

3 4 Regular nearness semigroups

5 Ö. TEKIN, M. A. ÖZTÜRK

6 Received 25 May 2022; Revised 21 June 2022; Accepted 16 July 2022

8 ABSTRACT. This paper concerned with basic concepts and some results
9 on regular semigroup on weak nearness approximation spaces. Also, it is
given an example related to the subject.

10 2020 AMS Classification: 03E75, 03E99, 20M17

11 Keywords: Near sets, Semigroups, Nearness approximation spaces, Weak near-
12 ness approximation spaces, Nearness semigroups, Regular nearness semigroups.

13 Corresponding Author: M. A. Öztürk (mehaliozturk@gmail.com)
14

15 16 1. INTRODUCTION

17 **S**emigroups, as the basic algebraic structure were used in the areas of theoretical
18 computer science as well as in the solutions of graph theory, optimization theory and
19 in particular for studying automata, coding theory and formal languages. In the
20 abstract theory of semigroups, the notion of regular element was first investigated
21 by Thierrin [1] as a generalization in the semigroup theory of the concept of inverse
22 element in the group theory. This subject of regular element has been effectively
23 used in the ideal theory of semigroups in Miller and Clifford [2]. Semigroups in
24 which all the elements are regular, is known to be regular semigroups. The regular
25 semigroups that the definition is copied from Von Neumann’s definition of a regular
26 ring in 1936 [3] are particularly possible to study in many areas. Ideal theory has
27 an important role in semigroup theory. Researchers have studied different kinds of
28 ideals in semigroups such as quasi-ideals, bi-ideals, interior ideals and so on. The
29 concept of interior ideals in semigroup is studied by Szasz [4].

30 Rough set theory studied by Pawlak can be seen as a new mathematical approach
31 to uncertainty [5]. The rough set idea is about assumption that every object of the
32 universe of discourse we deal with have some information. Afterwards, Peters defined
33 an indiscernibility relation by using the features of the objects to determine the
34 nearness of the objects [6] in 2002 as a generalization of rough set theory. Moreover,
35 he studied approach theory of the nearness of non-empty sets resembling each other
36 [7, 8]. In 2012, İnan and Öztürk investigated the concept of nearness semigroups [9]

37 and other algebraic approaches of near sets. Tekin defined bi nearness ideals and
 38 nearness quasi ideal in semirings [10, 11].

39 Reader can be found other nearness algebraic structures [12, 13, 14]. In this
 40 paper, we introduced the concept of nearness regular semigroups, nearness interior
 41 ideals and also studied some properties. Furthermore, we investigated some features
 42 of bi nearness ideals and quasi nearness ideals in regular semigroups.

43 2. PRELIMINARIES

44 A regular semigroup is a semigroup S in which every element is regular that is for
 45 each element $a \in S$ there exist an element $x \in S$ such that $a = axa$ that is $a \in aSa$
 46 [15].

47 **Definition 2.1** ([4]). Let S be a semigroup. A subsemigroup I of S is called an
 48 *interior ideal* of S , if $SIS \subseteq I$.

49 A nearness approximation space is a tuple $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}, \sim_{B_r}, N_r(B), \nu_{N_r})$ where the
 50 nearness approximation space is defined with a set of perceived objects \mathcal{O} , set of
 51 probe functions \mathcal{F} representing object features, indiscernibility relation \sim_{B_r} defined
 52 relative to $B_r \subseteq B \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, collection of partitions (families of neighbour-hoods) $N_r(B)$,
 53 and overlap function ν_{N_r} .

54 **Definition 2.2** ([9]). Let $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}, \sim_{B_r}, N_r(B), \nu_{N_r})$ be a nearness approximation
 55 space, let S be a near semigroup and let I a nonempty subset of S . If $N_r(B)^*(I)$ is
 56 a left (right, two sided) ideal of S , then I is called a *near left (right, two sided) ideal*
 57 of S .

58 **Definition 2.3** ([13]). Let \mathcal{O} be a set of sample objects, let \mathcal{F} be a set of the
 59 probe functions, let \sim_{B_r} be an indiscernibility relation and let N_r be a collection of
 60 partitions. Then, $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}, \sim_{B_r}, N_r(B))$ is called a *weak nearness approximation space*.

