Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics Volume 14, No. 5, (November 2017), pp. 433–443 ISSN: 2093–9310 (print version) ISSN: 2287–6235 (electronic version) http://www.afmi.or.kr

© FMII © Kyung Moon Sa Co. http://www.kyungmoon.com

k-pseudo similar intuitionistic fuzzy matrices

P. JENITA, E. KARUPPUSAMY, D. THANGAMANI



Reprinted from the Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics Vol. 14, No. 5, November 2017 Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics Volume 14, No. 5, (November 2017), pp. 433–443 ISSN: 2093–9310 (print version) ISSN: 2287–6235 (electronic version) http://www.afmi.or.kr

© FMI © Kyung Moon Sa Co. http://www.kyungmoon.com

k-pseudo similar intuitionistic fuzzy matrices

P. JENITA, E. KARUPPUSAMY, D. THANGAMANI

Received 4 January 2017; Revised 2 February 2017; Accepted 27 March 2017

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we shall define k-pseudo similarity (right k-pseudo similar or left k-pseudo similar) for intuitionistic fuzzy matrices and prove that, for a pair of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices $A, B \in (IF)_n$, if A is said to be right (left) k-pseudo similar to B then A^s is said to be right (left) k-pseudo similar to B^s for any integer $s \ge 1$, but the converse is not true which is illustrated by an example. Also prove that, A is said to be left (right) k-pseudo similar to B^T if and only if B^T is said to be left (right) k-pseudo similar to A^T . We exhibit that the k-pseudo similarity on A and B preserve k-regularity of the intuitionistic fuzzy matrices A and B. As a special case, for k = 1 it reduces to pseudo similar intuitionistic fuzzy matrices [3].

2010 AMS Classification: 03E72, 15B15

Keywords: Intuitionistic fuzzy matrix (IFM), k-pseudo similar, k-regular fuzzy matrix, k-g inverse.

Corresponding Author: P. Jenita(sureshjenita@yahoo.co.in)

1. INTRODUCTION

We deal with the fuzzy matrices that is the matrices over the fuzzy algebra with support [0, 1] under the max-min operations $\{+, .\}$ defined as $a + b = max \{a, b\}$ and $a.b = min \{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in \{F : F = [0, 1]\}$. Let F_{mn} the set of all $m \times n$ fuzzy matrices over the fuzzy algebra F. A matrix $A \in F_{m \times n}$ is said to be regular if there exists X such that AXA = A; X is called a generalized (g^-) inverse of A and is denoted by A^- . A development of theory of fuzzy matrices analogous to that of Boolean matrices is made by Kim and Roush [5]. Atanassov has introduced and developed the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets [1]. A study on regularity and various g-inverse of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices over intuitionistic fuzzy algebra are discussed in [10]. Basic properties of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices as a generalization of the results on fuzzy matrices have been derived by Pal and Khan [4]. Meenakshi and Gandhimathi have studied on regularity of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices [8]. In [11], some properties on both idempotent intuitionistic fuzzy matrices and idempotent intuitionistic fuzzy matrices of T-type are discussed. In [12], a problem of reducing intuitionistic fuzzy matrices is examined and some useful properties are obtained with respect to nilpotent intuitionistic fuzzy matrices. In [6], some properties of a transitive fuzzy matrix are examined and the canonical form of the transitive fuzzy matrix is given using the properties also obtained a canonical form of the transitive intuitionistic fuzzy matrix. In [13], szpilrajn's theorem on ordering is generalized to intuitionistic fuzzy orderings. In [14], Riyaz Ahmad Padder and Murugadas have introduced the max-max operations on intuitionistic fuzzy matrices to study the conditions for convergence of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices. In [2], Cho has discussed the consistency of fuzzy matrix equations. Recently, Meenakshi and Jenita have introduced the concept of k-regular fuzzy matrix as a generalization of regular fuzzy matrix [9]. Further to learn about fuzzy matrix theory and applications one may refer [7]. In this paper, we have introduced the concept of k-pseudo similar intuitionistic fuzzy matrices (IFM) as a generalization of pseudo similar intuitionistic fuzzy matrices [3].

