Annals of **F**uzzy **M**athematics and **I**nformatics
Volume 21, No. 1, (February 2021) pp. 93–103
ISSN: 2093–9310 (print version)
ISSN: 2287–6235 (electronic version)
http://www.afmi.or.kr
https://doi.org/10.30948/afmi.2021.21.1.93

@FMI

Separation axioms in (L, M)-fuzzy topology (L, M)-fuzzy convexity spaces

Osama Rashed Sayed, EL-Sayed EL-Sanousy, Yaser Hassanein Ragheb Sayed

Reprinted from the
Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics
Vol. 21, No. 1, February 2021

Annals of **F**uzzy **M**athematics and **I**nformatics
Volume 21, No. 1, (February 2021) pp. 93–103
ISSN: 2093–9310 (print version)
ISSN: 2287–6235 (electronic version)
http://www.afmi.or.kr
https://doi.org/10.30948/afmi.2021.21.1.93

@FMI

Separation axioms in (L, M)-fuzzy topology (L, M)-fuzzy convexity spaces

Osama Rashed Sayed, EL-Sayed EL-Sanousy, Yaser Hassanein Ragheb Sayed

Received 29 October 2020; Revised 30 November 2020; Accepted 21 December 2020

Abstract. In this paper, we define r-L-fuzzy closed convex sets and r-L-fuzzy closed neighbourhoods in an (L, M)-fuzzy topology (L, M)-fuzzy convexity spaces. Also, r-L-fuzzy neighbourhood separation properties r-L-FNS_i were studied where $i = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. In addition, we also study the invariance or otherwise of these separation properties under subspace and product.

2020 AMS Classification: 47H10, 47H09, 47H04, 46S40, 54H25

Keywords: r-L-fuzzy closed convex set, r-L-fuzzy closed neighbourhood, r-L-FNS_0, r-L-FNS_1, r-L-FNS_2, r-L-FNS_3, r-L-FNS_4.

Corresponding Author: Yaser Hassanein Ragheb Sayed (yh_raghp2011@yahoo.com)

1. Introduction

Mathematicians worked to find a mathematical expression of uncertainty in order to solve various real-life problems. Zadeh [1], Molodtsov [2] and Lee et al [3] presented the fuzzy sets, soft sets and octahedron sets as different mathematical models for this mathematical expression, which were applied in various fields of mathematics, engineering and medicine, etc. Later, some researchers (See, for example, [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]) introduced and studied these models.

One of the important branches of mathematics that has been accepted which it has been studied by researchers recent years is the abstract convexity theory [11], [12] which plays an important role in various branches of mathematics. It deals with set-theoretic structures which satisfies axioms similar to that usual convex sets fulfill. Here, by " usual convex sets ", we mean convex sets in real linear spaces. Also, many different mathematical research fields applied abstract convexity theory, such as topological spaces, lattices, metric spaces and graphs (See, for example, [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]). The concept of convex structures as a topology-like structure, it can be also treated as a special kind of spatial structures and some topology-like properties.

As we all know fuzzy mathematics has been applied in many different fields of mathematics as well and through the theory of fuzzy sets was applied fuzzy mathematics in convex structures, Rosa has worked to generalize the convex structure, where he introduced the idea of a fuzzy convex structure in [20, 21] which is called an I-convex structure. Also, Rosa studied a fuzzy topology together with a fuzzy convexity on the same underlying set X, and introduced fuzzy topology fuzzy convexity spaces and the notion of fuzzy local convexity. By framework, which proposed in [22], Li [23] presented a categorical approach to enrich (L, M)-fuzzy convex structures, Xiu et al [24] presented a degree approach to study the relationship between (L, M)-fuzzy convex structures and (L, M)-fuzzy closure systems and Wu and Li [25] introduced (L, M)-fuzzy domain finiteness, (L, M)-fuzzy restricted hull spaces and several characterizations of the category (L, M)-CS of (L, M)-fuzzy convex spaces. Recently, there has been significant research on fuzzy convex structures (See [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35]).