61 **Theorem 2.4** ([13]). Let $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}, \sim_{B_r}, N_r(B))$ be a weak nearness approximation
 62 space and let $X, Y \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. Then the following statements hold:

- 63 (1) $X \subseteq N_r(B)^* X$,
- 64 (2) $N_r(B)^*(X \cup Y) = N_r(B)^* X \cup N_r(B)^* Y$,
- 65 (3) $X \subseteq Y$ implies $N_r(B)^* X \subseteq N_r(B)^* Y$,
- 66 (4) $N_r(B)^*(X \cap Y) \subseteq N_r(B)^* X \cap N_r(B)^* Y$.

67 **Lemma 2.5** ([12]). Let S be a nearness semiring. The following properties hold:

- 68 (1) if $X, Y \subseteq S$, then $(N_r(B)^* X) + (N_r(B)^* Y) \subseteq N_r(B)^*(X + Y)$,
- 69 (2) if $X, Y \subseteq S$, then $(N_r(B)^* X)(N_r(B)^* Y) \subseteq N_r(B)^*(XY)$.

70 **Theorem 2.6** ([12]). Let S be a nearness semiring, let \sim_{B_r} be a complete congruence
 71 indiscernibility relation on S and let X, Y be two non-empty subsets of S . Then the
 72 following properties hold:

- 73 (1) $(N_r(B)^* X) + (N_r(B)^* Y) = N_r(B)^*(X + Y)$,
- 74 (2) $(N_r(B)^* X)(N_r(B)^* Y) = N_r(B)^*(XY)$.

75 **Definition 2.7** ([16]). Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{O}$, where $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}, \sim_{B_r}, N_r(B))$ is weak nearness
 76 approximation spaces. Then S is called a *semigroup on \mathcal{O}* (in short, *nearness semi-*
 77 *group*), if it satisfies the following conditions: for all $x, y \in S$,

- 78 (i) $xy \in N_r(B)^* S$,
 79 (ii) $(xy)z = x(yz)$ property holds in $N_r(B)^* S$.

80 **Definition 2.8** ([10]). Let S be a nearness semiring and let Q be a nearness sub-
 81 semigroup of S , where $Q \neq S$.

- 82 (i) Q is said to be *quasi-nearness ideal*, if $QS \cap SQ \subseteq N_r(B)^* Q$.
 83 (ii) Q is called a *quasi upper-near ideal* of S , if $(N_r(B)^* Q)S \cap S(N_r(B)^* Q) \subseteq$
 84 $N_r(B)^* Q$.

85 **Definition 2.9** ([11]). Let S be a nearness semiring and let A be a nearness sub-
 86 semigroup of S .

- 87 (i) A is called a *bi-nearness ideal*, if $ASA \subseteq N_r(B)^* A$.
 88 (ii) A is called a *bi-upper-near ideal* of S , if $(N_r(B)^* A)S(N_r(B)^* A) \subseteq N_r(B)^* A$.

89 **Definition 2.10** ([17]). Let S be a nearness semigroup and let Q be a nearness
 90 subsemigroup of S .

- 91 (i) Q is called a *quasi-nearness ideal* of S , if $QS \cap SQ \subseteq N_r(B)^* Q$.
 92 (ii) Q is called a *quasi upper-near ideal* of S , if $(N_r(B)^* Q)S \cap S(N_r(B)^* Q) \subseteq$
 93 $N_r(B)^* Q$.

94 **Lemma 2.11** ([17]). Let S be a nearness semigroup. If S is commutative, then each
 95 *quasi-nearness ideal* of S is *two-sided nearness ideal* of S .

96 3. REGULAR NEARNESS SEMIGROUPS

97 Definition 2.2 can be restated as follow for weak nearness approximation space
 98 by considering Definition 2.3.

99 **Definition 3.1.** Let S be a semigroup on weak nearness approximation space \mathcal{O}
 100 and let I be a non-empty subset of S .

- 101 (i) I is called a *right (left) nearness ideal* of S , if $IS \subseteq N_r(B)^* I$ ($SI \subseteq N_r(B)^* I$).
 102 (ii) I is called a *right (left) upper-near nearness ideal* of S , if $(N_r(B)^* I)S \subseteq$
 103 $N_r(B)^* I(S(N_r(B)^* I) \subseteq N_r(B)^* I)$.