2. Preliminaries

In this paper, we are concerned with fuzzy matrices, that is matrices over a fuzzy algebra FM(FN) with support [0, 1], under maxmin(minmax) operations and the usual ordering of real numbers. Let $(IF)_{m \times n}$ be the set of all intuitionistic fuzzy matrices of order $m \times n$, $F_{m \times n}^M$ be the set of all fuzzy matrices of order $m \times n$, under the maxmin composition and $F_{m \times n}^N$ be the set of all fuzzy matrices of order $m \times n$, under the minmax composition.

If $A = (a_{ij}) \in (IF)_{m \times n}$, then $A = (\langle a_{ij\mu}, a_{ij\vartheta} \rangle)$, where $a_{ij\mu}$ and $a_{ij\vartheta}$ are the membership values and non membership values of a_{ij} in A respectively with respect to the fuzzy sets μ and ϑ , maintaining the condition $0 \le a_{ij\mu} + a_{ij\vartheta} \le 1$.

We shall follow the matrix operations on intuitionistic fuzzy matrices as defined in [8].

For
$$A, B \in (IF)_{m \times n}$$
,
 $A + B = (\langle \max\{a_{ij\mu}, b_{ij\mu}\}, \min\{a_{ij\vartheta}, b_{ij\vartheta}\}\rangle)$,
 $AB = \left(\langle \max_k \min\{a_{ik\mu}, b_{kj\mu}\}, \min_k \max\{a_{ik\vartheta}, b_{kj\vartheta}\} \rangle \right)$.
Let us define the order relation on $(IF)_{m \times n}$ as:

 $A \leq B \Leftrightarrow a_{ij\mu} \leq b_{ij\mu}$ and $a_{ij\vartheta} \geq b_{ij\vartheta}$, for all *i* and *j*.

In this work, we shall represent $A \in (IF)_{m \times n}$ as Cartesian product of fuzzy matrices.

For $A = (a_{ij}) \in (IF)_{m \times n}$. Let $A = (a_{ij}) = (\langle a_{ij\mu}, a_{ij\vartheta} \rangle) \in (IF)_{m \times n}$. We define $A_{\mu} = (a_{ij\mu}) \in F_{m \times n}^{M}$ as the membership part of A and $A_{\vartheta} = (a_{ij\vartheta}) \in F_{m \times n}^{N}$ as the non-membership part of A. Thus A is written as the Cartesian product A_{μ} and A_{ϑ} , $A = \langle A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \rangle$ with $A_{\mu} \in F_{m \times n}^{M}$, $A_{\vartheta} \in F_{m \times n}^{N}$.

Definition 2.1 ([8]). For $A, B \in (IF)_{m \times n}$, if $A = \langle A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \rangle$ and $B = \langle B_{\mu}, B_{\vartheta} \rangle$, then $A + B = \langle A_{\mu} + B_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} + B_{\vartheta} \rangle$.

Definition 2.2 ([8]). For $A \in (IF)_{m \times p}$, $B \in (IF)_{p \times n}$ if $A = \langle A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \rangle$ and $B = \langle B_{\mu}, B_{\vartheta} \rangle$, then

(i) $AB = \langle A_{\mu}B_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta}B_{\vartheta} \rangle$, where $A_{\mu}B_{\mu}$ is the max min product in $F_{m \times n}^{M}$ and $A_{\vartheta}B_{\vartheta}$ is the min max product in $F_{m \times n}^{N}$, (ii) $A^{T} = \langle A_{\mu}^{T}, A_{\vartheta}^{T} \rangle$.

(II) $A \equiv \langle A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \rangle$.

Definition 2.3 ([8]). A matrix $A \in (IF)_n$ is said to be invertible, if there exists $X \in (IF)_n$ such that $AX = XA = I_n = \langle I_n^M, I_n^N \rangle$, where I_n is the identity matrix in $(IF)_n$.

Definition 2.4 ([8]). A square intuitionistic fuzzy matrix is called intuitionistic fuzzy permutation matrix, if every row and column contains exactly one < 1, 0 > and all the other entries are < 0, 1 >. Let P_n be the set of all $n \times n$ permutation matrices in $(IF)_n$.

Definition 2.5 ([4]). An $A \in (IF)_{m \times n}$ is said to be regular, if there exists $X \in (IF)_{m \times n}$ satisfying AXA = A. In this case, X is called a generalized inverses (g-inverse) of A and is denoted by \overline{A} .

Let $A\{1\}$ be the set of all g-inverses of A.

