b \in S$. Then clearly, $aSa \in BI(S)$. Thus by the hypothesis, $aSa \in RI(S)$. Since S is regular, we have

$$ab \in (aSa)S \subset aSa.$$

So there is $x \in S$ such that $ab = axa$. Since $\tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$, we get

$$\tilde{A}^\in(ab) = \tilde{A}^\in(axa) \geq \tilde{A}^\in(a) \wedge \tilde{A}^\in(a) = \tilde{A}^\in(a).$$

Similarly, we have $\tilde{A}^\neq(ab) \leq \tilde{A}^\neq(a)$. Hence $\tilde{A} \in IVIFRI(S)$.

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds and let $A \in BI(S)$. Then by Remark 4.5 (1) and Theorem 4.6, $\tilde{\chi}_A \in IVIFBI(S)$. Thus by the hypothesis, $\tilde{\chi}_A \in IVIFRI(S)$. Since $A \neq \emptyset$, by Remark 3.6 (2) and Theorem 3.9, $A \in RI(S)$. So the sufficient condition holds. \square

From Lemma 5.8, Proposition 3.3 in [23] and Theorem 3.4 in [6], we obtain the following.

Theorem 5.9. *Let S be a regular semigroup. Then every bi-ideal of S is a right ideal of S if and only if every IVIOBI of S is an IVIORI of S .*

The followings are the duals of Lemma 5.8 and Theorem 5.9 respectively.

Lemma 5.10. *Let S be a regular semigroup. Then every bi-ideal of S is a left ideal of S if and only if every IVIFBI of S is an IVIFLI of S .*

Theorem 5.11. *Let S be a regular semigroup. Then every bi-ideal of S is a right ideal of S if and only if every IVIOBI of S is an IVIOLI of S .*

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorems 5.9 and 5.11.

Theorem 5.12. *Let S be a regular semigroup. Then every bi-ideal of S is an ideal of S if and only if every IVIOBI of S is an IVIOI of S .*

Corollary 5.13. *Let S be a regular duo semigroup. Then $\mathcal{A} \in \text{IVIORI}(S)$ for each $\mathcal{A} \in \text{IVIOBI}(S)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \text{IVIOBI}(S)$. It is well-known that every bi-ideal of a regular left duo semigroup is a right ideal of it (See [38], Theorem 30). Then from this and Theorem 5.9, $\mathcal{A} \in \text{IVIORI}(S)$. \square

A semigroup is called a *semilattice of groups* ([36]), if it is the set-theoretical union of a set of mutually disjoint subgroups G_α ($\alpha \in \Gamma$), i.e., $S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} G_\alpha$ such that for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, $G_\alpha G_\beta \subset G_\gamma$ and $G_\beta G_\alpha \subset G_\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Corollary 5.14. *Let S be semigroup which is a semilattice of groups. Then $\mathcal{A} \in \text{IVIOI}(S)$ for each $\mathcal{A} \in \text{IVIOBI}(S)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \text{IVIOBI}(S)$. It is well-known that every bi-ideal of such semigroup S is an ideal of S (See [39], Theorem 4). Then from this and Theorem 5.12, $\mathcal{A} \in \text{IVIOI}(S)$. \square

Let us $L[a]$ [resp. $J[a]$] denote the principal left ideal [resp. ideal] of a semigroup S generated by $a \in S$, i.e.,

$$L[a] = \{a\} \cup Sa,$$

$$J[a] = \{a\} \cup Sa \cup aS \cup SaS.$$

It is well-known ([36], Lemma 2.13) that if S is a regular semigroup, then $L[a] = Sa$ for each $a \in S$.

A semigroup S is said to be *right* [resp. *left*] *zero*, if $xy = y$ [resp. $xy = x$] for any $x, y \in S$. Then we get the following.

Lemma 5.15. *Let S be a regular semigroup and let E_S be the set of all idempotent elements of S . Then E_S forms a left zero subsemigroup of S if and only if for each $\tilde{A} \in \text{IVIFLI}(S)$, $\tilde{A}(e) = \tilde{A}(f)$ for any $e, f \in E_S$.*

Proof. Suppose E_S forms a left zero subsemigroup of S . Let $\tilde{A} \in \text{IVIFLI}(S)$ and let $e, f \in E_S$. Then by the hypothesis, $ef = e$ and $fe = f$. Since $\tilde{A} \in \text{IVIFLI}(S)$, we have

$$\tilde{A}^\infty(e) = \tilde{A}^\infty(ef) \geq \tilde{A}^\infty(f) = \tilde{A}^\infty(fe) \geq \tilde{A}^\infty(e)$$

and

$$\tilde{A}^\neq(e) = \tilde{A}^\neq(ef) \leq \tilde{A}^\neq(f) = \tilde{A}^\neq(fe) \leq \tilde{A}^\neq(e).$$

Thus $\widetilde{A}(e) = \widetilde{A}(f)$.