104 A nearness ideal of S is both left as well as right nearness ideal.

105 **Definition 3.2.** Let S be a nearness semigroup and let I be a nearness subsemigroup
 106 of S .

- 107 (i) I is called a *bi-nearness ideal* of S , if $ISI \subseteq N_r(B)^* I$.
 108 (ii) I is called a *bi-upper-near ideal* of S , if $(N_r(B)^* I)S(N_r(B)^* I) \subseteq N_r(B)^* I$.

109 **Definition 3.3.** Let S be a nearness semigroup and let I be a nearness subsemigroup
 110 of S .

- 111 (i) I is called an *interior nearness ideal* of S , if $SIS \subseteq N_r(B)^* I$.
 112 (ii) I is called an *interior upper-near ideal* of S , if $S(N_r(B)^* I)S \subseteq N_r(B)^* I$.

Example 3.4. Let $\mathcal{O} = \{o, p, q, s, t, u, v, w, x, y, z\}$ be a set of perceptual objects
 where $B = \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is a set of probe functions and $S = \{t, u, v, x\} \subset \mathcal{O}$.
 For $r = 1$, values of the probe functions

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_1 : \mathcal{O} &\rightarrow V_1 = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4\}, \\ \chi_2 : \mathcal{O} &\rightarrow V_2 = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5\}, \\ \chi_3 : \mathcal{O} &\rightarrow V_3 = \{\beta_1, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5\}\end{aligned}$$

113 are given in the following table.

	<i>o</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>q</i>	<i>s</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>u</i>	<i>v</i>	<i>w</i>	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>
114 χ_1	β_1	β_2	β_3	β_4	β_2	β_4	β_3	β_4	β_4	β_1	β_1
χ_2	β_1	β_1	β_2	β_3	β_4	β_5	β_2	β_1	β_2	β_4	β_3
χ_3	β_1	β_3	β_1	β_1	β_4	β_5	β_4	β_5	β_4	β_1	β_3

115 Now, we determine the near equivalence classes according to the indiscernibility
116 relation \sim_{B_r} for \mathcal{O} .

$$\begin{aligned} [o]_{\chi_1} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_1(x) = \chi_1(o) = \beta_1\} = \{o, y, z\} \\ &= [y]_{\chi_1} = [z]_{\chi_1}, \\ [p]_{\chi_1} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_1(x) = \chi_1(p) = \beta_2\} = \{p, t\} \\ &= [t]_{\chi_1}, \\ [q]_{\chi_1} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_1(x) = \chi_1(q) = \beta_3\} = \{q, v\} \\ &= [v]_{\chi_1}, \\ [s]_{\chi_1} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_1(x) = \chi_1(s) = \beta_4\} = \{s, u, w, x\} \\ &= [u]_{\chi_1} = [w]_{\chi_1} = [x]_{\chi_1}. \end{aligned}$$

117 Then we get $\xi_{\chi_1} = \{[o]_{\chi_1}, [p]_{\chi_1}, [q]_{\chi_1}, [s]_{\chi_1}\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} [o]_{\chi_2} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_2(x) = \chi_2(o) = \beta_1\} = \{o, p, w\} \\ &= [p]_{\chi_2} = [w]_{\chi_2}, \\ [q]_{\chi_2} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_2(x) = \chi_2(q) = \beta_2\} = \{q, v, x\} \\ &= [v]_{\chi_2} = [x]_{\chi_2}, \\ [s]_{\chi_2} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_2(x) = \chi_2(s) = \beta_3\} = \{s, z\} \\ &= [z]_{\chi_2}, \\ [t]_{\chi_2} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_2(x) = \chi_2(t) = \beta_4\} = \{t, y\} \\ &= [y]_{\chi_2}, \\ [u]_{\chi_2} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_2(x) = \chi_2(u) = \beta_5\} = \{u\}. \end{aligned}$$