Definition 2.6 ([3]). $A \in (IF)_m$ and $B \in (IF)_n$ are said to be pseudo similar, denoted by $A \cong B$, if there exist $X \in (IF)_{mn}$ and $Y \in (IF)_{nm}$ such that

A = XBY, B = YAX and XYX = X.

Lemma 2.7 ([3]). Let $A \in (IF)_m$ and $B \in (IF)_n$. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) $A \cong B$,

(2) there exist $X \in (IF)_{mn}$, $Y \in (IF)_{nm}$ such that A = XBY, B = YAX, XYX = X and YXY = Y,

(3) There exist $X \in (IF)_{mn}$, $Y \in (IF)_{nm}$ such that A = XBY, B = ZAX, XYX = X = XZX.

Theorem 2.8 ([8]). Let $A \in (IF)_{m \times n}$ be of the form $A = \langle A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \rangle$. Then A is regular $\Leftrightarrow A_{\mu}$ is regular in $F_{m \times n}^{M}$ under max-min composition and A_{ϑ} is regular in $F_{m \times n}^{N}$ under min-max composition. $A_{\mu} = (a_{ij\mu}) \in F_{m \times n}^{M}$ as the membership part of A and $A_{\vartheta} = (a_{ij\vartheta}) \in F_{m \times n}^{N}$ as the non-membership part of A.

3. K-PSEUDO SIMILAR INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY MATRICES

Definition 3.1. A matrix $A \in (IF)_n$, is said be right k-regular, if there exists a matrix $X \in (IF)_n$ such that $A^k X A = A^k$, for some positive integer k.

In this case, X is called a right k-g-inverse of A. Let $A_r \{1^k\} = \{X/A^k X A = A^k\}$.

Definition 3.2. A matrix $A \in (IF)_n$, is said be left k-regular, if there exists a matrix $Y \in (IF)_n$ such that $AYA^k = A^k$, for some positive integer k.

In this case, Y is called a left k-g-inverse of A.

Let $A_{\ell} \{ 1^k \} = \{ Y / AY A^k = A^k \}$.

In general, right k-regular is different from left k-regular. Then a right k-g-inverse need not be a left k-g-inverse (refer to Example 3.4). Thus forth we call a right k-regular (*or*) left k-regular IFM as a k-regular IFM.

Example 3.3. Let us consider $A = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.3, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.2, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix} \in (IF)_2$, where $A_{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix} \in F_2^M$ and $A_{\vartheta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in F_2^N$. Since each row of A_{μ} cannot be expressed as linear combination of the other row, by Definition 2.5 of (5), the rows are linearly independent. Then by Definition 2.6 of (2) ,they form a standard basis for the row space of A_{μ} .

For both permutation matrices $P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $A_{\mu}P_1A_{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & 0 \\ 0.3 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix} \neq A_{\mu}$ and $A_{\mu}P_2A_{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & 0.2 \\ 0.5 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix} \neq A_{\mu}$. Thus A_{μ} is not regular by

 $\begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix} \neq A_{\mu}$ and $A_{\mu}P_2A_{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix} \neq A_{\mu}$. Thus A_{μ} is not regular by step 3 in Algorithm 1 of (2). Namely, A_{μ} is regular iff $A_{\mu}PA_{\mu} = A_{\mu}$, for some permutation matrix P. Since A_{ϑ} is idempotent, A_{ϑ} itself is a g-inverse of A_{ϑ} , A_{ϑ} is regular under min max composition. So by Theorem 2.8, A is not regular.

For this $A, A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.3, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0.3, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.2, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$. For $X = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.2, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$, $A^2 X A = A^2 = AXA^2$ holds. Hence A is 2-regular.

Example 3.4. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$. Then A_{μ} is not regu-

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For this } A, A^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.0.5 \rangle & \langle 0, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, A^3 = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, \\ \text{For } X &= \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, A^3 XA = A^3 \neq A XA^3 \text{ holds. So } X \end{aligned}$$

is a right 3-g inverse but X is not a 3-g inverse of A.

Theorem 3.5. Let $A = \langle A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \rangle \in (IF)_n$. Then A is right k-regular IFM $\Leftrightarrow A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \in F_n$ are right k-regular.

Proof. Let $A = \langle A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \rangle \in (IF)_n$. Since A is right k-regular IFM, there exists $X \in (IF)_n$, such that $A^k X A = A^k$.

