

## Some mixed neutrosophic sets

S. JAFARI, G. NORDO, N. RAJESH

Received 24 November 2023; Revised 10 January 2024; Accepted 20 March 2024

---

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we introduce and study some subsets in mixed neutrosophic topological spaces and obtain some of their basic properties. Moreover, we introduce and investigate not only some mixed generalized open sets but also the features of extremally disconnectedness in the context of mixed neutrosophic topological spaces.

**2020 AMS Classification:** 03E72, 03F55, 13F20

**Keywords:** Neutrosophic set, Mixed neutrosophic topological space, Extremally disconnected.

**Corresponding Author:** S. Jafari ([jafaripersia@gmail.com](mailto:jafaripersia@gmail.com))

---

### 1. NOTATIONS AND TERMINOLOGY

The impact of fuzzy set theory and its applications have been great in almost all aspects of mathematics since its advent and introduction by Zadeh [1]. The theory of fuzzy topological space was introduced and developed by Chang [2] and since then various notions in classical topology have been extended into the context of fuzzy topological space. The idea of "intuitionistic fuzzy set" was first published by Atanassov [3] and some research in this respect have been done by him and his colleagues [4, 5, 6]. Later, this concept was generalized to "intuitionistic L - fuzzy sets" by Atanassov and Stoeva [7]. Smarandache introduced the important and useful concepts of neutrosophy and neutrosophic set [8, 9]. The concepts of neutrosophic crisp set and neutrosophic crisp topological space were introduced by Salama and Alblowi [10]. The rudimentary notions and basic results related to neutrosophic topological spaces were introduced and discussed by Dhavaseelan et al. [11].

In this paper, after introducing mixed neutrosophic topological spaces, we present some of their properties. Then, we offer some new notions of mixed generalized open and closed sets and discuss some of their features. Moreover, we obtain some results related to the extremally disconnectedness in the context of mixed neutrosophic topological spaces. Here we begin to mention some well-known notions.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $T, I, F$  be real standard or non standard subsets of  $]0^-, 1^+[$  with  $sup_T = t_{sup}, inf_T = t_{inf}, sup_I = i_{sup}, inf_I = i_{inf}, sup_F = f_{sup}, inf_F = f_{inf},$   
 $n - sup = t_{sup} + i_{sup} + f_{sup}, n - inf = t_{inf} + i_{inf} + f_{inf}.$

Then  $T, I, F$  are called *neutrosophic components*.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty fixed set. A *neutrosophic set*  $A$  is an object having the form  $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ , where  $\mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x)$  and  $\gamma_A(x)$  which represents the degree of membership function (namely  $\mu_A(x)$ ), the degree of indeterminacy (namely  $\sigma_A(x)$ ) and the degree of nonmembership (namely  $\gamma_A(x)$ ) respectively of each element  $x \in X$  to the set  $A$ .

**Remark 1.3.** (1) A neutrosophic set  $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$  can be identified to an ordered triple  $\langle \mu_A, \sigma_A, \gamma_A \rangle$  in  $]0^-, 1^+[$  on  $X$ .

(2) For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol  $A = \langle \mu_A, \sigma_A, \gamma_A \rangle$  for the neutrosophic set  $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and the neutrosophic sets  $A$  and  $B$  in the form

$$A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}, B = \{ \langle x, \mu_B(x), \sigma_B(x), \gamma_B(x) \rangle : x \in X \}.$$

Then

- (i)  $A \subseteq B$  iff  $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x), \sigma_A(x) \leq \sigma_B(x)$  and  $\gamma_A(x) \geq \gamma_B(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ ,
- (ii)  $A = B$  iff  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A$ ,
- (iii)  $\bar{A} = \{ \langle x, \gamma_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \mu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$  [The complement of  $A$ ],
- (iv)  $A \cap B = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(x), \sigma_A(x) \wedge \sigma_B(x), \gamma_A(x) \vee \gamma_B(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ ,
- (v)  $A \cup B = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) \vee \mu_B(x), \sigma_A(x) \vee \sigma_B(x), \gamma_A(x) \wedge \gamma_B(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ ,
- (vi)  $]A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), 1 - \mu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ ,
- (vii)  $\langle A = \{ \langle x, 1 - \gamma_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $\{A_i : i \in J\}$  be an arbitrary family of neutrosophic sets in  $X$ . Then

- (i)  $\bigcap A_i = \{ \langle x, \wedge \mu_{A_i}(x), \wedge \sigma_{A_i}(x), \vee \gamma_{A_i}(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ ,
- (ii)  $\bigcup A_i = \{ \langle x, \vee \mu_{A_i}(x), \vee \sigma_{A_i}(x), \wedge \gamma_{A_i}(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ .

Since our main purpose is to construct the tools for developing neutrosophic topological spaces, we must introduce the neutrosophic sets  $0_N$  and  $1_N$  in  $X$  as follows.

**Definition 1.6.**  $0_N = \{ \langle x, 0, 0, 1 \rangle : x \in X \}$  and  $1_N = \{ \langle x, 1, 1, 0 \rangle : x \in X \}$ .