118 Thus we have $\xi_{\chi_2} = \{[o]_{\chi_2}, [q]_{\chi_2}, [s]_{\chi_2}, [t]_{\chi_2}, [u]_{\chi_2}\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 [o]_{\chi_3} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_3(x) = \chi_3(o) = \beta_1\} = \{o, q, s, y\} \\
 &= [q]_{\chi_3} = [s]_{\chi_3} = [y]_{\chi_3}, \\
 [p]_{\chi_3} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_3(x) = \chi_3(p) = \beta_3\} = \{p, z\} \\
 &= [z]_{\chi_3}, \\
 [t]_{\chi_3} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_3(x) = \chi_3(t) = \beta_4\} = \{t, v, x\} \\
 &= [v]_{\chi_3} = [x]_{\chi_3}, \\
 [u]_{\chi_3} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_3(x) = \chi_3(u) = \beta_5\} = \{u, w\} \\
 &= [w]_{\chi_3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

119 So we obtain $\xi_{\chi_3} = \{[o]_{\chi_3}, [p]_{\chi_3}, [t]_{\chi_3}, [u]_{\chi_3}\}$, and for $r = 1$, a set of partitions of
 120 \mathcal{O} is $N_1(B) = \{\xi_{\chi_1}, \xi_{\chi_2}, \xi_{\chi_3}\}$. Hence we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_1(B)^* S &= \bigcup_{[x]_{\chi_i} \cap S \neq \emptyset} [x]_{\chi_i} \\
 &= [p]_{\chi_1} \cup [q]_{\chi_1} \cup [s]_{\chi_1} \cup [q]_{\chi_2} \cup [t]_{\chi_2} \cup [u]_{\chi_2} \cup [t]_{\chi_3} \cup [u]_{\chi_3} \\
 &= \{p, q, s, t, u, v, w, x, y\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

121 Considering the following table of operation:

\cdot	t	u	v	x
t	s	v	u	w
u	x	w	t	v
v	w	x	s	u
x	u	t	w	s

123 Therefore (S, \cdot) is a semigroup on \mathcal{O} , i.e., (S, \cdot) is a nearness semigroup. Afterwards,
 124 it is taken $I = \{u, v, x\} \subseteq S$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_1(B)^* I &= \bigcup_{[x]_{\chi_i} \cap I \neq \emptyset} [x]_{\chi_i} \\
 &= [q]_{\chi_1} \cup [s]_{\chi_1} \cup [q]_{\chi_2} \cup [u]_{\chi_2} \cup [t]_{\chi_3} \cup [u]_{\chi_3} \\
 &= \{q, s, t, u, v, w, x\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

125 In this case, I is a nearness subsemigroup of S , and satisfies the condition $SIS \subseteq$
 126 $N_r(B)^* I$. Then I is an interior nearness ideal of S .

127 **Lemma 3.5.** *Let S be a nearness semigroup. If $N_r(B)^*(N_r(B)^* I) = N_r(B)^* I$,*
 128 *then every nearness ideal I of S is an interior nearness ideal I of S .*

Proof. Let S be a nearness semigroup and let I be a nearness ideal of S . Then we have

$$SI \subseteq N_r(B)^* I \Rightarrow SIS \subseteq (N_r(B)^* I)S.$$

By using Theorem 2.4 (2) and Lemma 2.5 (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} SIS &\subseteq (N_r(B)^* I)S \\ &\subseteq (N_r(B)^* I)(N_r(B)^* S) \\ &\subseteq N_r(B)^* (IS) \\ &\subseteq N_r(B)^* (N_r(B)^* I) \\ &= N_r(B)^* I. \end{aligned}$$

129 Thus $SIS \subseteq N_r(B)^* I$ and I is an interior nearness ideal of S . □

130 **Lemma 3.6.** *Let S be a commutative nearness semigroup. If $N_r(B)^* (N_r(B)^* I) =$*
 131 *$N_r(B)^* I$, then each quasi-nearness ideal I of S is a bi-nearness ideal I of S*

Proof. Let S be a commutative nearness semigroup and let I be a quasi-nearness ideal of S . We show that I is bi-nearness ideal of S . It is obvious that

$$ISI = (ISI) \cap (ISI) = I(SI) \cap (IS)I \subseteq S(SI) \cap (IS)S \subseteq (SS)I \cap I(SS).$$