Let $X = \langle X_{\mu}, X_{\vartheta} \rangle \in (IF)_n$ with $X_{\mu}, X_{\vartheta} \in F_n$. Then by Definition 2.2, $A^k X A = A^k$. Thus $\langle A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \rangle^k \langle X_{\mu}, X_{\vartheta} \rangle \langle A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \rangle = \langle A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \rangle^k$,

$$\begin{array}{l} \left\langle A^k_{\mu}, A^k_{\vartheta} \right\rangle \left\langle X_{\mu}, X_{\vartheta} \right\rangle \left\langle A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \right\rangle = \left\langle A^k_{\mu}, A^k_{\vartheta} \right\rangle, \\ \left\langle A^k_{\mu} X_{\mu} A_{\mu}, A^k_{\vartheta} X_{\vartheta} A_{\vartheta} \right\rangle = \left\langle A^k_{\mu}, A^k_{\vartheta} \right\rangle, \\ A^k_{\mu} X_{\mu} A_{\mu} = A^k_{\mu} \text{ and } A^k_{\vartheta} X_{\vartheta} A_{\vartheta} = A^k_{\vartheta}. \end{array}$$

So $A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \in F_n$ are right k-regular.

Conversely, suppose $A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \in F_n$ are right k-regular. Then $A^k_{\mu}X_{\mu}A_{\mu} = A^k_{\mu}$ and $A^k_{\vartheta}X_{\vartheta}A_{\vartheta} = A^k_{\vartheta}$, for some $X_{\mu}, X_{\vartheta} \in F_n$. Thus X_{μ} is a right k-g inverse of A_{μ} and X_{ϑ} is a right k-g inverse of A_{ϑ} .

Now let us define the IFM $Z = \langle V, W \rangle$, where V is a right k-g inverse of A_{μ} and W is a right k-g inverse of A_{ϑ} . We claim that Z is a right k-g inverse of A. Then by

Definition 2.2,

 $A^{k}ZA = \left\langle A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \right\rangle^{k} \left\langle V, W \right\rangle \left\langle A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \right\rangle = \left\langle A_{\mu}^{k}VA_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta}^{k}WA_{\vartheta} \right\rangle = \left\langle A_{\mu}^{k}, A_{\vartheta}^{k} \right\rangle = A^{k}.$

Thus A is right k-regular IFM. So the proof is done.

Theorem 3.6. Let $A = \langle A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \rangle \in (IF)_n$. Then A is left k-regular IFM $\Leftrightarrow A_{\mu}, A_{\vartheta} \in F_n$ are left k-regular.

Proof. This can be proved along the same lines as that of Theorem 3.5.

Definition 3.7. $A \in (IF)_n$ is said to be right k-pseudo similar to $B \in (IF)_n$, denoted by $A \cong_r^k B$, if there exist $X, Y \in (IF)_n$ such that $A = XBY, B = YAX^k, X^kYX = X^k$ and YXY = Y.

Definition 3.8. $A \in (IF)_n$ is said to be left k-pseudo similar to $B \in (IF)_n$, denoted by $A \cong_{\ell}^k B$, if there exist $X, Y \in (IF)_n$ such that $A = X^k BY, B = YAX, XYX^k = X^k$ and YXY = Y.

Remark 3.9. In particular for k=1, Definitions 3.7 and 3.8 are identical. Then k-pseudo similar is reduced to Lemma 2.7. However, both right and left k-pseudo similarity of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices are not symmetric as in the case of pseudo similarity of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices.

Lemma 3.10. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$. If $A \cong_r^k B$, then we have the following:

- (1) $A^s = XB^sY$, for any integer $s \ge 1$,
- (2) BYX = YXB = B,
- $(3) \ AXY = XYA = A,$
- (4) $B^s = YA^sX$, for any integer $s \ge 1$.

Proof. Since $A \cong_r^k B$, A = XBY, $B = YAX^k$, $X^kYX = X^k$ and YXY = Y. (1) Since A = XBY, $A^2 = (XBY)(XBY) = X(BYX)BY$. Thus

$$BYX = (YAX^k) YX = YA (X^kYX) = YAX^k = B.$$

So, $A^2 = (XBY)(XBY) = X(BYX)BY = XBBY = XB^2Y$. Hence in general, $A^s = XB^sY$, for any integer $s \ge 1$.