**Definition 1.7.** [10] A *neutrosophic topology* on a nonempty set  $X$  is a family  $\tau$  of neutrosophic subsets of  $X$  which satisfies the following three conditions:

- (i)  $0_N, 1_N \in \tau$ ,
- (ii)  $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$  for any  $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$ ,
- (iii)  $\cup G_i \in \tau$  for arbitrary family  $\{G_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} \subseteq \tau$ .

The pair  $(X, \tau)$  is called a neutrosophic topological space.

**Definition 1.8.** Members of  $\tau$  are called *neutrosophic open sets* and the complement of neutrosophic open sets are called *neutrosophic closed sets*, where the complement of a neutrosophic set  $A$ , denoted by  $A^c$ , is  $1 - A$ .

2. SOME MIXED NEUTROSOPHIC SETS

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  be two neutrosophic topological spaces. Then the system  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called a *mixed neutrosophic topological space*.

**Remark 2.2.** Here we denote the interior and the closure operators by  $\text{Int}$  and  $\text{Cl}$  respectively. If  $A \in \tau_1$  or  $A \in \tau_2$ , this means that  $A = \text{Int}_1(A)$  ( $A$  is open with respect to  $\tau_1$ ) or  $A = \text{Int}_2(A)$  ( $A$  is open with respect to  $\tau_2$ ).  $A$  is closed with respect to  $\tau_1$  iff  $A = \text{Cl}_1(A)$ , and also  $A$  is closed with respect to  $\tau_2$  iff  $A = \text{Cl}_2(A)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A subset  $A$  of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be:

- (i)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open, if  $A = \text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(A))$ ,
  - (ii)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen, if  $A \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(A))$ ,
  - (iii)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen, if  $A \subset \text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(A))$ ,
  - (iv)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\alpha$ -open, if  $A \subset \text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(A)))$ ,
  - (v)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $b$ -open, if  $A \subset \text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(A)) \cup \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(A))$ ,
  - (vi)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open, if  $A \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(A)))$ ,
  - (vii)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\delta$ -open, if  $\text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(A)) \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(A))$ ,
- where  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

The complement of an  $(i, j)$ -semiopen (resp.  $(i, j)$ -preopen,  $(i, j)$ - $b$ -open,  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -open,  $(i, j)$ -regular open) set is called an  $(i, j)$ -semiclosed (resp.  $(i, j)$ -preclosed,  $(i, j)$ - $b$ -closed,  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -closed,  $(i, j)$ -regular closed) set.

The family of all  $(i, j)$ -regular open (resp.  $(i, j)$ -preopen,  $(i, j)$ -semiopen,  $(i, j)$ - $b$ -open,  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -open,  $(i, j)$ -regular closed,  $(i, j)$ -preclosed,  $(i, j)$ -semiclosed,  $(i, j)$ - $b$ -closed,  $(i, j)$ - $\beta$ -closed) subsets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is denoted by  $(i, j)$ - $RO(X)$  (resp.  $(i, j)$ - $PO(X)$ ,  $(i, j)$ - $SO(X)$ ,  $(i, j)$ - $BO(X)$ ,  $(i, j)$ - $\beta O(X)$ ,  $(i, j)$ - $RC(X)$ ,  $(i, j)$ - $PC(X)$ ,  $(i, j)$ - $SC(X)$ ,  $(i, j)$ - $BC(X)$ ,  $(i, j)$ - $\beta C(X)$ ).

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be neutrosophic subsets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

- (1)  $A$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -semiopen if and only if  $\text{Cl}_2(A) = \text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(A))$ .
- (2)  $A$  is  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -semiopen if and only if  $\text{Cl}_1(A) = \text{Cl}_1(\text{Int}_2(A))$ .
- (3) If  $A \in \tau_1$  and  $B$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -preopen, then  $A \cap B$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -preopen.
- (4) If  $A \in \tau_2$  and  $B$  is  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -preopen, then  $A \cap B$  is  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -preopen.

*Proof.* We prove only (1) since they follow from definition 2.3 and Remark 2.2. Since  $A$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -semiopen, then we have  $A \subset \text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(A))$ . If we impose  $\text{Cl}_2$  on both sides, then we get  $\text{Cl}_2(A) = \text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(A))$ . Conversely if  $\text{Cl}_2(A) = \text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(A))$ , then it is clear that  $A \subset \text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(A))$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any two neutrosophic subsets of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

- (1) If  $A$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -semiopen set or  $B$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -semiopen set, then

$$\text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(A \cap B)) = \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(A)) \cap \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(B)).$$

- (2) If  $A$  is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -semiopen set or  $B$  is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -semiopen set, then

$$\text{Int}_2(\text{Cl}_1(A \cap B)) = \text{Int}_2(\text{Cl}_1(A)) \cap \text{Int}_2(\text{Cl}_1(B)).$$