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds. Since S is regular, $E_S \neq \emptyset$. Let $e, f \in E_S$. Then by Remark 3.6 (1) and Theorem 3.9, it is clear that

$$\widetilde{\chi_{L(f)}} \in IVIFLI(S).$$

Thus we have

$$\widetilde{\chi_{L(f)}}^\in(e) = \widetilde{\chi_{L(f)}}^\in(f) = [1, 1] \text{ and } \widetilde{\chi_{L(f)}}^\zeta(e) = \widetilde{\chi_{L(f)}}^\zeta(f) = [0, 0].$$

So $e \in L(f) = Sf$. Hence there is $x \in S$ such that $e = xf = xff = ef$. Therefore E_S is a left zero semigroup. \square

Corollary 5.16. *Let S be an idempotent semigroup. Then E_S is left zero if and only if for each $\widetilde{A} \in IVIFLI(S)$, $\widetilde{A}(e) = \widetilde{A}(f)$ for any $e, f \in E_S$.*

From Lemma 5.15, Proposition 3.5 in [23] and Theorem 3.9 in [6], we get the following.

Theorem 5.17. *Let S be a regular semigroup. Then E_S forms a left zero subsemigroup of S if and only if for each $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOLI(S)$, $\mathcal{A}(e) = \mathcal{A}(f)$ for any $e, f \in E_S$.*

The following is an immediate consequence of Corollaries 3.16, 3.5 in [23] and 3.10 in [6].

Corollary 5.18. *Let S be an idempotent semigroup. Then E_S is left zero if and only if for each $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOLI(S)$, $\mathcal{A}(e) = \mathcal{A}(f)$ for any $e, f \in E_S$.*

The following is the dual of Theorem 5.17.

Theorem 5.19. *Let S be a regular semigroup. Then E_S forms a right zero subsemigroup of S if and only if for each $\mathcal{A} \in IVIORI(S)$, $\mathcal{A}(e) = \mathcal{A}(f)$ for any $e, f \in E_S$.*

The following is the dual of Corollary 5.18.

Corollary 5.20. *Let S be an idempotent semigroup. Then E_S is right zero if and only if for each $\mathcal{A} \in IVIORI(S)$, $\mathcal{A}(e) = \mathcal{A}(f)$ for any $e, f \in E_S$.*

Lemma 5.21. *Let S be a regular semigroup. Then S is a group if and only if for each $\widetilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$, $\widetilde{A}(e) = \widetilde{A}(f)$ for any $e, f \in E_S$.*

Proof. Suppose S is a group and let $\widetilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$. Then by Lemma 4.10, \widetilde{A} is a constant mapping. Thus $\widetilde{A}(e) = \widetilde{A}(f)$ for any $e, f \in E_S$.

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds and let $e, f \in E_S$. Let $B[x]$ denote the principal bi-ideal of S generated by $x \in S$, i.e., $B[x] = \{x\} \cup \{x^2\} \cup xSx$ (See p. 84 in [36]). Furthermore, if S is regular, then $B[x] = xSx$. Since $B[x]$ is bi-ideal of S , by Theorem 4.7, $\widetilde{\chi_{B[f]}} \in IVIFBI(S)$. Since $f \in B[f]$, we get

$$\widetilde{\chi_{B[f]}}^\in(e) = \widetilde{\chi_{B[f]}}^\in(f) = [1, 1] \text{ and } \widetilde{\chi_{B[f]}}^\zeta(e) = \widetilde{\chi_{B[f]}}^\zeta(f) = [0, 0].$$

Then $e \in B[f] = fSf$. Thus by the process of the proof Theorem 3.14 in [6], $e = f$. Since S is regular, $E_S \neq \emptyset$ and S contains exactly one idempotent. So from p. 33 (Ex. 4) in [36], it is obvious that S is a group. This completes the proof. \square

From Lemma 5.21, Proposition 3.6 in [23] and Theorem 3.14 in [6], we have the following.

Theorem 5.22. *Let S be a regular semigroup. Then S is a group if and only if for each $A \in IVIOBI(S)$, $A(e) = A(f)$ for any $e, f \in E_S$.*

6. REGULAR SEMIGROUPS

In this section, we deal with some characterizations of a regular semigroup by IVI-octahedron ideals and bi-ideals. It is well-known ([38], Theorem 2.6) that a semigroup S is regular if and only if $B = BSB$ for each $B \in BI(S)$. Also we give a characterization of a left [resp. right and completely] regular semigroup by IVIOLIs [resp. IVIORIs and IVIOBIs]. First of all, we will give a characterization of a regular semigroup by IVIFBIs.