(2) $YXB = YX(YAX^k) = (YXY)AX^k = YAX^k = B$

and $BYX = (YAX^k)YX = YA(X^kYX) = YAX^k = B.$

(3) AXY = (XBY)XY = XB(YXY) = XBY = Aand XYA = XY(XBY) = X(YXB)Y = XBY = A.

(4) Clearly, B = YXB. Then $B^s = YXB^s$. Thus $B^s = YX(B^sYX) = Y(XB^sY)X = YA^sX$.

437

Lemma 3.11. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$. If $A \cong_{\ell}^k B$. Then we have the following:

(1) $B^s = YA^sX$, for any integer $s \ge 1$,

(2) AXY = XYA = A,

(3)) BYX = YXB = B,

(4) $A^s = XB^sY$, for any integer $s \ge 1$.

Proof. This can be proved as that of Lemma 3.10 and then omitted.

Theorem 3.12. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$ such that $A \cong_r^k B$. A is right(left) k-regular $\Leftrightarrow B$ is right(left) k-regular.

Proof. Since $A \cong_r^k B$, A = XBY, $B = YAX^k$, $X^kYX = X^k$ and YXY = Y. When the positive integers k and s are same in Lemma 3.10, we have

 $A^{k} = XB^{k}Y, BYX = YXB = B, AXY = XYA = A$

and

 $B^k = Y A^k X$, for any integer $k \ge 1$.

Let A be right k-regular, i.e., $A^kGA = A^k$. Then G is a right k-g-inverse of A. Choose U = YGX. We claim that U is a right k-g-inverse of B. Then

$$B^{k}UB = (YA^{k}X) (YGX) B = Y (A^{k}XY) G (XB)$$

$$= YA^{k}G(XBYX) = YA^{k}G(AX)$$

$$= Y \left(A^k G A \right) X = Y A^k X = B^k.$$

Conversely, assume that B is right k-regular, i.e., $B^k UB = B^k$. Then U is a right k-g-inverse of B. Choose G = XUY We prove that , G is a right k-g-inverse of A. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A^{k}GA &= \left(XB^{k}Y\right)\left(XUY\right)\left(XBY\right) \\ &= X\left(B^{k}YX\right)U\left(YBX\right)Y \\ &= XB^{k}UBY = XB^{k}Y \\ &= A^{k}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, A is left k-regular $\Leftrightarrow B$ is left k-regular can be proved in the same manner. Thus the proof is done.

Theorem 3.13. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$ such that $A \cong_{\ell}^k B$. Then A is right(left) k-regular $\Leftrightarrow B$ is right(left) k-regular.

Proof. This can be proved as that of Theorem 3.12 and then omitted. \Box

Remark 3.14. For k = 1, Theorems 3.12 and 3.13 reduces to the following.

Theorem 3.15 ([3]). Let $A \in (IF)_m$ and $B \in (IF)_n$ such that $A \cong B$. Then A is a regular matrix $\Leftrightarrow B$ is a regular matrix.

Lemma 3.16. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$ and suppose $A \cong_r^k B$. Then there exist $X, Y \in (IF)_n$ such that $A = XBY, B = YAX^k$ and XY is k-potent.

Proof. Since $A \cong_r^k B$, A = XBY, $B = YAX^k$, $X^kYX = X^k$ and YXY = Y. Then $(XY)^k = (XY)^{k-1} XY$ $= (XY)^{k-2} XYXY$ $= (XY)^{k-2} X (YXY)$ $= (XY)^{k-2} XY$ $= \dots$ = XY.

Thus the proof is done.

Remark 3.17. The converse of the above Lemma need not be true. This is illustrated in the following.

Example 3.18. Let us consider $X = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.3, 0.3 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.2, 0.2 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$ and $Y = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0, 1 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$. For $A = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0, 1 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0.2, 0.3 \rangle & \langle 0.2, 0.3 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0, 1 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0.2, 0.3 \rangle & \langle 0.2, 0.3 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$, $A = XBY, B = YAX^2$ and $(XY)^2 = XY$. Then XY is 2-potent, but $X^2YX \neq X^2$ and $YXY \neq Y$. Here A is not right 2-pseudo similar to B.

Lemma 3.19. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$. If $A \cong_{\ell}^k B$, then there exist $X, Y \in (IF)_n$ such that $A = X^k BY, B = YAX$ and YX is k-potent.