*Proof.* (1) Suppose  $A$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -semiopen set. Then  $\text{Cl}_2(A) = \text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(A))$ . Note that  $\text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(A \cap B)) \subset \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(A)) \cap \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(B))$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(A)) \cap \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(B)) &= \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(A) \cap \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(B))) \\ &= \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(A)) \cap \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(B))) \\ &\subset \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(A) \cap \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(B)))) \\ &= \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(A) \cap \text{Cl}_2(B))) \\ &\subset \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(A \cap B)))) \\ &\subset \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(A \cap B)))) \\ &= \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(A \cap B)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) The proof is analogous. □

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any two neutrosophic subsets of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .*

(1) *If  $B$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\alpha$ -open set if and only if there exists  $B \in \tau_1$  such that  $A \subset B \subset \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(A))$ .*

(2) *If  $A$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\alpha$ -open set and  $A \subset B \subset \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(A))$ , then  $A$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\alpha$ -open set.*

(3) *If  $B$  is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\alpha$ -open set if and only if there exists  $B \in \tau_2$  such that  $A \subset B \subset \text{Int}_2(\text{Cl}_1(A))$ .*

(4) *If  $A$  is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\alpha$ -open set and  $A \subset B \subset \text{Int}_2(\text{Cl}_1(A))$ , then  $A$  is  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\alpha$ -open set.*

*Proof.* (1) Suppose  $B$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\alpha$ -open set and let  $\text{Int}_1(A) = B$ . Then clearly,  $B \in \tau_1$  and  $B \subset A \subset \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(A))) = \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(A))$ .

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds. Then  $\text{Int}_1(B) = B \subset \text{Int}_1(A)$ . Thus  $A \subset \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(B))) \subset \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(A)))$ . So  $B$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\alpha$ -open set.

The other proofs can be carried on by the same token. □

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any two neutrosophic subsets of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .*

(1) *If  $A$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\alpha$ -open set and  $B$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\beta$ -open set, then  $A \cap B$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\beta$ -open set.*

(2) *If  $A$  is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\alpha$ -open set and  $B$  is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\beta$ -open set, then  $A \cap B$  is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\beta$ -open set.*

(3) *If  $A$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\alpha$ -open set and  $B$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -semiopen set, then  $A \cap B$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -semiopen set.*

(4) *If  $A$  is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\alpha$ -open set and  $B$  is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -semiopen set, then  $A \cap B$  is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -semiopen set.*

*Proof.* (1) Suppose  $A$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\alpha$ -open set and  $B$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\beta$ -open set. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A \cap B &\subset \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(A))) \cap \text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(B))) \\ &\subset \text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(A))) \cap \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(B))) \\ &= \text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(A)) \cap \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(B)))) \\ &\subset \text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(A) \cap \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(B)))) \\ &= \text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(\text{Int}_1(A) \cap \text{Cl}_2(B)))) \\ &\subset \text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(A) \cap B)))) \\ &\subset \text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2 \text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(A \cap B)))) \end{aligned}$$

$$\subset \text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(A \cap B))).$$

Thus  $A \cap B$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\beta$ -open set.

The other proofs are analogous.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $A$  be a neutrosophic subset of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then*

- (1)  $A$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -semiclosed if and only if  $\text{Int}_2(\text{Cl}_1(A)) \subset A$ ,
- (2)  $A$  is  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -semiclosed if and only if  $\text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(A)) \subset A$ ,
- (3)  $A$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -preclosed if and only if  $\text{Cl}_1(\text{Int}_2(A)) \subset A$ ,
- (4)  $A$  is  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -preclosed if and only if  $\text{Cl}_1(\text{Int}_2(A)) \subset A$ ,
- (5)  $A$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\alpha$ -closed if and only if  $\text{Cl}_2(\text{Int}_1(\text{Cl}_2(A))) \subset A$ ,
- (6)  $A$  is  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\alpha$ -closed if and only if  $\text{Cl}_1(\text{Int}_2(\text{Cl}_1(A))) \subset A$ ,
- (7)  $A$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $\beta$ -closed if and only if  $\text{Int}_2(\text{Cl}_1(\text{Int}_2(A))) \subset A$ ,
- (8)  $A$  is  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $\beta$ -closed if and only if  $\text{Cl}_1(\text{Int}_2(\text{Cl}_1(A))) \subset A$ .

*Proof.* The proofs follow from the respective definitions.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $A$  be a neutrosophic subset of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then*

- (1)  $\text{Cl}_i(\text{Int}_j(A)) = \text{Cl}_i(\text{Int}_j(\text{Cl}_i(\text{Int}_j(A))))$ ,
- (2)  $\text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(A)) = \text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(A))))$ .

*Proof.* (1) Clearly, the following holds  $\text{Int}_j(A) \subset \text{Cl}_i(\text{Int}_j(A))$ . Then we get

$$\text{Int}_j(\text{Int}_j(A)) = \text{Int}_j(A) \subset \text{Int}_j(\text{Cl}_i(\text{Int}_j(A))).$$

Thus  $\text{Cl}_i(\text{Int}_j(A)) \subset \text{Cl}_i(\text{Int}_j(\text{Cl}_i(\text{Int}_j(A))))$ .