The main contributions of the present paper are to give investigations on an (L, M)-fuzzy topology (L, M)-fuzzy convexity spaces where we define an r-L-fuzzy neighbourhood separation properties r-L-FNS_i with respect to (L, M)-fuzzy topology (L, M)-fuzzy convexity space where $i = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Also, We study their properties and discuss the relationships between these concepts.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, let X be a non-empty set, both L and M be completely distributive lattices with order reversing involution $'$ where $\bot_M$ $(\bot_L)$ and $\top_M(\top_L)$ denote the least and the greatest elements in $M(L)$ respectively, and $M_{\bot_M} = M - \{\bot_M\}(L_{\bot_L} = L - \{\bot_L\})$. An L-fuzzy subset of X is a mapping $\mu : X \longrightarrow L$ and the family L^X denoted the set of all fuzzy subsets of a given X [5]. The least and the greatest elements in L^X are denoted by $\chi_\varnothing$ and χ_X, respectively. For each $\alpha \in L$, let $\underline{\alpha}$ denote the constant L-fuzzy subset of X with the value α. The complementation of a fuzzy subset are defined as $\mu'(x) = (\mu(x))'$ for all $x \in X$, (e.g. $\mu'(x) = 1 - \mu(x)$ in the case of $L = [0, 1]$). Let $X = \prod_{i\in\Gamma} X_i$ and $\mu_i \in L^{X_i}$, then $\mu \in L^X$ denote the product of all $\mu_i \in L^{X_i}$ is defined as follows: $\mu(x) = \wedge_{i\in\Gamma}\mu_i(x_i)$ for all $x \in X$ [36].

Definition 2.1 ([37])**.** Let $\varnothing \neq Y \subseteq X$ and $\mu \in L^X$. Then the *restriction* of μ on Y, is denoted by $\mu|Y$. The *extension* of $\mu \in L^Y$ on X, denoted by μ_X, is defined by:

$$\mu_X(x) = \begin{cases} \mu(x), & \text{if } x \in Y, \\ \bot_L, & \text{if } x \in X - Y. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.2 ([38, 39])**.** A *fuzzy point* x_t for $t \in L_{\bot_L}$ is an element of L^X such that

$$x_t(y) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } y = x, \\ \bot_L, & \text{if } y \neq x. \end{cases}$$

The set of all fuzzy points in X is denoted by $P_t(X)$. Two fuzzy points x_t and y_s are distinct if $x \neq y$.

Definition 2.3 ([1]). Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$. Then the *image* $f^{\rightarrow}(\mu)$ of $\mu \in L^X$ and the *preimage* $f^{\leftarrow}(\nu)$ of $\nu \in L^Y$ are defined by:

$$f^{\rightarrow}(\mu)(y) = \bigvee\{\mu(x) : x \in X, f(x) = y\} \text{ and } f^{\leftarrow}(\nu) = \nu \circ f, \text{ respectively.}$$

Definition 2.4 ([22, 40]). The pair $(X, \mathcal{C})$ is called an (L, M)-*fuzzy convex structure*, where $\mathcal{C} : L^X \longrightarrow M$ satisfies the following axioms:
(LMC1) $\mathcal{C}(\chi_\varnothing) = \mathcal{C}(\chi_X) = \top_M$,
(LMC2) if $\{\mu_i : i \in \Gamma\} \subseteq L^X$ is nonempty, then $\mathcal{C}(\bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma} \mu_i) \geq \bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma} \mathcal{C}(\mu_i)$,
(LMC3) if $\{\mu_i : i \in \Gamma\} \subseteq L^X$ is nonempty and totally ordered by inclusion, then $\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{i\in\Gamma} \mu_i) \geq \bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma} \mathcal{C}(\mu_i)$.

The mapping $\mathcal{C}$ is called an (L, M)-*fuzzy convexity* on X and $\mathcal{C}(\mu)$ can be regarded as the degree to which μ is an L-convex fuzzy set.

Theorem 2.5 ([22]). *Let $(X, \mathcal{C})$ be an (L, M)-fuzzy convex structure, $\varnothing \neq Y \subseteq X$. Then $(Y, \mathcal{C}|Y)$ is an (L, M)-fuzzy convex structure on Y, where*

$$(\mathcal{C}|Y)(\mu) = \bigvee\{\mathcal{C}(\nu) : \nu \in L^X, \nu|Y = \mu\},$$

for each $\mu \in L^Y$. The pair $(Y, \mathcal{C}|Y)$ is called an (L, M)-*fuzzy convex sub-structure* of $(X, \mathcal{C})$.