Lemma 6.1. *Let S be a semigroup. Then S is regular if and only if $\tilde{A} = \tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A}$ for each $\tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$.*

Proof. Suppose S is regular. Let $\tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$ and let $a \in S$. Since S is regular, there is $x \in S$ such that $a = axa$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A})^\infty(a) &= \bigvee_{a=xy} [(\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}})^\infty(x) \wedge \tilde{A}^\infty(y)] \\ &\geq (\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}})^\infty(ax) \wedge \tilde{A}^\infty(a) \text{ [Since } a = axa] \\ &= (\bigvee_{ax=pq} [\tilde{A}^\infty(p) \wedge \tilde{\mathbf{1}}^\infty(q)]) \wedge \tilde{A}^\infty(a) \\ &\geq (\tilde{A}^\infty(a) \wedge \tilde{\mathbf{1}}^\infty(x)) \wedge \tilde{A}^\infty(a) \\ &= (\tilde{A}^\infty(a) \wedge [1, 1]) \wedge \tilde{A}^\infty(a) \\ &= \tilde{A}^\infty(a). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $(\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A})^\neq(a) \leq \tilde{A}^\neq(a)$. Thus $\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A} \supseteq \tilde{A}$. Since $\tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$, by Lemma 4.7, $\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{A}$. So $\tilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{A} = \tilde{A}$.

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds. Let $A \in BI(S)$ and let $a \in S$. By Remark 4.5 (1) and Theorem 4.7, $\tilde{\chi}_A \in IVIFBI(S)$. By the hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{a=yz} [(\tilde{\chi}_A \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}})^\infty(y) \wedge \tilde{\chi}_A^\infty(z)] &= [(\tilde{\chi}_A \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}}) \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\chi}_A]^\infty(a) \\ &= \tilde{\chi}_A^\infty(a) \\ &= [1, 1]. \text{ [By the hypothesis]} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get $\bigwedge_{a=yz} [(\tilde{\chi}_A \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}})^\neq(y) \vee \tilde{\chi}_A^\neq(z)] = [0, 0]$. Then there are $b, c \in S$ with $a = bc$ such that

$$(\tilde{\chi}_A \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}})^\infty(b) = ([1, 1], [0, 0]) \text{ and } \tilde{\chi}_A^\infty(c) = ([1, 1], [0, 0]).$$

Since $(\tilde{\chi}_A \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}})^\infty(b) = ([1, 1], [0, 0])$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{b=pq} [\tilde{\chi}_A^\infty(p) \wedge \tilde{\mathbf{1}}^\infty(q)] &= (\tilde{\chi}_A \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}})^\infty(b) = [1, 1], \\ \bigwedge_{b=pq} [\tilde{\chi}_A^\neq(p) \vee \tilde{\mathbf{1}}^\neq(q)] &= (\tilde{\chi}_A \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}})^\neq(b) = [0, 0]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus there are $d, e \in S$ with $b = de$ such that

$$\widetilde{\chi}_A(d) = ([1, 1], [0, 0]) \text{ and } \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}(e) = ([1, 1], [0, 0]).$$

So $d \in A, e \in S, c \in A$ and $a = bc = (de)c \in ASA$, i.e., $A \subset ASA$. Since $A \in BI(S)$, $ASA \subset A$. Hence $A = ASA$. Therefore S is regular. \square

From Lemma 6.1, Theorem 3.1 in [21] and Theorem 3.1 in [7], we have a characterization of a regular semigroup by IVIOBIs.

Theorem 6.2. *Let S be a semigroup. Then S is regular if and only if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \circ \mathbf{\ddot{i}} \circ \mathcal{A}$ for each $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$.*

Theorem 6.3. *Let S be a regular semigroup and let $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(S)$. Then $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$ if and only if there are $\mathcal{B} \in IVIORI(S)$ and $\mathcal{C} \in IVIOLI(S)$ such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \mathcal{A} \circ \mathbf{\ddot{i}} \circ \mathcal{A} \text{ [By Theorem 6.2]} \\ &= \mathcal{A} \circ \mathbf{\ddot{i}} \circ (\mathcal{A} \circ \mathbf{\ddot{i}} \circ \mathcal{A}) \text{ [By Theorem 6.2]} \\ &= [\mathcal{A} \circ (\mathbf{\ddot{i}} \circ \mathcal{A})] \circ (\mathbf{\ddot{i}} \circ \mathcal{A}) \\ &\subset (\mathcal{A} \circ \mathbf{\ddot{i}}) \circ (\mathbf{\ddot{i}} \circ \mathcal{A}) \\ &= \mathcal{A} \circ (\mathbf{\ddot{i}} \circ \mathbf{\ddot{i}}) \circ \mathcal{A} \\ &\subset \mathcal{A} \circ \mathbf{\ddot{i}} \circ \mathcal{A} \\ &\subset \mathcal{A}. \text{ [By Theorem 4.9]} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A} \circ \mathbf{\ddot{i}}) \circ (\mathbf{\ddot{i}} \circ \mathcal{A}).$$

On the other hand, we get

$$(\mathcal{A} \circ \mathbf{\ddot{i}}) \circ \mathbf{\ddot{i}} = \mathcal{A} \circ (\mathbf{\ddot{i}} \circ \mathbf{\ddot{i}}) \subset \mathcal{A} \circ \mathbf{\ddot{i}}.$$

So by Theorem 3.19, $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathbf{\ddot{i}} \in IVIORI(S)$. Similarly, we can see that $\mathbf{\ddot{i}} \circ \mathcal{A} \in IVIOLI(S)$. Hence the necessary condition holds.