Conversely, one has that  $\text{Int}_j(\text{Cl}_i(\text{Int}_j(A))) \subset \text{Cl}_i(\text{Int}_j(A))$ . Then we have

$$\text{Cl}_i(\text{Int}_j(\text{Cl}_i(\text{Int}_j(A)))) \subset \text{Cl}_i(\text{Cl}_i(\text{Int}_j(A))) = \text{Cl}_i(\text{Int}_j(A)).$$

So the proof is complete.

- (2) The proof is dual to (1).  $\square$

**Proposition 2.10.** (1) *Every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\alpha$ -open set is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen.*

- (2) *Every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $b$ -open.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from the definitions.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.11.** (1) *Every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\delta$ -open.*

- (2) *Every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $b$ -open.*

**Remark 2.12.** It is clear that  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopenness and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen-ness are independent notions.

**Theorem 2.13.** *If  $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$  is the collection of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen sets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha$  is also a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set.*

*Proof.* Since each  $A_\alpha$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen and  $A_\alpha \subset A_\alpha$ ,  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha))$ . Then  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha$  is also a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.14.** *A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen if and only if  $\text{Cl}_j(A) = \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(A))$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-SO}(X)$ . Then we have  $A \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(A))$ . Thus  $\text{Cl}_j(A) \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(A))$ . So  $\text{Cl}_j(A) = \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(A))$ .

The converse is obvious. □

**Corollary 2.15.** *If  $A$  is a nonempty  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set, then  $\text{Int}_i(A) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen, by Proposition 2.14, we have  $\text{Cl}_j(A) = \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(A))$ . Assume that  $\text{Int}_i(A) = \emptyset$ . Then we have  $\text{Cl}_j(A) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $A = \emptyset$ . This is contrary to the hypothesis. So  $\text{Int}_i(A) \neq \emptyset$ . □

**Proposition 2.16.** *A subset  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen if and only if there exists  $U \in \tau_i$  such that  $U \subset A \subset \text{Cl}_j(U)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-SO}(X)$ . Then we have  $A \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}(A))$ . Take  $\text{Int}_i(A) = U$ . Then  $U \subset A \subset \text{Cl}_j(U)$ .

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds. Since  $U \subset A$ ,  $U \subset \text{Int}_i(A)$ . Then  $\text{Cl}_j(U) \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(A))$ . Thus  $A \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(A))$ . □

**Proposition 2.17.** *If  $A$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set in a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $A \subset B \subset \text{Cl}_j(A)$ , then  $B$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set and  $A \subset B \subset \text{Cl}_j(A)$ . Since  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen, there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U$  such that  $U \subset A \subset \text{Cl}_j(U)$ . Since  $A \subset B \subset \text{Cl}_j(A)$ , we have  $U \subset A \subset B \subset \text{Cl}_j(A) \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Cl}_j(U)) = \text{Cl}_j(U)$ . Then  $U \subset B \subset \text{Cl}_j(U)$ . Thus by Proposition 2.16,  $B \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-SO}(X)$ . □

**Theorem 2.18.** *A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen if and only if it is both  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\delta$ -open and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -preopen.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set. Then  $A \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(A)) \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(A)))$ . This shows that  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open. Moreover,  $\text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(A)) \subset \text{Cl}_j(A) \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(A))$ . Thus  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\delta$ -open.

Conversely, suppose  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\delta$ -open and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open set. Then we have  $\text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(A)) \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(A))$ . Thus  $\text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(A))) \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(A))$ . Since  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open, we have  $A \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(A))) \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(A))$  and  $A \subset \text{Cl}_j(\text{Int}_i(A))$ . So  $A$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set. □

**Theorem 2.19.** *A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed if and only if there exists a  $\tau_j$ -closed set  $F$  such that  $\text{Int}_i(F) \subset A \subset F$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed. Then  $\text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(A)) \subset A$ . Let  $F = \text{Cl}_j(A)$ . Then  $F$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed set such that  $\text{Int}_i(F) \subset A \subset F$ .

Conversely, let  $F$  be a  $\tau_j$ -closed set such that  $\text{Int}_i(F) \subset A \subset F$ . But  $F \supset \text{Cl}_j(A)$ . Then  $\text{Int}_i(F) \supset \text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(A))$ . Thus  $\text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(A)) \subset A$ . So  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed. □

**Proposition 2.20.** *A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -closed and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\delta$ -open, then it is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from the definitions. □

**Theorem 2.21.** *Arbitrary intersection of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed sets is always  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed.*

*Proof.* Follows from Theorem 2.13. □

**Definition 2.22.** Let  $A$  be subset of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then

(i) the  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -*semiclosure* of  $A$ , denoted by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -sCl( $A$ ), is defined as the intersection of all  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed sets containing  $A$ , i.e.,

$$(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(A) = \bigcap \{F : F \text{ is } (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-semiclosed and } A \subset F\},$$