Definition 2.6 ([22]). Let $\{(X_i, \mathcal{C}_i) : i \in \Gamma\}$ be a set of (L, M)-fuzzy convex structures, X be the product of the sets X_i for $i \in \Gamma$ and $\pi_i : X \longrightarrow X_i$ be the projection for each $i \in \Gamma$. Define a mapping $\varphi : L^X \longrightarrow M$ by

$$\varphi(\mu) = \bigvee_{i\in\Gamma} \bigvee_{\pi_i^{\leftarrow}(\nu)=\mu} \mathcal{C}_i(\nu), \qquad \text{for each } \mu, \nu \in L^X.$$

Then the product convexity $\mathcal{C}$ of X is the one generated by subbase φ. The resulting (L, M)-fuzzy convex structure $(X, \mathcal{C})$ is called the product of $\{(X_i, \mathcal{C}_i) : i \in \Gamma\}$ and is denoted by $\prod_{i\in\Gamma}(X_i, \mathcal{C}_i)$.

Definition 2.7 ([36, 41]). An (L, M)-*fuzzy topology* on X is a map $\mathcal{T} : L^X \longrightarrow M$ with the following conditions:
(i) $\mathcal{T}(\chi_\varnothing) = \mathcal{T}(\chi_X) = \top_M$,
(ii) $\mathcal{T}(\mu \wedge \nu) \geq \mathcal{T}(\mu) \wedge \mathcal{T}(\nu), \quad \forall \mu, \nu \in L^X$,
(iii) $\mathcal{T}(\bigvee_{i\in\Gamma} \mu_i) \geq \bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma} \mathcal{T}(\mu_i), \quad \forall \mu_i \in L^X, i \in \Gamma$.
The pair $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is called an (L, M)-*fuzzy topological space*.

Definition 2.8 ([42]). A triple $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ consisting of a set X, an (L, M)-fuzzy convexity, and an (L, M)-fuzzy topology is called an (L, M)-*fuzzy topology* (L, M)-*fuzzy convexity space* (briefly, (L, M)-ftfcs).

Proposition 2.9 ([4, 6]). *Let $(X, \mathcal{T})$ be an (L, M)-fuzzy topological space and $A \subseteq X$. Define a mapping $\mathcal{T}_A : L^X \longrightarrow M$ by*

$$\mathcal{T}_A(\mu) = \bigvee\{\mathcal{T}(\nu) : \nu \in L^X, \nu|A = \mu\}.$$

($\bigvee$ being the supremum operation on M). Then $\mathcal{T}_A$ is an (L, M)-fuzzy topology A.

3. r-L-FNS_0, r-L-FNS_1 and r-L-FNS_2 spaces

Definition 3.1. Let $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ be an (L, M)-ftfcs and $\mu \in L^X$. Then μ is called an:
(i) *r-L-fuzzy closed convex set*, if $\mathcal{T}(\mu') \geq r$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mu) \geq r$,
(ii) *r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhood* of $x_t \in P_t(X)$, if it is an r-L-fuzzy closed convex set and an r-L-fuzzy neighbourhood of x_t.

Definition 3.2. Let $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ be an (L, M)-ftfcs. Then $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ is said to be an:
(i) *r-L-FNS_0 space*, if for any two distinct fuzzy points there exists r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhood containing one and not containing the other,
(ii) *r-L-FNS_1 space*, if for any two distinct fuzzy points there exists r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhood of each of them not containing the other,
(iii) *r-L-FNS_2 space*, if for any two distinct fuzzy points there exist disjoint r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhoods of each of them.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ be an r-L-FNS_i space for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\varnothing \neq Y \subseteq X$. Then $(Y, \mathcal{C}|Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$ is an r-LFS_i space.*

Proof. Let $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ be an r-L-FNS_2 space and $x_t, y_s \in P_t(Y)$ such that $x \neq y$. Then $x_t, y_s \in P_t(X)$ such that $x \neq y$. Thus there exist disjoint r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhoods μ and ν for x_t and y_s in X, respectively. So $\mu|Y$ and $\nu|Y$ are disjoint r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhoods of x_t and y_s in Y, respectively. Hence $(Y, \mathcal{C}|Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$ is an r-LFS_2 space.
Similarly, we can prove the result for $i \in \{0, 1\}$. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ be the product of $\{(X_i, \mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{T}_i) : i \in \Gamma\}$. Then, $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ is an r-L-FNS_α space for $\alpha \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ if $(X_i, \mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{T}_i)$ is an r-L-FNS_α space for each $i \in \Gamma$.*