Conversely, suppose that there are $\mathcal{B} \in IVIORI(S)$ and $\mathcal{C} \in IVIOLI(S)$ such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{C}$. Then by Proposition 4.13, $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in IVIOBI(S)$. Thus by Proposition 4.17, $\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{C} \in IVIOBI(S)$. So $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$. \square

Result 6.4 (Theorem 5, [40]; Theorem 41, [38]). *Let S be a semigroup. Then S is regular if and only if $B \cap J = BJB$ for each $B \in BI(S)$ and each $J \in I(S)$.*

Lemma 6.5. *Let S be a semigroup. Then S is regular if and only if*

$$(6.2) \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{J}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathcal{J}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \text{ for each } \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \in IVIFBI(S) \text{ and each } \widetilde{\mathcal{J}} \in IVIFI(S).$$

Proof. Suppose S is regular and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \in IVIFBI(S)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}} \in IVIFI(S)$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \in IVIFBI(S)$, by Lemma 4.8, we get

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathcal{J}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \circ_{IVI} \mathbf{\ddot{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}} \in IVIFI(S)$, by Theorem 3.19, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathcal{J}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathcal{J}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathcal{J}} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{J}}.$$

Then we have

$$(6.3) \quad \tilde{B} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{J} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B} \subset \tilde{B} \cap \tilde{J}.$$

In order to show that the converse inclusion holds, let $a \in S$. Since S is regular, there is $x \in S$ such that $a = axa (= axaxa)$. Since $\tilde{J} \in IVIFI(S)$, $\tilde{J}(axa) \geq \tilde{J}(ax) \geq \tilde{J}(a)$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{B} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{J} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B})^\epsilon(a) &= \bigvee_{a=yz} [\tilde{B}^\epsilon(y) \wedge (\tilde{J} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B})^\epsilon(z)] \\ &\geq \tilde{B}^\epsilon(a) \wedge (\tilde{J} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B})^\epsilon(axa) \text{ [Since } a = axaxa] \\ &= \tilde{B}^\epsilon(a) \wedge (\bigvee_{axaxa=pq} [\tilde{J}^\epsilon(p) \wedge \tilde{B}^\epsilon(q)]) \\ &\geq \tilde{B}^\epsilon(a) \wedge (\tilde{J}^\epsilon(axa) \wedge \tilde{B}^\epsilon(a)) \\ &\geq \tilde{B}^\epsilon(a) \wedge (\tilde{J}^\epsilon(a) \wedge \tilde{B}^\epsilon(a)) \\ &= \tilde{B}^\epsilon(a) \wedge \tilde{B}^\epsilon(a) \\ &= (\tilde{B} \cap \tilde{J})^\epsilon(a). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $(\tilde{B} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{J} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B})^\epsilon(a) \leq (\tilde{B} \cap \tilde{J})^\epsilon(a)$. Thus we get

$$(6.4) \quad \tilde{B} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{J} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B} \supset \tilde{B} \cap \tilde{J}.$$

So by (6.3) and (6.4), $\tilde{B} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{J} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B} = \tilde{B} \cap \tilde{J}$.

Conversely, suppose the condition (6.2) holds and let $\tilde{B} \in IVIFBI(S)$. Since $\tilde{\mathbf{1}} \in IVIFI(S)$, we have

$$\tilde{B} = \tilde{B} \cap \tilde{\mathbf{1}} = \tilde{B} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{B}.$$

Then by Lemma 6.1, S is regular. This completes the proof. \square

From Lemma 6.5, Theorem 3.3 in [21] and Theorem 3.4 in [7], we obtain a characterization of a regular semigroup by an IVIOBI and an IVIOI as a generalization of Result 6.4.

Theorem 6.6. *Let S be a semigroup. Then S is regular if and only if*

$$(6.5) \quad \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{J} \circ \mathcal{B} \text{ for each } \mathcal{B} \in IVIOBI(S) \text{ and each } \mathcal{J} \in IVIOI(S).$$

The following characterization of a regular semigroup is due to Theorem 1 of Iséki [41].