(ii) the  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -*semiinterior* of  $A$ , denoted by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -sInt( $A$ ), is defined as the union of all  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen sets contained in  $A$ , i.e.,

$$(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(A) = \bigcup \{U : U \text{ is } (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-semiopen and } U \subset A\}.$$

**Theorem 2.23.** For a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , the following hold:

- (1)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(A) = A \cup \text{Int}_i(\text{Cl}_j(A))$ ,
- (2)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(A) = A \cap \text{Cl}_i(\text{Int}_j(A))$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from the definitions. □

### 3. EXTREMALLY DISCONNECTED MIXED NEUTROSOPHIC TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

**Definition 3.1.** A mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be:

(i)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -*extremally disconnected*, if  $\tau_j$ -closure of every  $\tau_i$ -open set is  $\tau_i$ -open in  $X$ ,

(ii) *pairwise extremally disconnected*, if  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -extremally disconnected and  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -extremally disconnected.

**Theorem 3.2.** A mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected if and only if for each  $\tau_i$ -open set  $A$  and each  $\tau_j$ -open set  $B$  such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) \cap \tau_i\text{-Cl}(B) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected. Let  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, be  $\tau_1$ -open and  $\tau_2$ -open sets such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) \in \tau_i$ . Thus  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) \cap \tau_i\text{-Cl}(B) = \emptyset$ .

Conversely, suppose the necessary conditions hold and let  $U$  be a  $\tau_i$ -open set in  $X$ . Then  $X \setminus \tau_j\text{-Cl}(U)$  is  $\tau_j$ -open in  $X$ . Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U \cap (X \setminus \tau_j\text{-Cl}(U)) &= \emptyset \\ \Rightarrow \tau_j\text{-Cl}(U) \cap \tau_i\text{-Cl}(X \setminus \tau_j\text{-Cl}(U)) & \\ \Rightarrow \tau_i\text{-Cl}(X \setminus \tau_j\text{-Cl}(U)) \subset X \setminus \tau_j\text{-Cl}(U) & \\ \Rightarrow \tau_i\text{-Cl}(X \setminus \tau_j\text{-Cl}(U)) = X \setminus \tau_j\text{-Cl}(U) & \\ \Rightarrow (X \setminus \tau_j\text{-Cl}(U)) \text{ is } \tau_i\text{-closed} & \\ \Rightarrow \tau_j\text{-Cl}(U) \text{ is } \tau_i\text{-open.} & \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -extremally disconnected. Similarly,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -extremally disconnected. So  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected. □

**Theorem 3.3.** The following are equivalent for a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ :

- (1)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected,
- (2) for each  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set  $A$  in  $X$ ,  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$  is  $\tau_i$ -open set,
- (3) for each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set  $A$  in  $X$ ,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -sCl( $A$ ) is  $\tau_i$ -open set,
- (4) for each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set  $A$  and each  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set  $B$  with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) \cap \tau_i\text{-Cl}(B) = \emptyset$ ,

- (5) for each  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set  $A$  in  $X$ ,  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A)$ ,
- (6) for each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set  $A$  in  $X$ ,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A)$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed set,
- (7) for each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed set  $A$  in  $X$ ,  $\tau_j\text{-Int}(A) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Int}(A)$ ,
- (8) for each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed set  $A$  in  $X$ ,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Int}(A)$  is  $\tau_j$ -open set.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Clear.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): Since  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A) \subset \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$  for any set  $A$  of  $X$ , it is sufficient to show that  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A) \supset \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$  for any  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set  $A$  of  $X$ . Let  $x \notin (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A)$ . Then there exists a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set  $W$  with  $x \in W$  such that  $W \cap A = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\tau_j\text{-Int}(W)$  and  $\tau_i\text{-Int}(A)$  are, respectively,  $\tau_j$ -open and  $\tau_i$ -open such that  $\tau_j\text{-Int}(W) \cap \tau_i\text{-Int}(A) = \emptyset$ . By Theorem 3.2, we get

$$\tau_i\text{-Cl}(\tau_j\text{-Int}(W)) \cap \tau_j\text{-Cl}(\tau_i\text{-Int}(A)) = \emptyset.$$

So  $x \notin \tau_j\text{-Cl}(\tau_i\text{-Int}(A)) = \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$ . Hence  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A)$ .

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6): Obvious.

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): For any set  $A$  in  $X$ ,  $A \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A) \subset \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$ . Then we have

$$\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) = \tau_j\text{-Cl}((\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A)).$$

Since  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen, by (6),  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A)$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed. Thus  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A)$ .

(6)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (8): Clear.

(7)  $\Rightarrow$  (8): Obvious.