Proof. Consider the case when $\alpha = 2$.
Let $\{(X_i, \mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{T}_i) : i \in \Gamma\}$ be an r-L-FNS_2 space and $x_t, y_s \in P_t(X)$ such that $x \neq y$ with $X = \prod_{i \in \Gamma} X_i$ and $\pi_i : X \longrightarrow X_i$ be the projection map for each $i \in \Gamma$. Then for some $i \in \Gamma$, $(x_i)_t$ and $(y_i)_s$ are distinct fuzzy points in X_i and there exist disjoint r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhoods μ_i and ν_i in X_i for $(x_i)_t$ and $(y_i)_s$, respectively. Since π_i is the projection map, $\mu = \pi_i^{\leftarrow}(\mu_i)$ and $\nu = \pi_i^{\leftarrow}(\nu_i)$ are disjoint r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhoods in X of x_t and y_s respectively. Thus $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ is an r-L-FNS_2 space. Similarly, we can prove the result when $i \in \{0, 1\}$. □

Proposition 3.5. *For $r \in M_\perp$, we have*
(1) *an r-L-FNS_2 space is always r-L-FNS_1 space,*
(2) *an r-L-FNS_1 space is always r-L-FNS_0 space.*

Proof. By Definition 3.2, the proofs are trivial. □

The next examples shows that the converse of Proposition 3.5 is not true.

Example 3.6. Let $L = M = [0,1]$ and μ_i be fuzzy subsets of $X = \{a,b,c\}$, where $i = \{1,2,3,4,5\}$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mu_1(a) = 1.0, & \mu_1(b) = 0.0, & \mu_1(c) = 0.0, \\ \mu_2(a) = 0.5, & \mu_2(b) = 1.0, & \mu_2(c) = 0.0, \\ \mu_3(a) = 0.5, & \mu_3(b) = 0.0, & \mu_3(c) = 0.0, \\ \mu_4(a) = 0.0, & \mu_4(b) = 0.0, & \mu_4(c) = 1.0, \\ \mu_5(a) = 1.0, & \mu_5(b) = 0.0, & \mu_5(c) = 1.0. \end{array}$$

Define an (L,M)-fuzzy topology in [36, 41] $\mathcal{T} : [0,1]^X \longrightarrow [0,1]$ and an (L,M)-fuzzy convexity $\mathcal{C} : [0,1]^X \longrightarrow [0,1]$ on X as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}(\nu) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \nu \in \{\underline{0}, \underline{1}\}, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_1, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \nu = \underline{1} - \mu_1, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_2, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \nu = \underline{1} - \mu_2, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_3, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \nu = \underline{1} - \mu_3, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_4, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_5, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \qquad \mathcal{C}(\nu) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \nu \in \{\underline{0}, \underline{1}\}, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_1, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_2, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ is an r-L-FNS_0 space but it is not r-L-FNS_1 space because μ_2, μ_3 are $\frac{1}{4}$-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhood of $b_{1.0}, a_{0.5}$ and $a_{0.5} \in \mu_2$.

Example 3.7. Let $L = M = [0,1]$ and μ_i be fuzzy subsets of $X = \{a,b,c\}$, where $i = \{1,2,3,4,5\}$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mu_1(a) = 1.0, & \mu_1(b) = 0.0, & \mu_1(c) = 0.0, \\ \mu_2(a) = 0.0, & \mu_2(b) = 1.0, & \mu_2(c) = 0.0, \\ \mu_3(a) = 0.0, & \mu_3(b) = 0.0, & \mu_3(c) = 1.0, \\ \mu_4(a) = 0.5, & \mu_4(b) = 0.0, & \mu_4(c) = 1.0, \\ \mu_5(a) = 0.5, & \mu_5(b) = 0.0, & \mu_5(c) = 0.0. \end{array}$$