Result 6.7. *Let S be a semigroup. Then S is regular if and only if*

$$(6.6) \quad RL = R \cap L \text{ for each } R \in RI(S) \text{ and each } L \in LI(S).$$

Lemma 6.8. *Let S be a semigroup. Then S is regular if and only if*

$$(6.7) \quad \tilde{R} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{L} = \tilde{R} \cap \tilde{L} \text{ for each } \tilde{R} \in IVIFRI(S) \text{ and each } \tilde{L} \in IVIFLI(S).$$

Proof. Suppose S is regular and let $\tilde{R} \in IVIFRI(S)$, $\tilde{L} \in IVIFLI(S)$. Then by Lemmas 3.17 and 3.15, we get

$$\tilde{R} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{L} \subset \tilde{R} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \subset \tilde{R} \text{ and } \tilde{R} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{L} \subset \tilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{L} \subset \tilde{L}.$$

Thus we have

$$(6.8) \quad \widetilde{R} \cap \widetilde{L} \subset \widetilde{R} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{L}.$$

Now let $a \in S$. Since S is regular, there is $x \in S$ such that $a = axa$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{R} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{L})^\epsilon(a) &= \bigvee_{a=yz} [\widetilde{R}^\epsilon(y) \wedge \widetilde{L}^\epsilon(z)] \\ &\geq \widetilde{R}^\epsilon(ax) \wedge \widetilde{L}^\epsilon(a) \text{ [Since } a = axa\text{]} \\ &\geq \widetilde{R}^\epsilon(a) \wedge \widetilde{L}^\epsilon(a) \text{ [Since } \widetilde{R} \in IVIFRI(S)\text{]} \\ &= (\widetilde{R} \cap \widetilde{L})^\epsilon(a). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get $(\widetilde{R} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{L})^\zeta(a) \leq (\widetilde{R} \cap \widetilde{L})^\zeta(a)$. Thus we have

$$(6.9) \quad \widetilde{R} \cap \widetilde{L} \supset \widetilde{R} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{L}.$$

So by (6.8) and (6.9), the condition (6.7) holds.

Conversely, suppose the condition (6.7) holds and let $R \in RI(S)$, $L \in LI(S)$. Then by Remark 3.6 and Theorem 3.9, $\widetilde{\chi}_R \in IVIFRI(S)$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_L \in IVIFLI(S)$. Thus by the hypothesis, we have

$$(6.10) \quad \widetilde{\chi}_R \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\chi}_L = \widetilde{\chi}_R \cap \widetilde{\chi}_L.$$

In order to prove that $R \cap L \subset RL$, let $a \in R \cap L$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{a=yz} [\widetilde{\chi}_R^\epsilon(y) \wedge \widetilde{\chi}_L^\epsilon(z)] &= (\widetilde{\chi}_R \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\chi}_L)^\epsilon(a) \\ &= (\widetilde{\chi}_R \cap \widetilde{\chi}_L)^\epsilon(a) \\ &= \widetilde{\chi}_R^\epsilon(a) \wedge \widetilde{\chi}_L^\epsilon(a) \\ &= [1, 1] \wedge [1, 1] \\ &= [1, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $\bigwedge_{a=yz} [\widetilde{\chi}_R^\zeta(y) \vee \widetilde{\chi}_L^\zeta(z)] = [0, 0]$. This implies that there are $b, c \in S$ with $a = bc$ such that

$$\widetilde{\chi}_R^\zeta(b) = ([1, 1], [0, 0]) \text{ and } \widetilde{\chi}_L^\zeta(c) = ([1, 1], [0, 0]).$$

Thus $b \in R$ and $c \in L$, i.e., $a = bc \in RL$. So $R \cap L \subset RL$. It is obvious that $RL \subset R \cap L$. Hence $R \cap L = RL$, i.e., the condition (6.6) holds. Therefore by Result 6.7, S is regular. This completes the proof. \square

From Lemma 6.8, Theorem 3.4 in [21] and Theorem 3.6 in [7], We obtain another characterization of a regular semigroup by an IVIORI and an IVIOLI as a generalization of Result 6.7.

Theorem 6.9. *Let S be a semigroup. Then S is regular if and only if*

$$(6.11) \quad \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{L} \text{ for each } \mathcal{R} \in IVIORI(S) \text{ and each } \mathcal{L} \in IVIOLI(S).$$

Lemma 6.10. *Every IVIFI of a regular semigroup S is idempotent, i.e., $\widetilde{A} = \widetilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{A}$ for each $\widetilde{A} \in IVIFI(S)$.*

Proof. Let S be a regular semigroup and let $\widetilde{A} \in IVIFI(S)$. Then by Remark 3.6 (3) and Theorem 3.19, we have

$$\widetilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{A} \subset \widetilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \mathbf{1} \subset \widetilde{A}$$

and

$$\widetilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{A} \subset \widetilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}} \subset \widetilde{A}.$$

Thus by Lemma 4.8, $\widetilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$. Since S is regular, by Lemma 6.1, we get

$$\widetilde{A} = \widetilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{A} \subset \widetilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{A}.$$

So $\widetilde{A} = \widetilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{A}$. Hence \widetilde{A} is idempotent. □

From Lemma 6.10, Proposition 3.5 in [21] and Theorem 3.7 in [7], we get the following.