132 Then by Theorem 2.4 (1) and Lemma 2.5 (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 133 \quad (SS)I \cap I(SS) &\subseteq (N_r(B)^* S)(N_r(B)^* I) \cap (N_r(B)^* I)(N_r(B)^* S) \\ 134 &\subseteq (N_r(B)^* (SI)) \cap (N_r(B)^* (IS)). \end{aligned}$$

135 Thus from Lemma 2.11, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 136 \quad (N_r(B)^* (SI)) \cap (N_r(B)^* (IS)) &\subseteq N_r(B)^* (N_r(B)^* I) \cap N_r(B)^* (N_r(B)^* I) \\ 137 &= N_r(B)^* (N_r(B)^* I) \\ 138 &= N_r(B)^* I. \end{aligned}$$

139 So, $ISI \subseteq N_r(B)^* I$ and I is a bi-nearness ideal of S . □

140 **Definition 3.7.** Let S be a nearness semigroup. The element $a \in S$ is called
 141 a *regular element*, if there exists $x \in S$ so that the property $axa = a$ holds in
 142 $N_r(B)^* S$. S is called a *nearness regular semigroup*, if all its elements of S are
 143 regular.

Example 3.8. Let $\mathcal{O} = \{o, p, q, s, t, u, v, w, x, y, z\}$ be a set of perceptual objects where $B = \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is a set of probe functions and $S = \{s, t, w, x\} \subset \mathcal{O}$. For $r = 1$, values of the probe functions

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1 : \mathcal{O} &\rightarrow V_1 = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5\}, \\ \chi_2 : \mathcal{O} &\rightarrow V_2 = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5\}, \\ \chi_3 : \mathcal{O} &\rightarrow V_3 = \{\beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5\} \end{aligned}$$

144 are given in the following table.

	o	p	q	s	t	u	v	w	x	y	z
145 χ_1	β_1	β_1	β_2	β_3	β_2	β_3	β_2	β_4	β_3	β_4	β_5
χ_2	β_1	β_2	β_2	β_3	β_3	β_1	β_4	β_4	β_5	β_1	β_2
χ_3	β_2	β_3	β_4	β_5	β_4	β_3	β_3	β_5	β_4	β_6	β_2

Next, it is found the near equivalence classes according to the indiscernibility relation \sim_{B_r} for \mathcal{O} .

$$\begin{aligned} [o]_{\chi_1} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_1(x) = \chi_1(o) = \beta_1\} = \{o, p\} \\ &= [p]_{\chi_1}, \\ [q]_{\chi_1} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_1(x) = \chi_1(q) = \beta_2\} = \{q, t, v\} \\ &= [t]_{\chi_1} = [v]_{\chi_1}, \\ [s]_{\chi_1} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_1(x) = \chi_1(s) = \beta_3\} = \{s, u, x\} \\ &= [u]_{\chi_1} = [x]_{\chi_1}, \\ [w]_{\chi_1} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_1(x) = \chi_1(w) = \beta_4\} = \{w, y\} \\ &= [y]_{\chi_1}, \\ [z]_{\chi_1} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_1(x) = \chi_1(z) = \beta_5\} = \{z\}. \end{aligned}$$