Define an (L,M)-fuzzy topology in [36, 41] $\mathcal{T}:[0,1]^X \longrightarrow [0,1]$ and an (L,M)-fuzzy convexity $\mathcal{C}:[0,1]^X \longrightarrow [0,1]$ on X as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}(\nu)=\begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \nu\in\{\underline{0},\underline{1}\},\\ \frac{1}{7}, & \text{if } \nu=\mu_1,\\ \frac{1}{7}, & \text{if } \nu=\underline{1}-\mu_1,\\ \frac{1}{6}, & \text{if } \nu=\mu_2,\\ \frac{1}{6}, & \text{if } \nu=\underline{1}-\mu_2,\\ \frac{1}{5}, & \text{if } \nu=\mu_3,\\ \frac{1}{5}, & \text{if } \nu=\underline{1}-\mu_3,\\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \nu=\mu_4,\\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \nu=\underline{1}-\mu_4,\\ \frac{1}{3}, & \text{if } \nu=\mu_5,\\ \frac{1}{3}, & \text{if } \nu=\underline{1}-\mu_5,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \qquad \mathcal{C}(\nu)=\begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \nu\in\{\underline{0},\underline{1}\},\\ \frac{1}{7}, & \text{if } \nu=\mu_1,\\ \frac{1}{7}, & \text{if } \nu=\underline{1}-\mu_1,\\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \nu=\mu_4,\\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \nu=\underline{1}-\mu_4,\\ \frac{1}{3}, & \text{if } \nu=\mu_5,\\ \frac{1}{6}, & \text{if } \nu=\mu_2,\\ \frac{1}{5}, & \text{if } \nu=\mu_3,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Then $(X,\mathcal{C},\mathcal{T})$ is an r-L-FNS_1 space but it is not r-L-FNS_2 space because $\underline{1}-\mu_1$ is $\frac{1}{7}$-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhood of $b_{1.0}, c_{1.0}$.

4. Pseudo r-L-FNS_3 and r-L-FNS_3 spaces

Definition 4.1. Let $(X,\mathcal{C},\mathcal{T})$ be an (L,M)-ftfcs, μ be an r-L-fuzzy closed convex set in L^X and $x_t\in P_t(X)$ such that supports of x_t and μ are disjoint. Then $(X,\mathcal{C},\mathcal{T})$ is said to be :

(i) a *pseudo* r-L-FNS_3 *space*, if there exists r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhood ν of μ such that $x_t\notin\nu$,

(ii) an r-L-FNS_3 *space*, if there exists r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhoods ν of μ and λ of x_t.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(X,\mathcal{C},\mathcal{T})$ be an r-L-FNS_3 space (resp. Pseudo r-L-FNS_3 space) and $\varnothing\neq Y\subseteq X$. Then $(Y,\mathcal{C}|Y,\mathcal{T}_Y)$ is r-LFS_3 space (resp. Pseudo r-L-FNS_3 space).*

Proof. Let $(X,\mathcal{C},\mathcal{T})$ be an r-L-FNS_3 space, $x_t\in P_t(Y)$ and μ be an r-L-fuzzy closed convex set in L^Y such that supports of x_t and μ are disjoint and $\varnothing\neq Y\subseteq X$. Then $\mu=\nu|Y$ is an r-L-fuzzy closed convex set in L^X, where ν is an r-L-fuzzy closed convex set in L^X. Since supports of x_t and μ are disjoint, we have supports of x_t and ν are disjoint in X. Thus there exists r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhoods

λ_1, λ_2 of x_t and ν, respectively. So $\lambda_1|Y$ and $\lambda_2|Y$ are disjoint r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhoods of x_t and μ, respectively in Y. Hence $(Y, \mathcal{C}|Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$ is r-L-FNS_3 space.

Similarly, we can prove result Pseudo r-L-FNS_3 space. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ be the product of $\{(X_i, \mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{T}_i) : i \in \Gamma\}$. Then $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ is an r-L-FNS_3 space (resp. pseudo r-L-FNS_3 space), if $(X_i, \mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{T}_i)$ is an r-L-FNS_3 space (resp. Pseudo r-L-FNS_3 space) for each $i \in \Gamma$.*

Proof. Let $\{(X_i, \mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{T}_i) : i \in \Gamma\}$ be an r-L-FNS_3 space, $x_t \in P_t(X)$ and μ be an r-L-fuzzy closed convex set in L^X such that supports of x_t and μ are disjoint and $\pi_i : X \longrightarrow X_i$ is the projection map for each $i \in \Gamma$. Then

$$\mu = \pi_i^{\leftarrow}(\nu_i) \text{ where } \nu_i \text{ is } r\text{-}L\text{-fuzzy closed convex set in } L^{X_i}$$

For some $i \in \Gamma$, $(x_i)_t$ and ν_i are distinct. Since X_i is an r-L-FNS_3 space, there exists r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhoods λ_i, ρ_i of $(x_i)_t$ and ν_i respectively such that $(x_i)_t \notin \rho_i$ and λ_i and ν_i are disjoint. Thus $\nu = \pi_i^{\leftarrow}(\lambda_i)$ and $\rho = \pi_i^{\leftarrow}(\rho_i)$ are disjoint r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhoods of x_t and μ, respectively such that $x_t \notin \rho$, ν and μ are disjoint.