Proof. Since $A \cong_{\ell}^{k} B$, $A = X^{k}BY$, B = YAX, $XYX^{k} = X^{k}$ and YXY = Y. Thus $(YX)^{k} = (YX)^{k-1}YX$ $= (YX)^{k-2}YXYX$ $= (YX)^{k-2}(YXY)X$ $= (YX)^{k-2}YX$ $= \dots$ = YX.

So the proof is done.

Theorem 3.20. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) $A \cong_r^k B$, (2) $B^T \cong_{\ell}^k A^T$, (3) $PAP^T \cong_r^k PBP^T$, for some permutation matrix $P \in (IF)_n$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) : This is direct by taking transpose on both sides and by using $(A^T)^T = A$ and $(AX)^T = X^T A^T$.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) : Suppose $A \cong_r^k B$. Then $A = XBY, B = YAX^k, X^kYX = X^k$ and YXY = Y. Thus

Since A = XBY,

$$PAP^{T} = PXBYP^{T} = (PXP^{T})(PBP^{T})(PYP^{T}).$$
Since $B = YAX^{k}$,
$$(3.1)$$

$$PBP^{T} = PYAX^{k}P^{T} = (PYP^{T}) (PAP^{T}) (PX^{k}P^{T})$$
$$= (PYP^{T}) (PAP^{T}) (PXP^{T})^{k}.$$
(3.2)

Since $X^k Y X = X^k$, $P X^{k} P^T = P X^k Y X P^T$. Thus $P X^k P^T = (P X^k P^T) (P Y P^T) (P X P^T)$. (3.3)

On the other hand, $(PXP^T)^k = (PXP^T)^k (PYP^T) (PXP^T)$. Since Y = YXY, $PYP^T = PYXYP^T$. Thus

$$PYP^{T} = (PYP^{T}) (PXP^{T}) (PYP^{T}).$$
So $PAP^{T} \cong_{r}^{k} PBP^{T}.$
(3.4)

Conversely, suppose $PAP^T \cong_r^k PBP^T$. Pre-multiply by P^T and post multiply by P in Equations (3.1) to (3.4), we get $A = XBY, B = YAX^k, X^kYX = X^k$ and YXY = Y. Then $A \cong_r^k B$. Thus the proof is done.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Example 3.21. The above Theorem 3.20 is illustrated in this example.} \\ \textbf{Let us consider } A = \left[\begin{array}{c} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{array} \right], B = \left[\begin{array}{c} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{array} \right], X = \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.5 \rangle \end{array} \right] \text{ and } Y = \left[\begin{array}{c} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{array} \right]. \text{ Here } X \neq XYX. \\ \textbf{For this } X, X^2 = \left[\begin{array}{c} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{array} \right]. \\ \textbf{Now } A = XBY = \left[\begin{array}{c} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{array} \right], \\ B = YAX^2 = \left[\begin{array}{c} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{array} \right], \\ X^2 = X^2YX = \left[\begin{array}{c} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{array} \right], \\ \textbf{and} \end{array} \right]$ Example 3.21. The above Theorem 3.20 is illustrated in this example. and $Y = YXY = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{array} \right].$ Then $A \cong_r^k B$. For a given A and B
$$\begin{split} A^{T} &= \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, \\ B^{T} &= \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.4 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, \\ X^{T} &= \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.4 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, \\ Y^{T} &= \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{split} & \left(X^{T}\right)^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}. \text{ For this } X^{T}, Y^{T} \in (IF)_{2}, \\ & A^{T} = Y^{T}B^{T}X^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, \\ & B^{T} = \left(X^{T}\right)^{2}A^{T}Y^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, \\ & \left(X^{T}\right)^{2} = X^{T}Y^{T} \left(X^{T}\right)^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, \\ & Y^{T} = Y^{T}X^{T}Y^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, \\ & B^{T} \simeq_{k}^{k} A^{T} \end{split}$$
and Thus $B^T \cong_{\ell}^k A^T$. Consider a intuitionistic fuzzy permutation matrix $P = \langle P_{\mu}, P \vartheta \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0, 1 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$. For this $P, P^T = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 0, 1 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$. On the other hand, $PAP^T = X \left(PBP^T \right) Y = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$

and

$$PBP^{T} = Y \left(PAP^{T} \right) X^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix}.$$

So $PAP^{T} \cong_{r}^{k} PBP^{T}$ for some permutation matrix $P \in (IF)_{n}$.