Proposition 6.11. *Every IVIOI of a regular semigroup S is idempotent, i.e., $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{A}$ for each $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOI(S)$.*

Now we deal with a characterization of a left [resp. right and completely] regular semigroup by IVIOLIs [resp. IVIORIs and IVIOBIs].

A semigroup S is said to be *left* [resp. *right*] *regular*, if for each $a \in S$, there is $x \in S$ such that $a = xa^2$ [resp. $a = a^2x$].

A semigroup S is said to be *completely regular*, if for each $a \in S$, there is $x \in S$ such that $a = axa$ and $ax = xa$.

For characterization of a left [resp. right] regular semigroup, see Theorem 4.2 in [36]. Also it is well-known ([36], Theorem 4.3) that S is completely regular if and only if it is left and right regular.

Lemma 6.12. *Let S be a semigroup. Then S is left regular if and only if for each $\widetilde{L} \in IVIFLI(S)$, $\widetilde{L}(a) = \widetilde{L}(a^2)$ for each $a \in S$.*

Proof. Suppose S is left regular. Let $\widetilde{L} \in IVIFLI(S)$ and let $a \in S$. Then by the hypothesis, there is $x \in S$ such that $a = xa^2$. Since $\widetilde{L} \in IVIFLI(S)$, we have

$$\widetilde{L}^\epsilon(a) = \widetilde{L}^\epsilon(xa^2) \geq \widetilde{L}^\epsilon(a^2) \geq \widetilde{L}^\epsilon(a).$$

Similarly, we get $\widetilde{L}^\zeta(a) \leq \widetilde{L}^\zeta(a^2) \leq \widetilde{L}^\zeta(a)$. Thus $\widetilde{L}(a) = \widetilde{L}(a^2)$.

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds and let $a \in S$. Then by Remark 3.6 (1) and Theorem 3.9, $\widetilde{\chi}_{L[a^2]} \in IVIFLI(S)$. Since $a^2 \in L[a^2]$,

$$\widetilde{\chi}_{L[a^2]}^\epsilon(a) = \widetilde{\chi}_{L[a^2]}^\epsilon(a^2) = [1, 1] \text{ and } \widetilde{\chi}_{L[a^2]}^\zeta(a) = \widetilde{\chi}_{L[a^2]}^\zeta(a^2) = [0, 0].$$

Thus $a \in L[a^2] = \{a^2\} \cup Sa^2$, i.e., there is $x \in S$ such that $a = xa^2$. So S is left regular. □

From Lemma 6.12, Proposition 5.1 in [23] and Theorem 5.1 in [6], we give a characterization of a left regular semigroup by IVIOLIs as a generalization of Theorem 4.2 in [36].

Theorem 6.13. *Let S be a semigroup. Then S is left regular if and only if for each $\mathcal{L} \in IVIOLI(S)$, $\mathcal{L}(a) = \mathcal{L}(a^2)$ for each $a \in S$.*

The following is the dual of Lemma 6.12.

Lemma 6.14. *Let S be a semigroup. Then S is right regular if and only if for each $\tilde{R} \in IVIFRI(S)$, $\tilde{R}(a) = \tilde{R}(a^2)$ for each $a \in S$.*

From Lemma 6.14, Proposition 5.1' in [23] and Theorem 5.2 in [6], we give a characterization of a right regular semigroup by IVIOLIs as a generalization of Theorem 4.2 in [36].

Theorem 6.15. *Let S be a semigroup. Then S is right regular if and only if for each $\mathcal{R} \in IVIORI(S)$, $\mathcal{R}(a) = \mathcal{R}(a^2)$ for each $a \in S$.*

Result 6.16 (p. 105, [42]). *Let S be a semigroup. Then the followings are equivalent:*

- (1) S is completely regular.
- (2) S is a union of groups.
- (3) $a \in a^2Sa^2$ for each $a \in S$.

Lemma 6.17. *Let S be a semigroup. Then the followings are equivalent:*

- (1) S is completely regular.
- (2) For each $\tilde{B} \in IVIFBI(S)$, $\tilde{B}(a) = \tilde{B}(a^2)$ for each $a \in S$.
- (3) For each $\tilde{L} \in IVIFLI(S)$ and each $\tilde{R} \in IVIFRI(S)$,

$$\tilde{L}(a) = \tilde{L}(a^2) \text{ and } \tilde{R}(a) = \tilde{R}(a^2) \text{ for each } a \in S.$$

Proof. It is obvious that (1) \iff (3) by Lemmas 6.12 and 6.14. It is sufficient to prove that (1) \iff (2).