(8)  $\Rightarrow$  (7): For any subset  $A$  of  $X$ ,  $\tau_j\text{-Int}(A) \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Int}(A) \subset A$ . Then

$$\tau_j\text{-Int}(A) = \tau_j\text{-Int}((\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Int}(A)).$$

Since  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed, by (8),  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Int}(A)$  is  $\tau_j$ -open. Thus  $\tau_j\text{-Int}(A) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Int}(A)$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let  $A$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -open set and  $B$  a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $\tau_i\text{-Int}(A) \cap \tau_j\text{-Int}(B) = \emptyset$ . Thus by Theorem 3.2,

$$\tau_j\text{-Cl}(\tau_j\text{-Int}(A)) \cap \tau_i\text{-Cl}(\tau_j\text{-Int}(B)) = \emptyset.$$

So  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) \cap \tau_i\text{-Cl}(B) = \emptyset$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $A$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen subset of  $X$ . Then  $X \setminus \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$  is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen and  $A \cap (X \setminus \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)) = \emptyset$ . Thus by (4),  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) \cap \tau_i\text{-Cl}(X \setminus \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)) = \emptyset$  which implies  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) \subset \tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A))$ . So  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) = \tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A))$ . Hence  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$  is  $\tau_i$ -open in  $X$ .

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let  $A$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set and  $B$  be a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-s Cl}(B)$  is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen in  $X$ . Thus  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A) \cap (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(B) = \emptyset$ . So By (5),  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) \cap \tau_i\text{-Cl}(B) = \emptyset$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Clear.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $A$  be a  $\tau_i$ -open set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . It is sufficient to prove that  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A)$ . Obviously,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A) \subset \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$ . Let  $x \notin (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A)$ . Then there exists a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set  $U$  with  $x \in U$  such that  $A \cap U = \emptyset$ . Thus  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-s Cl}(U) \subset (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-s Cl}(X \setminus A) = X \setminus A$ . So  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-s Cl}(U) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Since  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-s Cl}(U)$  is a  $\tau_j$ -open set with  $x \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-s Cl}(U)$ ,  $x \notin \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$ . Hence  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-Cl}(A)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.4.** A point  $x$  in a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -cluster point of a set  $A$ , if for every  $\tau_i$ -open, say,  $U$  containing



$x, \tau_j\text{-Cl}(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . The set of all  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -closure of  $A$  and will be denoted by  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-Cl}_\theta(A)$ . A set  $A$  is called  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\theta$ -closed, if  $A = (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-Cl}_\theta(A)$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** For any  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -preopen set  $A$  in a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $\tau_i\text{-Cl}(A) = (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-Cl}_\theta(A)$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $\tau_i\text{-Cl}(A) \subset (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-Cl}_\theta(A)$  for any subset  $A$  of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then it remains to be shown that  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-Cl}_\theta(A) \subset \tau_i\text{-Cl}(A)$ . If  $x \notin \tau_i\text{-Cl}(A)$ , then there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $U \cap A = \emptyset$ . Thus  $U \cap \tau_i\text{-Cl}(A) = \emptyset$ . But  $U \cap \tau_j\text{-Int}(\tau_i\text{-Cl}(A)) = \emptyset$  which implies  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(U) \cap \tau_j\text{-Int}(\tau_i\text{-Cl}(A)) = \emptyset$  and so  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(U) \cap A = \emptyset$  since  $A$  is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -preopen. Hence  $x \notin (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-Cl}_\theta(A)$  and consequently  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-Cl}_\theta(A) \subset \tau_i\text{-Cl}(A)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** The following are equivalent for a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ :

- (1)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected,
- (2) the  $\tau_j$ -closure of every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open set of  $X$  is  $\tau_i$ -open set,
- (3) the  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - $\theta$ -closure of every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set of  $X$  is  $\tau_i$ -open set,
- (4) the  $\tau_j$ -closure of every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set of  $X$  is  $\tau_i$ -open set.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $A$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open set. Then we have

$$\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) = \tau_j\text{-Cl}(\tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A))).$$

Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected,  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open set.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Follows from the fact that every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Clear.

(3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4): Follows from Lemma 3.5.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** A mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected if and only if every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected and let  $A$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set. Then  $A \subset \tau_j\text{-Cl}(\tau_i\text{-Int}(A))$ . Since  $X$  is pairwise extremally disconnected,  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(\tau_i\text{-Int}(A))$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open set. Thus we have

$$A \subset \tau_j\text{-Cl}(\tau_i\text{-Int}(A)) = \tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(\tau_i\text{-Int}(A))) \subset \tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)).$$

So  $A$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set.

Conversely, Suppose the necessary condition holds and let  $A$  be a  $\tau_i$ -open set. Since  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) = \tau_j\text{-Cl}(\tau_i\text{-Int}(A))$ , we have  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) = \tau_j\text{-Cl}(\tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)))$ . Then  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$  is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -regular closed. Thus  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen. By the hypothesis,  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen. So  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) = \tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A))$ . Hence  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$  is  $\tau_i$ -open in  $X$ . Therefore  $X$  is pairwise extremally disconnected.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** For a subset  $A$  of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,

- (1)  $\tau_j\text{-Int}(\tau_i\text{-Cl}(A)) \subset (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-s Cl}(A)$ ,
- (2)  $\tau_j\text{-Int}((\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-s Cl}(A)) = \tau_j\text{-Int}(\tau_i\text{-Cl}(A))$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-s Cl}(A)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiclosed, there exists a  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $\tau_j\text{-Int}(U) \subset (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-s Cl}(A) \subset U$ . Then we have

$$\tau_j\text{-Int}(U) \subset (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-s Cl}(A) \subset \tau_i\text{-Cl}(A) \subset U.$$

Thus  $\tau_j\text{-Int}(U) \subset \tau_j\text{-Int}(\tau_i\text{-Cl}(A)) \subset \tau_j\text{-Int}(U)$ . So  $\tau_j\text{-Int}(\tau_i\text{-Cl}(A)) \subset (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-s Cl}(A)$ .