146 Then we get $\xi_{\chi_1} = \{[o]_{\chi_1}, [q]_{\chi_1}, [s]_{\chi_1}, [w]_{\chi_1}, [z]_{\chi_1}\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} [o]_{\chi_2} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_2(x) = \chi_2(o) = \beta_1\} = \{o, u, y\} \\ &= [u]_{\chi_2} = [y]_{\chi_2}, \\ [p]_{\chi_2} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_2(x) = \chi_2(p) = \beta_2\} = \{p, q, z\} \\ &= [q]_{\chi_2} = [z]_{\chi_2}, \\ [s]_{\chi_2} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_2(x) = \chi_2(s) = \beta_3\} = \{s, t\} \\ &= [t]_{\chi_2}, \\ [v]_{\chi_2} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_2(x) = \chi_2(v) = \beta_4\} = \{v, w\} \\ &= [w]_{\chi_2}, \\ [x]_{\chi_2} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_2(x) = \chi_2(x) = \beta_5\} = \{x\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\xi_{\chi_2} = \{[o]_{\chi_2}, [p]_{\chi_2}, [s]_{\chi_2}, [v]_{\chi_2}, [x]_{\chi_2}\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} [o]_{\chi_3} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_3(x) = \chi_3(o) = \beta_2\} = \{o, z\} \\ &= [z]_{\chi_3}, \\ [p]_{\chi_3} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_3(x) = \chi_3(p) = \beta_3\} = \{p, u, v\} \\ &= [u]_{\chi_3} = [v]_{\chi_3}, \\ [q]_{\chi_3} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_3(x) = \chi_3(q) = \beta_4\} = \{q, t, x\} \\ &= [t]_{\chi_3} = [x]_{\chi_3}, \\ [s]_{\chi_3} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_3(x) = \chi_3(s) = \beta_5\} = \{s, w\} \\ &= [w]_{\chi_3}, \\ [y]_{\chi_3} &= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \chi_3(x) = \chi_3(y) = \beta_6\} = \{y\}. \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain $\xi_{\chi_3} = \{[o]_{\chi_3}, [p]_{\chi_3}, [q]_{\chi_3}, [s]_{\chi_3}, [y]_{\chi_3}\}$, and for $r = 1$, a set of partitions of \mathcal{O} is $N_1(B) = \{\xi_{\chi_1}, \xi_{\chi_2}, \xi_{\chi_3}\}$. Hence it can be written

$$\begin{aligned} N_1(B)^* S &= \bigcup_{[x]_{\chi_i} \cap S \neq \emptyset}^{[x]_{\chi_i}} \\ &= [q]_{\chi_1} \cup [s]_{\chi_1} \cup [w]_{\chi_1} \cup [s]_{\chi_2} \cup [v]_{\chi_2} \cup [x]_{\chi_2} \cup [q]_{\chi_3} \cup [s]_{\chi_3} \\ &= \{q, s, t, u, v, w, x, y\}. \end{aligned}$$

147 Let “ \cdot ” be a binary operation on $N_1(B)^* S$ as given in the following table

\cdot	q	s	t	u	v	w	x	y
q	w	u	v	y	x	t	s	q
s	u	t	v	x	s	u	w	y
t	v	v	s	w	t	x	u	q
u	y	x	w	v	u	t	s	q
v	x	s	t	u	v	w	x	s
w	t	u	x	t	w	s	v	w
x	s	w	u	s	x	v	t	y
y	q	y	q	q	s	w	y	x

149 Then “ \cdot ” be an operation of perceptual objects on $S \subseteq \mathcal{O}$.

\cdot	s	t	w	x
s	t	v	u	w
t	v	s	x	u
w	u	x	s	v
x	w	u	v	t

151 In that case, (S, \cdot) is a semigroup on \mathcal{O} . Next, for $s \in S$ there exists $t \in S$ so that
 152 the property $sts = s$ holds in $N_r(B)^* S$. Thus S is a regular nearness semigroup.

153 **Theorem 3.9.** *Let S be a regular nearness semigroup, let \sim_{B_r} be a complete con-*
 154 *gruence indiscernibility relation on S and let I be an interior nearness ideal of S . If*
 155 *$N_r(B)^*(N_r(B)^* I) = N_r(B)^* I$, then $N_r(B)^* I = N_r(B)^*(SIS)$.*

Proof. Let S be a regular nearness semigroup and let I be an interior nearness ideal of S . Since I is an interior nearness ideal of S , $SIS \subseteq N_r(B)^* I$. Then by Theorem 2.6 (2), we have

$$N_r(B)^*(SIS) \subseteq N_r(B)^*(N_r(B)^* I).$$

156 Thus by the hypothesis, $N_r(B)^*(SIS) \subseteq N_r(B)^* I$.

On the other hand, let $a \in N_r(B)^* I$. In this case, $[a]_{B_r} \cap I \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists an element $x \in [a]_{B_r}$ and $x \in I$. Since S is regular, for $x \in S$, there exists $y \in S$ so that the property $xyx = x$ holds. From here, $x \in xSxSx \subseteq S(SIS)S \subseteq S(N_r(B)^* I)S$, for I is an interior nearness ideal of S . Thus $x \in S(N_r(B)^* I)S$. In this case, we get that $x \in [a]_{B_r}$ and $x \in S(N_r(B)^* I)S$. So $x \in [a]_{B_r} \cap S(N_r(B)^* I)S$. Hence we have $[a]_{B_r} \cap S(N_r(B)^* I)S \neq \emptyset$ and $a \in N_r(B)^*(S(N_r(B)^* I)S)$. Since