Suppose the condition (1) holds. Let $\tilde{B} \in IVIFBI(S)$ and let $a \in S$. Then by Result 6.16, there is $x \in S$ such that $a = a^2xa^2$. Since $\tilde{B} \in IVIFBI(S)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}^\in(a) &= \tilde{B}^\in(a^2xa^2) \geq \tilde{B}^\in(a^2) \wedge \tilde{B}^\in(a^2) \\ &= \tilde{B}^\in(a^2) \geq \tilde{B}^\in(a) \wedge \tilde{B}^\in(a) \\ &= \tilde{B}^\in(a). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\tilde{B}^\neq(a) \leq \tilde{B}^\neq(a^2) \leq \tilde{B}^\neq(a)$. Thus $\tilde{B}(a) = \tilde{B}(a^2)$.

Conversely, suppose the condition (2) holds. For each $x \in S$, let $B[x]$ denote the principal bi-ideal of S generated by x , i.e.,

$$B[x] = \{x\} \cup \{x^2\} \cup xSx.$$

Let $a \in S$. Then by Remark 4.5 (1) and Theorem 4.7, $\widetilde{\chi_{B[a^2]}} \in IVIFBI(S)$. Since $a^2 \in B[a^2]$, we get

$$\widetilde{\chi_{B[a^2]}}^\in(a) = \widetilde{\chi_{B[a^2]}}^\in(a^2) = [1, 1] \text{ and } \widetilde{\chi_{B[a^2]}}^\neq(a) = \widetilde{\chi_{B[a^2]}}^\neq(a^2) = [0, 0].$$

Thus $a \in B[a^2] = \{a^2\} \cup \{a^4\} \cup a^2Sa^2$. So by Result 6.16, S is completely regular. \square

From Lemma 6.17, Proposition 5.2 in [23] and Theorem 5.3 in [6], we give a characterization of a right regular semigroup by IVIOLIs as a generalization of Result 6.16.

Theorem 6.18. *Let S be a semigroup. Then the followings are equivalent:*

- (1) S is completely regular.

- (2) For each $\mathcal{B} \in \text{IVIOBI}(S)$, $\mathcal{B}(a) = \mathcal{B}(a^2)$ for each $a \in S$.
 (3) For each $\mathcal{L} \in \text{IVIOI}(S)$ and each $\mathcal{R} \in \text{IVIORI}(S)$,
 $\mathcal{L}(a) = \mathcal{L}(a^2)$ and $\mathcal{R}(a) = \mathcal{R}(a^2)$ for each $a \in S$.

7. CONCLUSIONS

We introduced the notions of IVI-octahedron ideals and bi-ideals in a semigroup, and IVI-octahedron duo semigroups and studied some of their properties. Moreover, we discussed some characterizations of a regular semigroup and a left [resp. right] regular semigroup by IVIOIs and IVIOBIs.

In the future, we expect that one applies IVI-octahedron sets to *BCI/BCK*-algebras, topologies, category theory and decision-making problems, etc. Furthermore, we will try to study group structures and (semi)ring structures based on IVI-octahedron sets.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewers for valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control* 8 (1965) 338–353.
- [2] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy groups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 35 (1971) 512–517.
- [3] P. Sivaramakrishna Das, Fuzzy groups and level subgroups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 84 (1981) 264–269.
- [4] W. J. Liu, Fuzzy invariant subgroups and fuzzy ideals, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 8 (1982) 133–139.
- [5] T. K. Mukherjee and M. K. Sen, On fuzzy ideals of a ring I , *Fuzzy sets and systems* 21 (1987) 99–104.
- [6] N. Kuroki, On fuzzy ideals and fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 5 (1981) 203–215.
- [7] N. Kuroki, On fuzzy semigroups, *Inform. Sci.* 53 (1991) 203–236.
- [8] Khwancheewa Wattanatripop, Ronnason Chinram and Thawhat Changphas, Fuzzy almost bi-ideals in semigroups, *International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science* 13 (1) (2018) 51–58.
- [9] N. Kuroki, Fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.* 28 (1980) 17–21.
- [10] N. Kuroki, Fuzzy semiprime ideals in semigroups, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 8 (1982) 71–79.
- [11] X.-P. Wang and W.-J. Liu, Fuzzy regular subsemigroups in semigroups, *Inform. Sci.* 68 (1993) 225–231.
- [12] M. Shabir, Y. B. Jun and M. Bano, On prime fuzzy bi-ideals of semigroups, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems* 7 (3) (2010) 115–128.
- [13] Inheung Chon, On fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups, *Korean J. Math.* 19 (3) (2011) 321–330.
- [14] R. Biswas, Intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups, *Mathematical Forum* X (1989) 37–46.
- [15] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 20 (1986) 87–96.
- [16] K. Hur, S. Y. Jang and H. W. Kang, Intuitionistic fuzzy subgroupoids, *International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems* 2 (1) (2002) 92–147.
- [17] K. Hur, H. W. Kang and H. K. Song, Intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups and subrings, *Honam Math. J.* 25 (1) (2003) 19–41.
- [18] B. Banerjee and D. Kr. Basnet, Intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups and ideals, *J. Fuzzy Math. Forum* 11 (1) (2003) 139–155.
- [19] K. H. Kim and Y. B. Jun, Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of semigroups, *Indian J. pure Appl. Math.* 33 (2002) 443–449.
- [20] K. H. Kim and J. G. Lee, Intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals of semigroups, *Turk. J. Math.* 29 (2005) 201–210.