Theorem 3.22. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) $A \cong_{\ell}^{k} B$, (2) $B^{T} \cong_{r}^{k} A^{T}$, (3)) $PAP^{T} \cong_{\ell}^{k} PBP^{T}$, for some permutation matrix $P \in (IF)_{n}$.

Proof. Proof of the theorem is similar to Theorem 3.20 and hence omitted.

Theorem 3.23. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$. If $A \cong_r^k B$, then $A^s \cong_r^k B^s$, for any integer $s \ge 1$.

Proof. Suppose $A \cong_r^k B$. Then $A = XBY, B = YAX^k, X^kYX = X^k$ and YXY = Y.

Prove that, $A^s \cong_r^k B^s$. By Lemma 3.10(1), $A^s = XB^sY$, for any integer $s \ge 1$. Next prove that, $B^s = YA^sX^k$.

By Lemma 3.10(2), BYX = YXB = B. Then

$$B^{s} = YXB^{s} = YXB^{s-1}B = YXB^{s-1}(YAX^{k})$$
$$= Y(XB^{s-1}Y)AX^{k} = Y(A^{s-1})AX^{k}$$

 $= YA^sX^k$. Thus $A^s \cong_r^k B^s$, for any integer $s \ge 1$. So the proof is done. \square

Remark 3.24. The converse of the above theorem need not be true. This is illustrated in the following.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Example 3.25.} \ & \text{Let us consider } X = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.3, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix} \\ & \text{and } Y = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix} . \ & \text{For } X^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix} , \\ & XYX \neq X, X^2YX = X^2 \text{ and } YXY = Y. \\ & \text{For } A = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.3, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5, 0.4 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \\ \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle & \langle 0.1, 0.5 \rangle \end{bmatrix} , \\ & A^2 = XB^2Y \text{ and } B^2 = YA^2X^2. \end{split}$$

Then A^2 is right 2-pseudo similar to B^2 . But $A \neq XBY$ and $B = YAX^2$. Here A is not right 2-pseudo similar to B.

Theorem 3.26. Let $A, B \in (IF)_n$. If $A \cong_{\ell}^k B$, then $A^s \cong_{\ell}^k B^s$, for any integer $s \ge 1$.

Proof. This is similar to Theorem 3.23 and then omitted.

Theorem 3.27. Let $A, B, C \in (IF)_n$. If $A \cong_r^k B$ and $B \cong_r^k C$, then $A \cong_r^k C$ and if there exist matrices X, Y, Z and L with $Y \in X\{1_r^k\}, Z \in L\{1_r^k\}, X \in Y\{1\}, L \in Z\{1\}$, and XL = LX satisfying any one of the following:

(1) LZY = Y, (2) ZYX = Z, (3) XLZ = X, (4) YXL = L.

Proof. Since $A \cong_r^k B$, A = XBY, $B = YAX^k$, $X^kYX = X^k$ and YXY = Y. Since $B \cong_r^k C$, B = LCZ, $C = ZBL^k$, $L^kZL = L^k$ and ZLZ = Z. Thern, A = XBY = X (LCZ) Y = (XL) C (ZY).

and

 $C = ZBL^{k} = Z(YAX^{k})L^{k} = (ZY)A(X^{k}L^{k}) = (ZY)A(XL)^{k}.$ To prove, $A \cong_r^k C$, it is enough to prove that $ZY \in (XL) \{1_r^k\}$ and $XL \in (ZY) \{1\}$. Suppose (1) holds. Then $(XL)^{k}(ZY)(XL) = X^{k}L^{k}(ZY)(XL) = X^{k}L^{k-1}(LZY)(XL)$ $= X^{k} L^{k-1} (Y) (XL) = L^{k-1} X^{k} (Y) (XL)$ $= L^{k-1} \left(X^{k} Y X \right) L = L^{k-1} X^{k} L$ $= (XL)^{k}$ and $\left(ZY\right)\left(XL\right)\left(ZY\right)=ZYX\left(LZY\right)=ZYXY=Z\left(YXY\right)=ZY.$ Suppose (2) holds. Then $(XL)^{k} (ZY) (XL) = (XL)^{k} (ZYX) L$ $= (XL)^k ZY = X^k L^k ZL$ $= X^k L^k = (XL)^k$ and (ZY) (XL) (ZY) = (ZYX) LZY = ZLZY = (ZLZ) Y = ZY.Suppose (3) holds. Then $(XL)^{k}(ZY)(XL) = (XL)^{k-1}(XL)(ZY)(XL)$ $= \left(XL\right)^{k-1} \left(XLZ\right) \left(YXL\right)$ $= (XL)^{k-1} XYXL = L^{k-1}X^{k-1}XYXL$ $= L^{k-1}X^kYXL = L^{k-1}X^kL$ $=(XL)^{k}$ and (ZY) (XL) (ZY) = ZY (XLZ) Y = ZYXY = Z (YXY) = ZY.Suppose (4) holds. Then $(XL)^{k}(ZY)(XL) = (XL)^{k}Z(YXL)$ $= (XL)^k ZL = X^k L^k ZL = X^k L^k$ $= (XL)^k$ (ZY) (XL) (ZY) = Z (YXL) ZY = ZLZY = (ZLZ) Y = ZY.and Thus the proof is done.