Similarly, we can prove result Pseudo r-L-FNS_3 space. □

Proposition 4.4. *For $r \in M_\perp$, an r-L-FNS_3 space is always pseudo r-L-FNS_3 space.*

Proof. By Definition 4.1, the proof is trivial. □

The next example shows that the converse of Proposition 4.4 is not true.

Example 4.5. Let $L = M = [0, 1]$ and μ_i be fuzzy subsets of $X = \{a, b, c\}$, where $i = \{1, 2\}$ is defined as follows:

$$\mu_1(a) = 1.0, \quad \mu_1(b) = 0.0, \quad \mu_1(c) = 0.0,$$
$$\mu_2(a) = 0.0, \quad \mu_2(b) = 1.0, \quad \mu_2(c) = 1.0.$$

Define an (L, M)-fuzzy topology in [36, 41] $\mathcal{T} : [0, 1]^X \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ and an (L, M)-fuzzy convexity $\mathcal{C} : [0, 1]^X \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ on X as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}(\nu) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \nu \in \{\underline{0}, \underline{1}\}, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_1, \\ \frac{1}{3}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \qquad \mathcal{C}(\nu) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \nu \in \{\underline{0}, \underline{1}\}, \\ \frac{1}{3}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ is Pseudo r-L-FNS_3 space but it is not r-L-FNS_3 space because the only $\frac{1}{3}$-fuzzy closed convex set is μ_1 and for $b_{1.0} \in P_t(X)$ there is not r-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhood where μ_1 is $\frac{1}{3}$-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhood of μ_1.

Note 1: An r-L-FNS_3 space and so a pseudo r-L-FNS_3 need not be an r-L-FNS_2 space.

Example 4.6. Let L, M, X and μ_i be given as Example 3.5. Define an (L, M)-fuzzy topology in [36, 41] $\mathcal{T} : [0,1]^X \longrightarrow [0,1]$ and an (L, M)-fuzzy convexity $\mathcal{C} : [0,1]^X \longrightarrow [0,1]$ on X as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}(\nu) = \mathcal{C}(\nu) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \nu \in \{\underline{0}, \underline{1}\}, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_1, \\ \frac{1}{3}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ is r-L-FNS_3 space but it is not r-L-FNS_2 space.

5. Semi r-L-FNS_4 and r-L-FNS_4 spaces

Definition 5.1. Let $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ be an (L, M)-ftfcs and $\mu, \nu \in L^X$ are disjoint r-L-fuzzy closed convex sets. Then $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ is said to be:

(i) a *semi* r-L-FNS_4 *space*, if there exists r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhood λ of μ such that λ and ν are disjoint,

(ii) an r-L-FNS_4 *space*, if there exists r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhoods λ_1 of μ and λ_2 of ν such that λ_1 and ν are disjoint λ_2 and μ are disjoint.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ be an r-L-FNS_4 space (resp. semi r-L-FNS_4 space) and $\varnothing \neq Y \subseteq X$. Then $(Y, \mathcal{C}|Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$ is r-LFS_4 space (resp. semi r-L-FNS_4 space).*

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 4.2. □

Proposition 5.3. *For $r \in M_\perp$, an r-L-FNS_4 space is always semi r-L-FNS_4 space.*

Proof. By Definition 5.1, the proof is trivial. □

The next example shows that the converse of Proposition 5.3 is not true.

Example 5.4. Let $L = M = [0,1]$ and μ_i be fuzzy subsets of $X = \{a, b, c\}$, where $i = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mu_1(a) = 0.75, & \mu_1(b) = 1.00, & \mu_1(c) = 1.00, \\ \mu_2(a) = 1.00, & \mu_2(b) = 0.75, & \mu_2(c) = 1.00, \\ \mu_3(a) = 0.75, & \mu_3(b) = 0.75, & \mu_3(c) = 1.00, \\ \mu_4(a) = 0.50, & \mu_4(b) = 1.00, & \mu_4(c) = 1.00, \\ \mu_5(a) = 0.30, & \mu_5(b) = 0.00, & \mu_5(c) = 0.00, \\ \mu_6(a) = 0.50, & \mu_6(b) = 0.75, & \mu_6(c) = 1.00, \\ \mu_7(a) = 0.50, & \mu_7(b) = 0.50, & \mu_7(c) = 0.00. \end{array}$$