- [21] K. Hur, S. Y. Jang and P. K. Lim Intuitionistic fuzzy semigroups, *International Journal of Fuzzy logic and Intelligent Systems* 8 (3) (2008) 207–219.
- [22] K. H. Kim and Y. B. Jun, Intuitionistic fuzzy interior ideals of semigroups, *Int. J. Math. Math. Anal.* 27 (2001) 261–267.
- [23] K. Hur, K. J. Kim and H. K. Song, Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals and bi-ideals, *Honam Math. J.* 26 (3) (2004) 309–330.
- [24] K. Hur, H. W. Kang and J. H. Ryou, Intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideals of a semigroup, *International Mathematical Forum*, 1 (6) (2006) 257–274.
- [25] K. T. Atanassov and G. Gargov, Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 31 (1989) 343–349.
- [26] Naveed Yaqoob, Interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of regular LA-semigroups, *Thai J. Math.* 11 (3) (2013) 683–695.
- [27] Saleem Abdullah, Muhammad Aslam and Shah Hussain, Interval valued (α, β) -intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals of semigroups, *J. Appl. Math. & Informatics* 34 (1–2) (2016) 115–143.
- [28] D. Krishnaswamy, J. Jayaraj and T. Anitha, Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals in ternary semirings, *Romanian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science* 6 (1) (2016) 6–15.
- [29] K. R. Balasubramanian and V. Raja, Interval-valued intuitionistic Q -fuzzy k -ideals in ternary semirings, *Journal of Applied Science and Computations (JASC)* 5 (8) (2018) 94–99.
- [30] K. Arulmozhi1, V. Chinnadurai and A. Swaminathan, Interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-Ideals of Gamma near-rings, *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 7 (4.10) (2018) 1100–1104.
- [31] J. Kim, A. Borumand Saeid, J. G. Lee, Minseok Cheong and K. Hur, IVI-octahedron sets and their application to groupoids, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* 20 (2) (2020) 157–195.
- [32] Minseok Cheong and K. Hur, Intuitionistic interval-valued fuzzy sets, *J. Korean Institute of Intelligent Systems* 20 (6) (2010) 864–874.
- [33] J. Kim, G. Senel, P. K. Lim, J. G. Lee, K. Hur, Octahedron sets, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* 19 (3) (2020) 211–238.
- [34] L. A. Zadeh, The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning-I, *Inform. Sci.* 8 (1975) 199–249.
- [35] Sabina Kim, J. G. Lee, S. H. Han, K. Hur, IVI-octahedron subgroups and level subgroups, To be accepted to *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*
- [36] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Vol. I*, Math. Surveys No. 7, Amer. Soc. Providence, R.L. 1961.
- [37] Xiang-Yun Xie, Fuzzy ideals in semigroups*, (2016) 1–10
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265895470>.
- [38] S. Lajos, Theorems on $(1, 1)$ -ideals in semigroups, *K. Marx Univ. Economics Dept. Math., Budapest* 1972.
- [39] S. Lajos, On (m, n) -ideals in regular duo semigroups, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 31 (1970) 179–180.
- [40] N. Kuroki, Two-sided purity on regular semigroups, *Comment. Math. Uniu. St. Paul.* 24 (1975) 21–26.
- [41] K. Iséki, A characterization of regular semigroups, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 32 (1965) 676–677.
- [42] M. Petrich, *Introduction to Semigroups*, Columbus, Ohio 1973. 343–351.

S. H. HAN (shhan235@wku.ac.kr)

Department of Applied Mathematics, Wonkwang University, Korea

G. MUHIUDDIN (chishtygm@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Tabuk, Tabuk 71491, Saudi Arabia

K. HUR (kulhur@wku.ac.kr)

Department of Applied Mathematics, Wonkwang University, Korea

J. I. BAEK (jibaek@wku.ac.kr)

School of Big Data and Financial Statistics, Wonkwang University, Korea