\sim_{B_r} is a complete congruence indiscernibility relation and from Theorem 2.6 (2),

$$\begin{aligned} N_r(B)^*(S(N_r(B)^*I)S) &= (N_r(B)^*S)(N_r(B)^*(N_r(B)^*I))(N_r(B)^*S) \\ &= (N_r(B)^*S)(N_r(B)^*I)(N_r(B)^*S) \\ &= N_r(B)^*(SIS). \end{aligned}$$

157 Thereby, $a \in N_r(B)^*(SIS)$ and we get $N_r(B)^*I \subseteq N_r(B)^*(SIS)$. Consequently,
 158 $N_r(B)^*I = N_r(B)^*(SIS)$. \square

159 **Theorem 3.10.** Let S be a nearness semigroup and let $\{I_i | i \in \Delta\}$ set of interior
 160 nearness ideals of S such that $N_r(B)^*(N_r(B)^*I_i) = N_r(B)^*I_i$ for all $i \in \Delta$ with
 161 index set Δ . If $N_r(B)^*(\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} I_i) = \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} N_r(B)^*I_i$, then $\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} I_i = \emptyset$ or $\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} I_i$ is an
 162 interior nearness ideal of S .

163 *Proof.* Let $\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} I_i = I$. Now, we show that I is either empty or an interior nearness
 164 ideal of S . Assume that I is non-empty. Since I_i is an interior nearness ideals of S
 165 for all $i \in \Delta$, I is nearness subsemigroup of S and $SI_iS \subseteq N_r(B)^*I_i$ for all $i \in \Delta$.
 166 Then

$$SIS = S(\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} I_i)S \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} (N_r(B)^*I_i)$$

168 for all $i \in \Delta$. Since $\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} N_r(B)^*I_i = N_r(B)^*(\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} I_i)$, we obtain $SIS \subseteq N_r(B)^*I$.
 169 Thus I is an interior nearness ideal of S . \square

170 **Theorem 3.11.** Let S be a regular nearness semigroup, let \sim_{B_r} be a complete
 171 congruence indiscernibility relation on S and let I be a bi-nearness ideal of S . If
 172 $N_r(B)^*(N_r(B)^*I) = N_r(B)^*I$, then $N_r(B)^*I = N_r(B)^*(ISI)$.

Proof. Let S be a regular nearness semigroup and I be a bi-nearness ideal of S .
 Then by Theorem 2.6 (2), we have

$$ISI \subseteq N_r(B)^*I \Rightarrow N_r(B)^*(ISI) \subseteq N_r(B)^*(N_r(B)^*I) = N_r(B)^*I.$$

173 Thus we get $N_r(B)^*(ISI) \subseteq N_r(B)^*I$.

Otherwise, let $x \in N_r(B)^*I$. From here, $[x]_{B_r} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and there exists an
 element $a \in [x]_{B_r}$ and $a \in I$. Since S is regular, for $a \in S$, there exists $b \in S$ so
 that the property $aba = a$ satisfies on S . In this case, $a \in aSaSa \subseteq IS(ISI) \subseteq$
 $IS(N_r(B)^*I)$. Then, $a \in IS(N_r(B)^*I)$. From here, $a \in [x]_{B_r}$ and $a \in ISN_r(B)^*I$.
 Thus $a \in [x]_{B_r} \cap IS(N_r(B)^*I)$ and we have $[x]_{B_r} \cap IS(N_r(B)^*I) \neq \emptyset$. In this way,
 $x \in N_r(B)^*(IS(N_r(B)^*I))$. Since \sim_{B_r} is a complete congruence indiscernibility
 relation, from Theorem 2.6 (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_r(B)^*(IS(N_r(B)^*I)) &= (N_r(B)^*I)(N_r(B)^*S)(N_r(B)^*(N_r(B)^*I)) \\ &= (N_r(B)^*I)(N_r(B)^*S)(N_r(B)^*I) \\ &= N_r(B)^*(ISI). \end{aligned}$$