Define an (L, M)-fuzzy topology in [36, 41] $\mathcal{T} : [0,1]^X \longrightarrow [0,1]$ and an (L, M)-fuzzy convexity $\mathcal{C} : [0,1]^X \longrightarrow [0,1]$ on X as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}(\nu) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \nu \in \{\underline{0}, \underline{1}\}, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_1, \\ \frac{1}{3}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_2, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_3, \\ \frac{1}{5}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_4, \\ \frac{1}{5}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_5, \\ \frac{1}{5}, & \text{if } \nu = \mu_6, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \qquad \mathcal{C}(\nu) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \nu \in \{\underline{0}, \underline{1}\}, \\ \frac{1}{5}, & \text{if } \nu \leq \mu_7, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

(1) μ'_1 and μ'_2 are disjoint $\frac{1}{5}$-L-fuzzy closed convex sets in X, where

$$\mu'_1(a) = 0.25, \qquad \mu'_1(b) = 0.00, \qquad \mu'_1(c) = 0.00,$$
$$\mu'_2(a) = 0.00, \qquad \mu'_2(b) = 0.25, \qquad \mu'_2(c) = 0.00.$$

Also, μ'_4 and μ'_2 are disjoint $\frac{1}{5}$-L-fuzzy closed convex sets in X, where,

$$\mu'_4(a) = 0.50, \qquad \mu'_4(b) = 0.00, \qquad \mu'_4(c) = 0.00.$$

Thus μ'_4 is $\frac{1}{5}$-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhood of μ'_1, because

$$\mu'_1 \leq \mu_5 \leq \mu'_4 \text{ where } \mathcal{T}(\mu_5) \geq \frac{1}{5}.$$

So $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ is semi r-L-FNS_4 but it is not r-L-FNS_4, because there is no r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhood of μ'_2.

(2) $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$ isn't r-L-FNS_3, because there is no r-L-fuzzy closed convex neighbourhood containing μ'_3 and disjoint with c_t, where $0 < t \leq 1$ and

$$\mu'_3(a) = 0.25, \qquad \mu'_3(b) = 0.25, \qquad \mu'_3(c) = 0.00.$$

Where μ'_3 is $\frac{1}{5}$-L-fuzzy closed convex sets in X.

Acknowledgement.

Authors would like to express their sincere gratitued to the referees and the editors for giving valuable comments which helped to improve the presentation of this paper.

References

[1] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338–353.
[2] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory-first results, Computer Math. Applic. 37 (1999) 19–31.
[3] J. G. Lee, G. Senel, P. K. Lim, J. Kim and K. Hur, Octahedron sets, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 19 (3) (2020) 211–238.