(2) Follows easily from (1). □

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $A$  be a subset of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open if and only if  $A$  is  $\tau_i$ -open and  $\tau_j$ -closed.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open set of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $\tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)) = A$ . Now,  $X \setminus \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$  and  $A$  are, respectively,  $\tau_j$ -open and  $\tau_i$ -open such that  $(X \setminus \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise extremally disconnected, by Theorem 3.2,  $\tau_i\text{-Cl}(X \setminus \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)) \cap \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\tau_i\text{-Cl}(X \setminus \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)) = X \setminus \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$  and  $X \setminus \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$  is  $\tau_i$ -closed. So  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$  is  $\tau_i$ -open. Hence  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) = \tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)) = A$  is  $\tau_i$ -open and  $\tau_j$ -closed.

The converse is clear. □

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $A$  be a subset of a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then we have*

- (1)  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen if and only if  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A) = \tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A))$ ,
- (2)  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen if and only if  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open,
- (3)  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open if and only if  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen and  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiclosed.

*Proof.* (1) Suppose  $A$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set. Then we have

$$(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A) \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(\tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A))).$$

Since  $\tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A))$  is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiclosed,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A) \subset \tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A))$ . Then by Lemma 3.8 (1),  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A) = \tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A))$ .

The converse is obvious.

(2) Suppose  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open set. Then we have

$$(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A) = \tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A)).$$

Thus  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A) \subset \tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A))) = \tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A))$ . So by Lemma 3.8 (1), we have  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A) = \tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A))$ . Hence  $A$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set from (1).

The converse follows from (1).

(3) Suppose  $A$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen and a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiclosed set. Then by (2),  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open in  $X$ .

Conversely, suppose  $A$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open set. Then  $A = \tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A))$ . Thus  $\tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A) = A$ . So  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen and  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiclosed. □

**Theorem 3.11.** *In a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X$  is pairwise extremally disconnected,
- (2)  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-Cl}_\theta(A)$  for every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen (or  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen) set  $A$  in  $X$ ,
- (3)  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A) = \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$  for every  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open set  $A$  in  $X$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Since  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A) \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-Cl}_\theta(A)$  for any subset  $A$  of  $X$ , it is sufficient to show that  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-Cl}_\theta(A) \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-s Cl}(A)$  for any  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen or

$(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set  $A$  of  $X$ . Let  $x \notin (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - $s\text{Cl}(A)$ . Then there exists a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set  $U$  with  $x \in U$  such that  $U \cap A = \emptyset$ . Thus there exists a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $V$  such that  $V \subset U \subset \tau_j\text{-Cl}(V)$  with  $V \cap A = \emptyset$  which implies  $V \cap \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) = \emptyset$ . This means  $V \cap \tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)) = \emptyset$ . So  $\tau_i\text{-Cl}(V) \cap \tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)) = \emptyset$ . Now, if  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen, then  $A \subset \tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A))$  and thus  $\tau_i\text{-Cl}(V) \cap A = \emptyset$ . If  $A$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen, since  $X$  is pairwise extremally disconnected,  $\tau_i\text{-Cl}(V)$  is  $\tau_j$ -open and thus  $\tau_i\text{-Cl}(V) \cap \tau_j\text{-Cl}(\tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A))) = \emptyset$  which implies  $\tau_i\text{-Cl}(V) \cap A = \emptyset$ . So in any case,  $x \notin (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-Cl}_\theta(A)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): First let  $A$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set in  $X$ . By Lemmas 3.10 and 3.5, we have  $\tau_i\text{-Int}(\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-}s\text{Cl}(A) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-Cl}_\theta(A) = \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$ . Then  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$  is  $\tau_i$ -open. Thus by Theorem 3.6,  $X$  is pairwise extremally disconnected. Next, let  $A$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set in  $X$ . Then we have

$$(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-Cl}(A) \subset \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-Cl}_\theta(A) = (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-}s\text{Cl}(A).$$