174 In this case, $x \in N_r(B)^*(ISI)$. So $N_r(B)^*I \subseteq N_r(B)^*(ISI)$. Finally, $N_r(B)^*I$
 175 $= N_r(B)^*(ISI)$. \square

176 **Theorem 3.12.** *Let S be a commutative regular nearness semigroup, let \sim_{B_r} be a*
 177 *complete congruence indiscernibility relation on S and let I be a quasi-nearness ideal*
 178 *of S . If $N_r(B)^*(N_r(B)^*I) = N_r(B)^*I$, then $N_r(B)^*I = N_r(B)^*(ISI)$.*

179 *Proof.* By Lemma 3.6, quasi-nearness ideal I is a bi-nearness ideal of S . Then from
 180 Theorem 3.11, $N_r(B)^*I = N_r(B)^*(ISI)$. \square

181 4. CONCLUSION

182 As a recent study of nearness ideals, this paper introduces regular nearness semi-
 183 group and gives an example about subject. Also, it is given that some properties
 184 about ideals of a regular nearness semigroup. Afterward, we study relations among
 185 them. We believe that these properties will be more useful theoretical developments
 186 for nearness semigroup theory.

187 **Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their
 188 careful reading of this paper and for their helpful comments.

189 REFERENCES

- 190 [1] T. Saito, Regular elements in an ordered semigroup, Pacific J. of Math. 13 (1) (1963) 263–295.
 191 [2] D. D. Miller and A. H. Clifford, Regular D -classes in semigroups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
 192 82 (1956) 270–280.
 193 [3] J. Von Neumann, On Regular Rings, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 22 (12) 1936 707–713.
 194 [4] G. Szasz, Remark on interior ideals of semigroups, Studia Scient. Math. Hung. 16 (1981) 61–63.
 195 [5] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, Int. J. Comput. Inform. Sci. 11 (5) (1982) 341–356.
 196 [6] J. F. Peters, Near sets, General theory about nearness of objects, Appl. Math. Sci. 1 (53-56)
 197 (2007) 2609–2629.
 198 [7] J. F. Peters, Near sets, Special theory about nearness of objects, Fund. Inform. 75 (1-4) (2007)
 199 407–433.
 200 [8] J. F. Peters, Near sets: An introduction, Math. Comput. Sci. 7 (1) (2013) 3–9.
 201 [9] E. İnan and M. A. Öztürk, Near semigroups on nearness approximation spaces, Ann. Fuzzy
 202 Math. Inform. 10 (2) (2015) 287–297.
 203 [10] Ö. Tekin, Quasi ideals of nearness semirings, Cumhuriyet Sci. J. 42 (2) (2021) 333–338.
 204 [11] Ö. Tekin, Bi-ideals of nearness semirings, European Journal of Science and Technology 28
 205 (2021) 11–15.
 206 [12] M. A. Öztürk, Semirings on weak nearness approximation spaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.
 207 15 (3) (2018) 227–241.
 208 [13] M. A. Öztürk, Y. B. Jun, and A. İz, Gamma semigroups on weak nearness approximation
 209 spaces, J. Int. Math. Virtual Inst. 9 (2019) 53–72.
 210 [14] M. A. Öztürk, Prime ideals of gamma semigroups on weak nearness approximation spaces,
 211 Asian-Eur. J. Math. 12 (5) (2019) 1950080 (10 pages).
 212 [15] J. M. Howie, Fundamentals of semigroup theory, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1995.
 213 [16] M. A. Öztürk, Ordered nearness semigroups, (Submitted).
 214 [17] Ö. Tekin, On Quasi ideals of nearness semigroups, 1st International Symposium on Current
 215 Developments in Fundamental and Applied Mathematics Sciences (2022), Erzurum, Turkey.

216 Ö. TEKIN (umduozlem42@gmail.com)

217 Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Adiyaman University,
 218 02040 Adiyaman, Turkey

219 M. A. ÖZTÜRK (mehaliozturk@gmail.com) – Department of Mathematics, Fac-
 220 ulty of Arts and Sciences, Adiyaman University, 02040 Adiyaman, Turkey

221

222