[4] K. C. Chattopadhyay and R. N. Hazra, Gradation of openness: fuzzy topology, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 49 (1992) 237–242.
[5] J. A. Goguen, *L*-fuzzy sets, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 18 (1967) 145–174.
[6] A. A. Ramadan, Smooth topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 48 (1992) 371–375.
[7] G. Şenel, J. G. Lee and K. Hur, Distance and similarity measures for octahedron sets and thier application to MCGDM problems, Mathematics 8 (10) 1690 (2020).
[8] G. Şenel, The theory of soft ditopological spaces, International journal of Computer applications 150 (4) (2016) 1–5.
[9] G. Şenel, New soft matric applications, The journal of fuzzy mathematics 25 (1) (2017) 1–12.
[10] G. Şenel, A Comparative research on the definition of soft point, International journal of Computer applications 163 (2) (2017) 1–4.
[11] M. L. J. Van de Vel, Theory of convex structures, North-Holland Mathematical Library, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam 1993.
[12] V. P. Soltan, Introduction to the axiomatic theory of convexity, (Russian) Shtiinca, Kishinev 1984.
[13] M. Lassak, On metric B-convexity for which diameters of any set and its hull are equal, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 25 (1977) 969–975.
[14] Y. Maruyama, Lattice-valued fuzzy convex geometry, RIMS Kokyuroku 1641 (2009) 22–37.
[15] V. P. Soltan, d-convexity in graphs, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 272 (1983) 535–537.
[16] J. Van Mill, Supercompactness and Wallman spaces, Mathematical Centre Tracts, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam 1977.
[17] J. C. Varlet, Remarks on distributive lattices, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 23 (1975) 1143–1147.
[18] K. Wang and F.-G. Shi, M-fuzzifying topological convex spaces, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems 15 (6) (2018) 159–174.
[19] [Z.-Y. Xiu and F.-G. Shi, M-fuzzifying interval spaces, Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst. 14 (2017) 145–162.
[20] M. V. Rosa, A study of fuzzy convexity with special reference to separation properties, Ph.D. Thesis, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Kerala, India 1994.
[21] M. V. Rosa, On fuzzy topology fuzzy convexity spaces and fuzzy local convexity, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 62 (1994) 97–100.
[22] F.-G. Shi and Z.-Y. Xiu, (L, M)-Fuzzy convex structures, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 10 (2017) 3655–3669.
[23] L. Q. Li, On the category of enriched (L , M)-convex spaces, J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst. 33 (2017) 3209–3216.
[24] Z.-Y. Xiu and Q.-G. Li, Relations among (L , M)-fuzzy convex structures, (L , M)-fuzzy closure systems and (L , M)-fuzzy Alexandrov topologies in a degree sense, J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst. 36 (2019) 385–396.
[25] X.-Y. Wu and E.-Q. Li, Category and subcategories of (L , M)-fuzzy convex spaces, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems 16 (1) (2019) 173–190.
[26] E. Li and F.-G. Shi, Some properties of M-fuzzifying convexities induced by M-orders, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 350 (2018) 41–54.
[27] B. Pang, Convergence structures in M-fuzzifying convex spaces, Quaestiones Mathematicae 2019 Dol:10.2989/16073606.2019.1637379.
[28] B. Pang and F.-G. Shi, Subcategories of the category of L-convex spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 313 (2017) 61–74.
[29] B. Pang and F.-G. Shi, Strong inclusion orders between L-subsets and its applications in L-convex spaces, Quaestiones Mathematicae 41(8) (2018) 1021–1043.
[30] B. Pang and F.-G. Shi, Fuzzy counterparts of hull operators and interval operators in the framework of L-convex spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 369 (2019) 20–39.
[31] B. Pang and Z.-Y. Xiu, An axiomatic approach to bases and subbases in L-convex spaces and their applications, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 369 (2019) 40–56.
[32] C. Shen and F.-G. Shi, Characteriztions of L-convex spaces via domain theory, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 2019 DOI:10.1016/j.fss.2019.02.009.

[33] K. Wang and B. Pang, Coreflectivities of (L , M)-fuzzy convex structures and (L , M)-fuzzy cotopologies in (L , M)-fuzzy closure systems, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 2019 Dol:10.3233/JIFS182963.
[34] Z.-Y. Xiu and B. Pang, M-fuzzifying cotopological spaces and M-fuzzifying convex spaces as M-fuzzifying closure spaces, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 33 (2017) 613–620.
[35] Z.-Y. Xiu and B. Pang, Base axioms and subbase axioms in M-fuzzifying convex spaces, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems 15 (2) (2018) 75–87.
[36] A. P. Šostak, On a fuzzy topological structure Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 11 (1985) 89–103.
[37] R. N. Hazra, S. K. Samanta and K. C. Chattopadhyay, Fuzzy topology redefined, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 45 (1992) 79–82.
[38] S. Gottwald, Fuzzy points and local properties of fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 5 (1981) 199–201.
[39] P.-M Pu and Y.-M. Liu, Fuzzy topology, Part I-Neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence J. Math. Anal. Appl. 76 (1980) 571–599.
[40] Y. Zhong, F.-G. Shi, J.-T Zou and C.-Y Zou, Degrees of (L, M)-fuzzy convexities, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems DOI:10.3233/JIFS-18659.
[41] T. Kubiak, On fuzzy topologies, PH.D. Thesis, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznan, Poland 1985.
[42] O. R. Sayed, E. El-Sanousy and Y. H. Ragheb Sayed, On (L. M)-fuzzy convex structures, Filomat 33 (13) (2019) 4151–4163.

Osama Rashed Sayed (*o_r_sayed*@yahoo.com))
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut 71516, Egypt

EL-Sayed EL-Sanousy (elsanowsy@yahoo.com))
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Sohag University, Sohag 82524, Egypt

Yaser Hassanein Ragheb Sayed (yh_raghp2011@yahoo.com)
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Sohag University, Sohag 82524, Egypt