Thus  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-}s\text{Cl}(A) = \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$ . So  $X$  is pairwise extremally disconnected from Theorem 3.6.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let  $A$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open set in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is pairwise extremally disconnected, by Theorem 3.6,  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$  is  $\tau_i$ -open in  $X$ . Then by Lemma 3.10,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-}s\text{Cl}(A) = \tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $U$  and  $V$ , respectively, be  $\tau_i$ -open and  $\tau_j$ -open sets such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Then  $U \subset X \setminus V$  which implies  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-}s\text{Cl}(U) \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-}s\text{Cl}(X \setminus V) = X \setminus V$ . Thus  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-}s\text{Cl}(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ . Since  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-}s\text{Cl}(U)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen in  $X$ ,  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-}s\text{Cl}(U) \cap (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}s\text{Cl}(V) = \emptyset$ . So by (3),  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(U) \cap \tau_i\text{-Cl}(V) = \emptyset$ . Hence by Theorem 3.2,  $X$  is pairwise extremally disconnected.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.12.** *In a mixed neutrosophic topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X$  is pairwise extremally disconnected,
- (2) for each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open set  $A$  in  $X$  and each  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set  $B$  in  $X$  such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $\tau_i\text{-Cl}(A) \cap \tau_j\text{-Cl}(B) = \emptyset$ ,
- (3) for each  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -preopen set  $A$  in  $X$  and each  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set  $B$  in  $X$  such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $\tau_i\text{-Cl}(A) \cap \tau_j\text{-Cl}(B) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $A$  be a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\beta$ -open set and  $B$  a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen set such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $A \cap \tau_j\text{-Int}(B) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) \cap \tau_j\text{-Int}(B) = \emptyset$ . By Theorem 3.6,  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A)$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open set in  $X$ . So  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) \cap \tau_i\text{-Cl}(\tau_j\text{-Int}(B)) = \emptyset$ . Since  $B$  is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -semiopen in  $X$ ,  $\tau_i\text{-Cl}(B) = \tau_i\text{-Cl}(\tau_j\text{-Int}(B))$ . Hence  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) \cap \tau_i\text{-Cl}(B) = \emptyset$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Straightforward.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $A$  be a  $\tau_i$ -open set and  $B$  a  $\tau_j$ -open set such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Since every  $\tau_i$ -open set is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set and every  $\tau_j$ -open set is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -semiopen set and every  $\tau_j$ -open set is a  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -preopen set,  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(A) \cap \tau_i\text{-Cl}(B) = \emptyset$ . Then by Theorem 3.2,  $X$  is pairwise extremally disconnected.  $\square$

#### 4. CONCLUSION

We have introduced not only the notion of mixed neutrosophic topological space but also several generalized open sets in the context of such spaces. We obtained

many new, useful and important properties. The notion of extremally disconnectedness is also introduced, characterized and discussed with respect to some generalized open sets. The fertile ground of Mixed neutrosophic topological spaces demands more research for example with respect to separation axioms, compactness, multi-functions, different types of continuities and decision-making problems, to name a few.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors thank the referees for their constructive comments and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Zadeh, L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control* 8 (1965) 338–353.
- [2] C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 24 (1968) 182–190.
- [3] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, in: V. Sgurev, Ed., VII ITKR's Session, Sofia (June 1983 Central Sci. and Techn. Library, Bulg. Academy of Sciences, 1984).
- [4] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 20 (1986) 87–96.
- [5] K. Atanassov, Review and new results on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Preprint IM-MFAIS-1-88, Sofia, 1988.
- [6] K. Atanassov and S. Stoeva, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, in: Polish Syrup. on Interval & Fuzzy Mathematics, Poznan, (August 1983) 23–26.
- [7] K. Atanassov and S. Stoeva, Intuitionistic L-fuzzy sets, in: R. Trappl, Ed., *Cybernetics and System Research*, Vol. 2 (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1984) 539–540.
- [8] F. Smarandache, Neutrosophy and neutrosophic Logic, First International Conference on Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Logic, Set, Probability, and Statistics University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301, USA 2002.
- [9] F. Smarandache, A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability, American Research Press, Rehoboth, NM, 1999.
- [10] A. A. Salama and S. A. Ablowi, Neutrosophic set and neutrosophic topological spaces, *IOSR Journal of Mathematics* 3 (4) (2012) 31–35.
- [11] R. Dhavaseelan and S. Jafari, Generalized neutrosophic closed sets, *New Trends in Neutrosophic Theory and Applications*, Vol.II, Florentin Smarandache, Surapati Pramanik (Editors), Pons Editions Brussels, Belgium, EU 2018 245–258.

S. JAFARI ([jafaripersia@gmail.com](mailto:jafaripersia@gmail.com), [saeidjafari@topositus.com](mailto:saeidjafari@topositus.com))  
 Dr.rer.nat in Mathematics (Graz University of Technology-Graz, Austria)  
 Professor of Mathematics College of Vestsjaelland South Herrestarede 11  
 and  
 Mathematical and Physical Science Foundation 4200 Slagelse Denmark

G. NORDO ([giorgio.nordo@unime.it](mailto:giorgio.nordo@unime.it))  
 Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche Informatiche Scienze Fisiche Scienze della Terra Dell' Uuniversita degli Studi di Messina, Viale Ferdinando Stagno d'Alcontres, 31–98166 Messina, Italy

N. RAJESH ([nrajesh\\_topology@yahoo.co.in](mailto:nrajesh_topology@yahoo.co.in))  
 Department of Mathematics, Rajah Serfoji Govt. College Thanjavur-613005 Tamilnadu, India