Theorem 3.28. Let $A, B, C \in (IF)_n$. If $A \cong_{\ell}^k B$ and $B \cong_{\ell}^k C$, then $A \cong_{\ell}^k C$ and if there exist matrices X, Y, Z and L with $Y \in X \{1_{\ell}^k\}, Z \in L \{1_{\ell}^k\}, X \in Y \{1\}, L \in Z \{1\}$, and XL = LX satisfying any one of the following: (1) LZY = Y, (2) ZYX = Z, (3) XLZ = X, (4) YXL = L.

442

Proof. This is similar to that of Theorem 3.27 and then omitted.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, the concept of k-regular intuitionistic fuzzy matrix as a generalization of regular intuitionistic fuzzy matrix is introduced. k-pseudo similar intuitionistic fuzzy matrix is defined and the properties are discussed.

References

- [1] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and System 20 (1986) 87–96.
- [2] H. H. Cho, Regular fuzzy matrices and fuzzy equations, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 105 (1999) 445-451.
- [3] T. Gandhimathi and AR. Meenakshi, Pseudo similar intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, Applied and Computational Mathematics, 4 (1-2) (2015) 15–19.
- [4] S. Khan and Anita paul, The Genaralised inverse of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, Journal of Physical Science II (2007) 62–67.
- [5] K. H. Kim and F. W. Roush, On generalized fuzzy matrices, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 4 (1980) 293–375.
- [6] H. Y. Lee and N. G. Jeong, Canonical form of a transitive intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, Honam Mathem. J. 27 (4) (2005) 543–550
- [7] AR. Meenakshi, Fuzzy Matrix Theory and Applications, MJP Publishers, Chennai 2008.
- [8] AR. Meenakshi and T. Gandhimathi, On regular intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, International Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics 19 (2) (2011) 599–605.
- [9] AR. Meenakshi and P. Jenita, Generalized regular fuzzy matrices, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems 8 (2) (2011) 133–141.
- [10] Riyaz Ahmad Padder and P. Murugadas, On idempotent intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, of T-type, International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems 16 (3) (2016) 181–187.
- [11] Riyaz Ahmad Padder and P. Murugadas, Reduction of a nilpotent intuitionistic fuzzy matrix using implication operator, Application of Applied Mathematics 11 (2) (2016) 614–631.
- [12] Riyaz Ahmad Padder and P. Murugadas, Generalization of Szpilrajn's theorem on intuitionistic fuzzy matrix, Journal of Mathematics and Informatics 6 (2016) 7–14.
- [13] Riyaz Ahmad Padder and P. Murugadas, Max-max operations on intuitionistic fuzzy matrix, Ann. Fuzzy Math.Inform. 12 (6) (2016) 757–766.
- [14] M. Pal, S. K. Khan and A. K. Shyamal, Intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets 8 (2) (2002) 51–62.

<u>P. JENITA</u> (sureshjenita@yahoo.co.in)

Assistant Professor, Post Graduate and Research, Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College, Coimbatore - 641018

<u>E. KARUPPUSAMY</u> (samy.mathematics@gmail.com) Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Sri Krishna College of Engineering and Technology, Coimbatore - 641008

<u>D. THANGAMANI</u> (dthangamani.940gmail.com) Scholar, Government Arts College, Coimbatore - 641018